

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
NO. Case No. 1:13-cv-949**

DAVID HARRIS, CHRISTINE
BOWSER, and SAMUEL LOVE

Plaintiffs,

v.

PATRICK MCCRORY, in his
capacity as Governor of North
Carolina, NORTH CAROLINA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS,
and JOSHUA HOWARD, in his
capacity as Chairman of the
North Carolina State Board
of Elections,

Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF
DAN FREY**

NOW COMES Dan Frey, who deposes and says:

1. I am over 18 years of age, legally competent to give this declaration and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in it.
2. I am currently employed by the North Carolina General Assembly as a Geographic Information Systems ("GIS") Technical Architect. I have been employed by the General Assembly with a similar job focus since August 1995.
3. I have primary responsibility for constructing the General Assembly's redistricting databases. I am familiar with the related data holdings and processing of that information to produce various district reports. For the 2011 redistricting effort, we used the Census Bureau's "2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary

File.” We also used voter registration and election contest information available from the North Carolina State Board of Elections. Unless otherwise noted, the information in the following exhibits draws on that data, as it was incorporated into our 2011 redistricting system.

4. Exhibits 1 through 9 include information for the election contests involving the 2010 U.S. Senate race, the 2012 gubernatorial race and the 2014 U.S. Senate race. This information was gathered from the North Carolina State Board of Elections website (www.ncsbe.gov).

5. Exhibit 1 shows that in the 2010 U.S. Senate race, Republican Richard Burr received 1,458,046 votes (54.81%) and Democrat Elaine Marshall received 1,145,074 votes (43.05%). Exhibit 2 shows that Burr won a majority of votes in 77 counties and Marshall won a majority of votes in 23 counties. Exhibit 3 shows in which congressional districts each candidate prevailed under the 2016 Congressional Plan.

6. Exhibit 4 shows that in the 2012 gubernatorial race, Republican Pat McCrory received 2,440,707 votes (54.62%) and Democrat Walter Dalton received 1,931,580 votes (43.23%). Exhibit 5 shows that McCrory won a majority of votes in 77 counties and Dalton won a majority of votes in 23 counties. Exhibit 6 shows in which congressional districts each candidate prevailed under the 2016 Congressional Plan.

7. Exhibit 7 shows that in the 2014 U.S. Senate race, Republican Thom Tillis received 1,423,259 votes (48.82%) and Democrat Kay Hagan received 1,377,651 votes (47.26%). Exhibit 8 shows that Tillis won a majority of votes in 68 counties and Hagan

won a majority of votes in 32 counties. Exhibit 9 shows in which congressional districts each candidate prevailed under the 2016 Congressional Plan.

8. Exhibit 10 is a spreadsheet that contains 2008 election contest results sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016. The data is available on the North Carolina General Assembly's website (www.ncleg.net).

9. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 1, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests in each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 68.23% compared to 31.03% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 73.96% compared to 25.23% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 70.38% compared to 28.67% for Republican candidates.

10. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 2, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 2, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a

total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 56.12% compared to 42.70% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 52.50% compared to 45.98% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 51.78% compared to 46.86% for Democratic candidates.

11. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 3, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 3, the Republican candidate won 7 contests and the Democratic candidate won 3 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 3, the Republican candidate won 5 contests and the Democratic candidate won 5 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 54.54% compared to 44.18% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 49.94% compared to 48.61% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 50.00% compared to 48.71% for Democratic candidates.

12. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 4, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests in each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 60.19% compared to 38.17% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 70.57% compared to 27.97% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 63.39% compared to 35.00% for Republican candidates.

13. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 5, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2001 and 2011 Congressional Plans. In Congressional District 5, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 57.03% compared to 41.33% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 54.13% compared to 44.27% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within

Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 51.31% compared to 47.13% for Democratic candidates.

14. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 6, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 6, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 6, the Republican candidate won 6 contests and the Democratic candidate won 4 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 60.00% compared to 38.43% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 52.50% compared to 45.97% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 50.09% compared to 48.40% for Democratic candidates.

15. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 7, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won 9 contests and the Republican candidate won 1 contest under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 7, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 7, the Democratic candidate won 7 contests and the Republican candidate won 3 contests under the 2016

Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 52.79% compared to 45.63% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 51.07% compared to 47.44% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 49.65% compared to 48.80% for Republican candidates.

16. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 8, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 8, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 8, the Republican candidate won 6 contests and the Democratic candidate won 4 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 55.32% compared to 43.43% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 52.21% compared to 46.47% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 50.65% compared to 48.01% for Democratic candidates.

17. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 9, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2001 and 2011 Congressional Plans. In Congressional District 9, the Republican candidate won 7 contests and the Democratic candidate won 3 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 55.66% compared to 43.08% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 56.15% compared to 42.52% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 51.21% compared to 47.67% for Democratic candidates.

18. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 10, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 10, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 58.79% compared to 39.67% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 53.01% compared to 45.49% for

Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 53.36% compared to 45.16% for Democratic candidates.

19. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 11, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won 7 contests and the Republican candidate won 3 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 11, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 49.79% compared to 48.48% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 53.76% compared to 44.52% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 52.71% compared to 45.56% for Democratic candidates.

20. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 12, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests in each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 69.81% compared to 29.13% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took

a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 77.19% compared to 21.83% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 65.54% compared to 33.30% for Republican candidates.

21. Exhibit 10 shows that in Congressional District 13, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2008, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 13, the Republican candidate won 9 contests and the Democratic candidate won 1 contest under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 13, the Republican candidate won 5 contests and the Democratic candidate won 5 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 59.43% compared to 39.13% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 52.30% compared to 46.35% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 49.39% compared to 49.20% for Democratic candidates.

22. Exhibit 11 is a spreadsheet that contains 2012 election contest results sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016.

23. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 1, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests in each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 66.83% compared to 32.98% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 73.68% compared to 26.11% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 in those 10 contests of 70.26% compared to 29.48% for Republican candidates.

24. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 2, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won 8 contests and the Republican candidate won 2 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 2, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 2, the Republican candidate won 6 contests and the Democratic candidate won 4 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 54.37% compared to 45.31% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 55.75% compared to 43.89% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional

Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 in those 10 contests of 54.00% compared to 45.66% for Democratic candidates.

25. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 3, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2001 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 60.31% compared to 39.36% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 55.73% compared to 43.90% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 in those 10 contests of 55.74% compared to 43.92% for Democratic candidates.

26. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 4, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 60.94% compared to 38.63% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 71.41% compared to 28.15% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional

Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 in those 10 contests of 64.72% compared to 34.81% for Republican candidates.

27. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 5, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 62.44% compared to 37.17% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 58.50% compared to 41.13% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 in those 10 contests of 55.68% compared to 43.94% for Democratic candidates.

28. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 6, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 65.19% compared to 34.48% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 56.72% compared to 42.91% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional

Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 in those 10 contests of 54.54% compared to 45.14% for Democratic candidates.

29. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 7, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won 5 contests and the Republican candidate won 5 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 7, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 50.23% compared to 49.42% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 56.50% compared to 43.17% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 in those 10 contests of 53.86% compared to 45.81% for Democratic candidates.

30. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 8, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won 9 contests and the Republican candidate won 1 contest under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 8, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 8, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast

within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 54.96% compared to 44.73% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 55.08% compared to 44.63% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 in those 10 contests of 53.48% compared to 46.22% for Democratic candidates.

31. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 9, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001 and 2011 Congressional Plans. In Congressional District 9, the Republican candidate won 8 contests and the Democratic candidate won 2 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 57.41% compared to 42.30% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 58.04% compared to 41.64% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 in those 10 contests of 53.55% compared to 46.17% for Democratic candidates.

32. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 10, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001

Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 64.12% compared to 35.56% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 56.79% compared to 42.86% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 in those 10 contests of 57.24% compared to 42.42% for Democratic candidates.

33. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 11, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Republican candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 52.93% compared to 46.57% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 59.40% compared to 40.15% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 in those 10 contests of 58.15% compared to 41.39% for Democratic candidates.

34. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 12, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under each of the 2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans. Under the 2001

Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 69.59% compared to 30.14% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 77.76% compared to 21.96% for Republican candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 in those 10 contests of 66.38% compared to 33.31% for Republican candidates.

35. Exhibit 11 shows that in Congressional District 13, out of 10 statewide general election contests held in 2012, the Democratic candidate won all 10 contests under the 2001 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 13, the Republican candidate won 6 contests and the Democratic candidate won 4 contests under the 2011 Congressional Plan. In Congressional District 13, the Republican candidate won 7 contests and the Democratic candidate won 3 contests under the 2016 Congressional Plan. Under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic candidates took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 59.42% compared to 40.19% for Republican candidates. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates took a total percentage of all votes within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 53.70% compared to 45.96% for Democratic candidates. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican candidates received a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 in those 10 contests of 52.94% compared to 46.73% for Democratic candidates.

36. Exhibit 12 is a spreadsheet that contains 2014 election contest results for the U.S. Senate race sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016.

37. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 of 60.77% compared to 36.42% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 of 69.77% compared to 27.71% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 1 of 66.88% compared to 30.51% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis.

38. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 of 49.66% compared to 46.42% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 of 55.51% compared to 40.61% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 2 of 53.79% compared to 42.73% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

39. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 of 61.15% compared to 34.84% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the

2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 of 56.54% compared to 39.18% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 3 of 56.96% compared to 39.10% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

40. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 of 61.35% compared to 35.94% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 of 72.13% compared to 25.06% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 4 of 65.43% compared to 31.61% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis.

41. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 of 60.77% compared to 34.20% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 of 57.13% compared to 38.32% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 5 of 54.90% compared to 40.56% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

42. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 of 63.16% compared to 32.99% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 of 55.18% compared to 41.04% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 6 of 53.71% compared to 42.41% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

43. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 of 50.17% compared to 44.84% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 of 55.30% compared to 39.76% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 7 of 52.70% compared to 42.43% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

44. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 of 50.05% compared to 45.59% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 of 56.04% compared to 39.11% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican

nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 8 of 53.66% compared to 41.90% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

45. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 of 54.29% compared to 42.25% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 of 54.00% compared to 42.44% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 9 of 53.96% compared to 42.44% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

46. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 of 62.31% compared to 32.08% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 of 54.62% compared to 40.57% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 10 of 55.22% compared to 39.91% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

47. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 of 50.11% compared to 45.14% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of

all votes cast within Congressional District 11 of 56.66% compared to 38.07% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 11 of 55.36% compared to 39.38% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

48. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 of 67.57% compared to 29.66% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 of 76.49% compared to 21.00% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 12 of 66.71% compared to 30.44% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis.

49. Exhibit 12 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democratic nominee Kay Hagan took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 of 57.10% compared to 39.56% for Republican nominee Thom Tillis. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 of 52.79% compared to 43.97% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Republican nominee Thom Tillis took a total percentage of all votes cast within Congressional District 13 of 52.17% compared to 43.99% for Democratic nominee Kay Hagan.

50. Exhibit 13 is a spreadsheet that contains 2011 party registration information sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in

2001, 2011 and 2016. The data is available on the North Carolina General Assembly's website (www.ncleg.net).

51. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 67.78% of registered voters and Republicans made up 16.59% of registered voters in Congressional District 1. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 69.79% of registered voters and Republicans made up 14.22% of registered voters in Congressional District 1. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 66.34% of registered voters and Republicans made up 15.99% of registered voters in Congressional District 1.

52. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 50.59% of registered voters and Republicans made up 28.20% of registered voters in Congressional District 2. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 37.85% of registered voters and Republicans made up 36.11% of registered voters in Congressional District 2. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 40.81% of registered voters and Republicans made up 35.66% of registered voters in Congressional District 2.

53. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 40.90% of registered voters and Republicans made up 34.54% of registered voters in Congressional District 3. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 43.14% of registered voters and Republicans made up 31.04% of registered voters in Congressional District 3. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up

44.72% of registered voters and Republicans made up 30.80% of registered voters in Congressional District 3.

54. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 45.70% of registered voters and Republicans made up 25.87% of registered voters in Congressional District 4. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 55.12% of registered voters and Republicans made up 18.57% of registered voters in Congressional District 4. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 47.98% of registered voters and Republicans made up 23.58% of registered voters in Congressional District 4.

55. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 33.63% of registered voters and Republicans made up 43.44% of registered voters in Congressional District 5. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 35.32% of registered voters and Republicans made up 41.54% of registered voters in Congressional District 5. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 38.29% of registered voters and Republicans made up 39.44% of registered voters in Congressional District 5.

56. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 32.37% of registered voters and Republicans made up 43.98% of registered voters in Congressional District 6. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 41.68% of registered voters and Republicans made up 35.90% of registered voters in Congressional District 6. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up

43.94% of registered voters and Republicans made up 33.74% of registered voters in Congressional District 6.

57. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 48.88% of registered voters and Republicans made up 28.17% of registered voters in Congressional District 7. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 43.29% of registered voters and Republicans made up 33.31% of registered voters in Congressional District 7. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 44.21% of registered voters and Republicans made up 32.50% of registered voters in Congressional District 7.

58. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 49.35% of registered voters and Republicans made up 27.53% of registered voters in Congressional District 8. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 45.87% of registered voters and Republicans made up 33.09% of registered voters in Congressional District 8. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 40.89% of registered voters and Republicans made up 34.78% of registered voters in Congressional District 8.

59. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 33.58% of registered voters and Republicans made up 39.37% of registered voters in Congressional District 9. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 31.74% of registered voters and Republicans made up 39.99% of registered voters in Congressional District 9. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up

45.01% of registered voters and Republicans made up 32.17% of registered voters in Congressional District 9.

60. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 34.62% of registered voters and Republicans made up 41.32% of registered voters in Congressional District 10. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 39.28% of registered voters and Republicans made up 35.60% of registered voters in Congressional District 10. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 39.17% of registered voters and Republicans made up 35.86% of registered voters in Congressional District 10.

61. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 39.25% of registered voters and Republicans made up 32.56% of registered voters in Congressional District 11. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 35.97% of registered voters and Republicans made up 37.43% of registered voters in Congressional District 11. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 36.90% of registered voters and Republicans made up 36.33% of registered voters in Congressional District 11.

62. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 58.42% of registered voters and Republicans made up 21.34% of registered voters in Congressional District 12. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 63.93% of registered voters and Republicans made up 16.08% of registered voters in Congressional District 12. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up

51.25% of registered voters and Republicans made up 23.48% of registered voters in Congressional District 12.

63. Exhibit 13 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 51.03% of registered voters and Republicans made up 25.55% of registered voters in Congressional District 13. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 40.20% of registered voters and Republicans made up 35.80% of registered voters in Congressional District 13. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, Democrats made up 41.35% of registered voters and Republicans made up 36.15% of registered voters in Congressional District 13.

64. Exhibit 14 is a spreadsheet that contains 2011 voter registration information by race sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016. The data is available on the North Carolina General Assembly's website (www.ncleg.net).

65. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 46.03% of registered voters and black voters made up 50.66% of registered voters in Congressional District 1. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 40.85% of registered voters and black voters made up 54.43% of registered voters in Congressional District 1. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 48.88% of registered voters and black voters made up 46.14% of registered voters in Congressional District 1.

66. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 63.16% of registered voters and black voters made up 31.50% of registered

voters in Congressional District 2. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 76.48% of registered voters and black voters made up 16.99% of registered voters in Congressional District 2. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 75.90% of registered voters and black voters made up 19.33% of registered voters in Congressional District 2.

67. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 79.69% of registered voters and black voters made up 16.38% of registered voters in Congressional District 3. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 76.66% of registered voters and black voters made up 19.39% of registered voters in Congressional District 3. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 74.54% of registered voters and black voters made up 21.78% of registered voters in Congressional District 3.

68. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 72.64% of registered voters and black voters made up 19.14% of registered voters in Congressional District 4. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 57.65% of registered voters and black voters made up 33.13% of registered voters in Congressional District 4. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 68.97% of registered voters and black voters made up 22.13% of registered voters in Congressional District 4.

69. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 89.62% of registered voters and black voters made up 7.60% of registered voters in Congressional District 5. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up

84.76% of registered voters and black voters made up 11.97% of registered voters in Congressional District 5. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 82.23% of registered voters and black voters made up 14.57% of registered voters in Congressional District 5.

70. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 86.92% of registered voters and black voters made up 9.91% of registered voters in Congressional District 6. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 82.15% of registered voters and black voters made up 14.37% of registered voters in Congressional District 6. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 75.63% of registered voters and black voters made up 20.87% of registered voters in Congressional District 6.

71. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 69.83% of registered voters and black voters made up 21.15% of registered voters in Congressional District 7. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 78.24% of registered voters and black voters made up 17.52% of registered voters in Congressional District 7. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 75.54% of registered voters and black voters made up 20.60% of registered voters in Congressional District 7.

72. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 63.17% of registered voters and black voters made up 29.58% of registered voters in Congressional District 8. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 72.23% of registered voters and black voters made up 19.15% of registered voters in

Congressional District 8. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 69.96% of registered voters and black voters made up 23.63% of registered voters in Congressional District 8.

73. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 80.49% of registered voters and black voters made up 13.69% of registered voters in Congressional District 9. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 82.53% of registered voters and black voters made up 11.39% of registered voters in Congressional District 9. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 70.44% of registered voters and black voters made up 19.46% of registered voters in Congressional District 9.

74. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 88.51% of registered voters and black voters made up 8.58% of registered voters in Congressional District 10. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 85.55% of registered voters and black voters made up 11.08% of registered voters in Congressional District 10. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 82.02% of registered voters and black voters made up 11.65% of registered voters in Congressional District 10.

75. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 92.59% of registered voters and black voters made up 3.71% of registered voters in Congressional District 11. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 94.20% of registered voters and black voters made up 2.61% of registered voters in Congressional District 11. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up

93.94% of registered voters and black voters made up 2.75% of registered voters in Congressional District 11.

76. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 45.26% of registered voters and black voters made up 48.56% of registered voters in Congressional District 12. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 35.67% of registered voters and black voters made up 57.02% of registered voters in Congressional District 12. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 53.51% of registered voters and black voters made up 37.99% of registered voters in Congressional District 12.

77. Exhibit 14 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 65.68% of registered voters and black voters made up 28.63% of registered voters in Congressional District 13. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 78.57% of registered voters and black voters made up 16.35% of registered voters in Congressional District 13. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, white voters made up 74.16% of registered voters and black voters made up 21.81% of registered voters in Congressional District 13.

78. Exhibit 15 is a spreadsheet that contains 2011 voter registration information by party and race sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016. The data is available on the North Carolina General Assembly's website (www.ncleg.net).

79. Exhibit 15 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 66.55% and white voters made up 30.72% of all registered Democrats in

Congressional District 1. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 69.29% and white voters made up 27.16% of all registered Democrats in Congressional District 1. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 61.85% and white voters made up 34.25% of all registered Democrats in Congressional District 1.

80. Exhibit 15 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 71.44% and white voters made up 23.38% of all registered Democrats in Congressional District 12. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 76.26% and white voters made up 18.03% of all registered Democrats in Congressional District 12. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, black voters made up 62.29% and white voters made up 30.08% of all registered Democrats in Congressional District 12.

81. Exhibit 16 is a spreadsheet that contains 2011 voting age population data sorted by Congressional district within the Congressional redistricting plans adopted in 2001, 2011 and 2016. The data is available on the North Carolina General Assembly's website (www.ncleg.net).

82. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 48.63% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 45.59% in Congressional District 1. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 52.65% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 38.52% in Congressional District 1. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 44.46% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 46.20% in Congressional District 1.

83. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 28.76% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 59.24% in Congressional District 2. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 16.55% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 70.33% in Congressional District 2. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 19.69% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 70.63% in Congressional District 2.

84. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 16.47% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 75.20% in Congressional District 3. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 18.41% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 74.00% in Congressional District 3. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 21.19% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 71.25% in Congressional District 3.

85. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 19.61% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 65.49% in Congressional District 4. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 31.71% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 52.80% in Congressional District 4. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 22.40% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 61.62% in Congressional District 4.

86. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 7.78% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 85.52% in Congressional District 5. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 12.16% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 79.57% in Congressional District 5. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 14.02% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 77.67% in Congressional District 5.

87. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 10.21% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 82.05% in Congressional District 6. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 14.80% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 78.33% in Congressional District 6. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 19.86% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 71.12% in Congressional District 6.

88. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 20.37% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 65.55% in Congressional District 7. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 17.38% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 72.52% in Congressional District 7. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 20.24% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 70.86% in Congressional District 7.

89. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 27.95% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 58.38% in Congressional District 8. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 18.28% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 66.78% in Congressional District 8. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 22.41% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 66.98% in Congressional District 8.

90. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 14.70% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 74.39% in Congressional District 9. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 12.36% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 76.57% in Congressional District 9. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 19.63% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 64.06% in Congressional District 9.

91. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 8.70% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 84.73% in Congressional District 10. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 11.16% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 82.39% in Congressional District 10. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 11.59% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 81.93% in Congressional District 10.

92. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 4.16% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 88.78% in Congressional District 11. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 3.23% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 89.77% in Congressional District 11. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 3.27% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 89.56% in Congressional District 11.

93. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 43.77% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 42.40% in Congressional District 12. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 50.66% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 32.92% in Congressional District 12. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 36.20% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 46.57% in Congressional District 12.

94. Exhibit 16 shows that under the 2001 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 28.22% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 59.72% in Congressional District 13. Under the 2011 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 17.02% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 73.44% in Congressional District 13. Under the 2016 Congressional Plan, the total black voting age population was 21.18% and the total non-Hispanic white voting age population was 69.80% in Congressional District 13.

95. Exhibit 17 depicts the 2016 Congressional Plan. Exhibit 18 shows that of North Carolina's 100 counties, the 2016 Congressional Plan contains 87 whole counties and 13 divided counties. Of the 13 divided counties, all 13 are divided into only two congressional districts. None of the 13 divided counties are divided into more than two congressional districts.

96. North Carolina is divided into 2,692 voting districts ("VTDs"), which are units of U.S. Census Bureau geography that, for North Carolina, reflect voter precincts as they existed on January 1st, 2008. A comparison in September of 2014 showed that 2,495 of the VTDs were identical to current precincts at that time. Of the remaining VTDs, all but five represented simple splits or combinations of current precincts. . Exhibit 19 shows that, of the total of 2,692 VTDs in North Carolina, the 2016 Congressional Plan divides only 12 VTDs.

97. Exhibit 20 depicts the congressional redistricting plan, "1992 Congressional Base Plan #10", enacted through the passage of S.L. 1991-7es for the conduct of the 1992 congressional elections (subsequently referred to as , the "1992 Congressional Plan"). Exhibit 20 also includes standard reports from the North Carolina General Assembly's 1990 redistricting system. Those reports depict the demographic characteristics of the 1992 Congressional Plan districts, based on the Census Bureau's "1990 Census of Population and Housing (Public Law 94-171 Data)" along with voter registration and election contest information from that time period.

98. Exhibit 20 also includes a 1990 redistricting system report dated August 27, 1998 that depicts the total number of divided counties within the 1992 Congressional

Plan. Exhibit 20 shows that the 1992 Congressional Plan divided a total of 44 counties. Of those 44 divided counties, 37 counties were placed in two congressional districts. The remaining seven divided counties were placed in three congressional districts.

99. Exhibit 21 consists of the text of S.L. 1991-7es that enacted the 1992 Congressional Plan. While a summary report of the number of divided precincts contained in 1992 Congressional Plan is not available due to the passage of time and the evolution of technology used by legislative staff in redistricting, we do have non-geographic data tables that were extracted from the 1990 redistricting system prior to its decommissioning. I have done a careful analysis using those tables to determine the number of split precincts in the 1992 Congressional Plan. Note that in the 1990 redistricting system, only 69 of the 100 counties in the state contained depictions of the precinct geography (VTDs in census geography terms). Independent of my analysis, staff of the General Assembly's Legislative Analysis Division reviewed the text of S.L. 1991-7es to identify precinct splits. Comparing our results, we agree that there were at least 77 precinct splits in the state under the 1992 Congressional Plan. Three additional precinct splits that appeared in the data table analysis were less than certain based on the session law review.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 4th day of March, 2016.


Dan Frey

North Carolina State Board of Elections

[State Wide](#)
General Election
November 2, 2010

[Select County](#)

Registered Voters: 6,172,787

Ballots Cast: 2,700,383

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 100
Counties Completely Reported: 100 of 100

Website last updated 12/20/2010 9:25:08 AM EST

Voter Turnout: 43.75 %

OFFICIAL RESULTS

COUNTY-LEVEL CONTEST RESULTS: [CLICK HERE](#)

SAMPLE BALLOTS: [CLICK HERE](#)

[Search Contests](#)

(1 of 397)

Go To Page ▼ Display ▼

US SENATE (Vote For 1)

100 of 100 Counties Reporting

		Percent	Votes
Elaine Marshall (DEM)		43.05%	1,145,074
Richard Burr (REP)		54.81%	1,458,046
Michael Beitler (LIB)		2.09%	55,687
Write-in		0.05%	1,272
			2,660,079

Powered by - [SOE Software](#)

North Carolina State Board of Elections

[State Wide](#)
General Election
November 2, 2010

[Select County](#)

Registered Voters: 6,172,787

Ballots Cast: 2,700,383

Voter Turnout: 43.75 %








Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 100
Counties Completely Reported: 100 of 100


Website last updated 12/20/2010 9:25:08 AM EST

OFFICIAL RESULTS

[Summary](#) > Contest Detail Map


US SENATE (Vote For 1)

Choice	Total	Color
Elaine Marshall (DEM)	1,145,074	
Richard Burr (REP)	1,458,046	
Michael Beitler (LIB)	55,687	
Write-in	1,272	
Tie		
Has Not Reported		
Not Participating		



Powered by - [SOE Software](#)

2010 General Election US Senate Contest in 2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected



NOVEMBER 6, 2012 GENERAL ELECTION

State Wide

Select County



North Carolina State Board of Elections

Website last updated: 11/18/2013 12:13:54 PM EST

SUMMARY

COUNTIES REPORTING

VOTER TURNOUT

FILTERS

Reports



Counties Completely Reported: 100 of 100

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 100



OFFICIAL RESULTS

SAMPLE BALLOTS



Search Contests (1 of 397)

NC GOVERNOR (VOTE FOR 1)

100 of 100 Counties Reporting

Detail

Map



Choice	Votes	Percent	
Walter H. Dalton (DEM)	1,931,580	43.23%	<div><div></div></div>
Pat McCrory (REP)	2,440,707	54.62%	<div><div></div></div>
Barbara Howe (LIB)	94,652	2.12%	<div><div></div></div>
Donald Kreamer (Write-in)	59	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>
Write-in (miscellaneous)	1,297	0.03%	<div><div></div></div>
Total	4,468,295		

SUMMARY

COUNTIES REPORTING

VOTER TURNOUT

FILTERS

NOVEMBER 6, 2012 GENERAL ELECTION

State Wide

Select County



North Carolina State Board of Elections

Website last updated: 11/18/2013 12:13:54 PM EST

SUMMARY

COUNTIES REPORTING

VOTER TURNOUT

FILTERS

Reports

Registered Voters: 6,639,131

Ballots Cast: 4,542,488

Voter Turnout: 68.42%

OFFICIAL RESULTS

SAMPLE BALLOTS



Search Contests (1 of 397)

NC GOVERNOR (VOTE FOR 1)


100 of 100 Counties Reporting

Detail


Map



Choice	Total	Color
Walter H. Dalton (DEM)	1,931,580	
Pat McCrory (REP)	2,440,707	
Barbara Howe (LIB)	94,652	
Donald Kreamer (Write-in)	59	
Write-in (miscellaneous)	1,297	
Tie		
Has Not Reported		
Not Participating		



2012 General Election Gubernatorial Contest
in 2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected





NORTH CAROLINA
State Board of Elections

Federal | Council of State | NC
Senate | NC
House | Judicial | Referenda | Cross-
County Local

11/04/2014 OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS - STATEWIDE

Criteria

Election:	11/04/2014	▼
County:	STATE	▼
Office:	FEDERAL	▼
Contest:	US SENATE	▼

US SENATE (VOTE FOR 1)

Precincts Reported: 2726 of 2726

NAME ON BALLOT	PARTY	BALLOT COUNT	PERCENT
Thom Tillis	REP	1,423,259	48.82%
Kay Hagan	DEM	1,377,651	47.26%
Sean Haugh	LIB	109,100	3.74%
Write-In (Miscellaneous)		4,307	0.15%
John Rhodes (Write-In)		621	0.02%
David Waddell (Write-In)		201	0.01%
Barry Gurney (Write-In)		142	0.00%














Text Size: A A

11/04/2014 OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION RESULTS - STATEWIDE


US SENATE (VOTE FOR 1)

100 of 100 Counties Completely Reported

2,726 of 2,726 Precincts Reporting

Contest Details			Results by Voting Method	Results by County
CHOICE	TOTAL		 <p>County: <input type="text" value="<Select a County>"/></p>	
Thom Tillis	1,423,259			
Kay Hagan	1,377,651			
Sean Haugh	109,100			
Write-In (Miscellaneous)	4,307			
John Rhodes (Write-In)	621			
David Waddell (Write-In)	201			
Barry Gurney (Write-In)	142			
Tie				
Not Reported				
Not Participating				

2014 General Election US Senate Contest
in 2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected



2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2008 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
1	2001	1,873,330	68.23%	851,917	31.03%	2,745,595	10	100%	0	0%
1	2011	2,389,680	73.96%	815,068	25.23%	3,231,098	10	100%	0	0%
1	2016	2,345,788	70.38%	955,427	28.67%	3,332,921	10	100%	0	0%
2	2001	1,657,105	56.12%	1,260,944	42.70%	2,952,710	10	100%	0	0%
2	2011	1,352,055	45.98%	1,543,974	52.50%	2,940,797	1	10%	9	90%
2	2016	1,548,034	46.86%	1,710,448	51.78%	3,303,481	1	10%	9	90%
3	2001	1,325,691	44.18%	1,636,603	54.54%	3,000,709	2	20%	8	80%
3	2011	1,436,313	48.61%	1,475,796	49.94%	2,954,980	3	30%	7	70%
3	2016	1,450,862	48.71%	1,489,107	50.00%	2,978,345	5	50%	5	50%
4	2001	2,504,445	60.19%	1,588,317	38.17%	4,160,663	10	100%	0	0%
4	2011	2,326,596	70.57%	922,323	27.97%	3,297,073	10	100%	0	0%
4	2016	2,218,298	63.39%	1,224,680	35.00%	3,499,360	10	100%	0	0%
5	2001	1,319,348	41.33%	1,820,673	57.03%	3,192,561	1	10%	9	90%
5	2011	1,485,242	44.27%	1,815,941	54.13%	3,354,637	1	10%	9	90%
5	2016	1,551,217	47.13%	1,689,012	51.31%	3,291,620	2	20%	8	80%
6	2001	1,249,819	38.43%	1,951,418	60.00%	3,252,216	0	0%	10	100%
6	2011	1,600,923	45.97%	1,828,634	52.50%	3,482,825	1	10%	9	90%
6	2016	1,543,587	48.40%	1,597,402	50.09%	3,189,216	4	40%	6	60%

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2008 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
7	2001	1,615,197	52.79%	1,396,168	45.63%	3,059,730	9	90%	1	10%
7	2011	1,481,035	47.44%	1,594,522	51.07%	3,121,990	2	20%	8	80%
7	2016	1,543,087	49.65%	1,516,691	48.80%	3,107,658	7	70%	3	30%
8	2001	1,537,653	55.32%	1,207,194	43.43%	2,779,716	10	100%	0	0%
8	2011	1,330,687	46.47%	1,495,146	52.21%	2,863,826	2	20%	8	80%
8	2016	1,446,565	48.01%	1,526,016	50.65%	3,012,862	4	40%	6	60%
9	2001	1,610,968	43.08%	2,081,487	55.66%	3,739,581	1	10%	9	90%
9	2011	1,423,337	42.52%	1,879,823	56.15%	3,347,775	1	10%	9	90%
9	2016	1,436,291	47.67%	1,543,054	51.21%	3,012,895	3	30%	7	70%
10	2001	1,165,227	39.67%	1,726,595	58.79%	2,937,064	0	0%	10	100%
10	2011	1,463,986	45.49%	1,706,180	53.01%	3,218,354	1	10%	9	90%
10	2016	1,446,787	45.16%	1,709,233	53.36%	3,203,412	1	10%	9	90%
11	2001	1,639,522	49.79%	1,596,238	48.48%	3,292,775	7	70%	3	30%
11	2011	1,450,585	44.52%	1,751,643	53.76%	3,257,989	1	10%	9	90%
11	2016	1,488,613	45.56%	1,722,281	52.71%	3,267,284	1	10%	9	90%
12	2001	2,073,103	69.81%	865,006	29.13%	2,969,576	10	100%	0	0%
12	2011	2,187,475	77.19%	618,631	21.83%	2,833,710	10	100%	0	0%
12	2016	1,912,090	65.54%	971,472	33.30%	2,917,330	10	100%	0	0%

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2008 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
13	2001	1,993,796	59.43%	1,312,759	39.13%	3,354,707	10	100%	0	0%
13	2011	1,637,290	46.35%	1,847,638	52.30%	3,532,549	1	10%	9	90%
13	2016	1,633,985	49.20%	1,640,496	49.39%	3,321,219	5	50%	5	50%

Ten 2008 statewide contests were included in this analysis: Attorney General, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, Commissioner of Labor, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, President of the United States, Superintendent of Public Instruction, and US Senate. This list matches those contests included in the NC General Assembly's standard 2011 redistricting 'stat pack'.

Information is based on the VTD-level 2008 general election returns file posted by the NC State Board of Elections (SBE).

VTD-based totals were disaggregated to the census block level so that they could be re-compiled by district.

Nearly all votes in the SBE's VTD-level election return files are allocated to VTDs. A small portion of the votes in certain counties are reported at the county-wide level. Those county-wide votes are excluded from the disaggregation process.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3', 2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'.

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2012 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
1	2001	1,893,947	66.83%	934,553	32.98%	2,833,930	10	100%	0	0%
1	2011	2,499,437	73.68%	885,803	26.11%	3,392,408	10	100%	0	0%
1	2016	2,455,328	70.26%	1,030,107	29.48%	3,494,478	10	100%	0	0%
2	2001	1,688,646	54.37%	1,407,155	45.31%	3,105,728	8	80%	2	20%
2	2011	1,367,820	43.89%	1,737,364	55.75%	3,116,329	0	0%	10	100%
2	2016	1,631,830	45.66%	1,929,692	54.00%	3,573,799	4	40%	6	60%
3	2001	1,226,386	39.36%	1,878,944	60.31%	3,115,608	0	0%	10	100%
3	2011	1,334,973	43.90%	1,694,705	55.73%	3,041,135	0	0%	10	100%
3	2016	1,353,716	43.92%	1,717,900	55.74%	3,081,898	0	0%	10	100%
4	2001	2,752,468	60.94%	1,744,655	38.63%	4,516,742	10	100%	0	0%
4	2011	2,504,443	71.41%	987,129	28.15%	3,506,945	10	100%	0	0%
4	2016	2,459,589	64.72%	1,322,962	34.81%	3,800,546	10	100%	0	0%
5	2001	1,197,065	37.17%	2,010,885	62.44%	3,220,363	0	0%	10	100%
5	2011	1,408,570	41.13%	2,003,281	58.50%	3,424,608	0	0%	10	100%
5	2016	1,467,595	43.94%	1,859,926	55.68%	3,340,088	0	0%	10	100%
6	2001	1,169,101	34.48%	2,210,439	65.19%	3,390,860	0	0%	10	100%
6	2011	1,541,092	42.91%	2,037,151	56.72%	3,591,428	0	0%	10	100%
6	2016	1,513,169	45.14%	1,827,947	54.54%	3,351,806	0	0%	10	100%

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2012 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
7	2001	1,583,402	49.42%	1,609,255	50.23%	3,203,935	5	50%	5	50%
7	2011	1,421,809	43.17%	1,860,751	56.50%	3,293,252	0	0%	10	100%
7	2016	1,488,973	45.81%	1,750,662	53.86%	3,250,248	0	0%	10	100%
8	2001	1,577,228	54.96%	1,283,797	44.73%	2,869,960	9	90%	1	10%
8	2011	1,326,552	44.63%	1,637,100	55.08%	2,972,363	0	0%	10	100%
8	2016	1,424,894	46.22%	1,648,758	53.48%	3,083,165	2	20%	8	80%
9	2001	1,727,108	42.30%	2,343,974	57.41%	4,083,163	0	0%	10	100%
9	2011	1,521,368	41.64%	2,120,422	58.04%	3,653,238	0	0%	10	100%
9	2016	1,479,695	46.17%	1,716,184	53.55%	3,204,774	2	20%	8	80%
10	2001	1,049,756	35.56%	1,892,549	64.12%	2,951,752	0	0%	10	100%
10	2011	1,415,123	42.86%	1,875,035	56.79%	3,301,778	0	0%	10	100%
10	2016	1,392,844	42.42%	1,879,396	57.24%	3,283,474	0	0%	10	100%
11	2001	1,547,919	46.57%	1,759,267	52.93%	3,323,501	0	0%	10	100%
11	2011	1,305,698	40.15%	1,931,472	59.40%	3,251,783	0	0%	10	100%
11	2016	1,352,745	41.39%	1,900,857	58.15%	3,268,643	0	0%	10	100%
12	2001	2,232,773	69.59%	966,986	30.14%	3,208,580	10	100%	0	0%
12	2011	2,382,433	77.76%	672,881	21.96%	3,063,666	10	100%	0	0%
12	2016	2,146,985	66.38%	1,077,317	33.31%	3,234,345	10	100%	0	0%

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Analysis of Contest Results from the 2012 General Election

District	Plan Year	Total Votes for Democratic Candidates	Percent Democratic Votes	Total Votes for Republican Candidates	Percent Republican Votes	Total Votes for All Candidates	Total Democratic Wins	Percent Democratic Wins	Total Republican Wins	Percent Republican Wins
13	2001	2,128,368	59.42%	1,439,437	40.19%	3,581,611	10	100%	0	0%
13	2011	1,744,849	45.96%	2,038,802	53.70%	3,796,800	4	40%	6	60%
13	2016	1,606,804	46.73%	1,820,188	52.94%	3,438,469	3	30%	7	70%

Ten 2012 statewide contests were included in this analysis: President of the United States, Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Auditor, Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, Commissioner of Labor, Secretary of State, Superintendent of Public Instruction, and Treasurer.

Information is based on the VTD-level 2012 general election returns file posted by the NC State Board of Elections (SBE).

VTD-based totals were disaggregated to the census block level so that they could be re-compiled by district.

Nearly all votes in the SBE's VTD-level election return files are allocated to VTDs. A small portion of the votes in certain counties are reported at the county-wide level. Those county-wide votes are excluded from the disaggregation process.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3', 2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'.

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of 2014 U.S. Senate Election Results by District

District	Plan Year	Votes for Thom Tillis (Republican)	Percent Votes for Tillis	Votes for Kay Hagan (Democrat)	Percent Votes for Hagan	Total Contest Votes
1	2001	66,797	36.42%	111,458	60.77%	183,415
1	2011	59,200	27.71%	149,037	69.77%	213,604
1	2016	68,747	30.51%	150,679	66.88%	225,296
2	2001	94,696	46.42%	101,317	49.66%	204,013
2	2011	116,090	55.51%	84,937	40.61%	209,135
2	2016	135,247	53.79%	107,436	42.73%	251,450
3	2001	132,141	61.15%	75,278	34.84%	216,078
3	2011	117,234	56.54%	81,243	39.18%	207,337
3	2016	121,514	56.96%	83,402	39.10%	213,326
4	2001	114,453	35.94%	195,403	61.35%	318,495
4	2011	57,620	25.06%	165,854	72.13%	229,945
4	2016	82,209	31.61%	170,135	65.43%	260,036
5	2001	134,532	60.77%	75,699	34.20%	221,366
5	2011	131,477	57.13%	88,191	38.32%	230,155
5	2016	122,718	54.90%	90,664	40.56%	223,544
6	2001	147,176	63.16%	76,883	32.99%	233,030
6	2011	140,051	55.18%	104,170	41.04%	253,801
6	2016	123,129	53.71%	97,216	42.41%	229,228
7	2001	108,736	50.17%	97,187	44.84%	216,719
7	2011	126,682	55.30%	91,078	39.76%	229,067
7	2016	116,703	52.70%	93,958	42.43%	221,441
8	2001	82,879	45.59%	90,982	50.05%	181,800
8	2011	107,529	56.04%	75,031	39.11%	191,870
8	2016	107,724	53.66%	84,114	41.90%	200,770
9	2001	140,150	54.29%	109,080	42.25%	258,154
9	2011	127,555	54.00%	100,251	42.44%	236,204
9	2016	113,061	53.96%	88,917	42.44%	209,523
10	2001	123,079	62.31%	63,374	32.08%	197,536
10	2011	120,868	54.62%	89,782	40.57%	221,307

**2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of 2014 U.S. Senate Election Results by District**

District	Plan Year	Votes for Thom Tillis (Republican)	Percent Votes for Tillis	Votes for Kay Hagan (Democrat)	Percent Votes for Hagan	Total Contest Votes
10	2016	121,180	55.22%	87,578	39.91%	219,445
11	2001	121,223	50.11%	109,191	45.14%	241,907
11	2011	131,928	56.66%	88,636	38.07%	232,849
11	2016	129,525	55.36%	92,138	39.38%	233,956
12	2001	55,781	29.66%	127,067	67.57%	188,045
12	2011	36,204	21.00%	131,835	76.49%	172,366
12	2016	56,705	30.44%	124,252	66.71%	186,261
13	2001	95,191	39.56%	137,384	57.10%	240,609
13	2011	144,396	52.79%	120,258	43.97%	273,527
13	2016	118,372	52.17%	99,814	43.99%	226,891

Information is based on the VTD-level 2014 general election returns file posted by the NC State Board of Elections (SBE).

VTD-based totals were disaggregated to the census block level so that they could be re-compiled by district.

Nearly all votes in the SBE's VTD-level election return files are allocated to VTDs. A small portion of the votes in certain counties are reported at the county-wide level. Those county-wide votes were excluded from the disaggregation process.

The 'Total Contest Votes' column includes both write-in votes and votes for the libertarian candidate, Sean Haugh.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3', 2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'.

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of Voter Registration by Party by District

District	Plan Year	Democrats	Percent Democratic	Republicans	Percent Republican	Libertarians	Percent Libertarian	Unaffiliated	Percent Unaffiliated	Total Registration
1	2001	273,630	67.78%	66,990	16.59%	361	0.09%	62,739	15.54%	403,720
1	2011	324,643	69.79%	66,150	14.22%	474	0.10%	73,887	15.88%	465,154
1	2016	312,511	66.34%	75,301	15.99%	556	0.12%	82,674	17.55%	471,042
2	2001	217,709	50.59%	121,375	28.20%	678	0.16%	90,605	21.05%	430,367
2	2011	164,637	37.85%	157,048	36.11%	814	0.19%	112,442	25.85%	434,941
2	2016	189,068	40.81%	165,214	35.66%	788	0.17%	108,185	23.35%	463,255
3	2001	184,039	40.90%	155,446	34.54%	712	0.16%	109,824	24.40%	450,021
3	2011	195,963	43.14%	140,992	31.04%	804	0.18%	116,528	25.65%	454,287
3	2016	203,523	44.72%	140,184	30.80%	660	0.15%	110,765	24.34%	455,132
4	2001	257,887	45.70%	145,977	25.87%	1,172	0.21%	159,243	28.22%	564,279
4	2011	257,171	55.12%	86,628	18.57%	996	0.21%	121,790	26.10%	466,585
4	2016	229,010	47.98%	112,539	23.58%	1,126	0.24%	134,614	28.20%	477,289
5	2001	152,519	33.63%	197,021	43.44%	708	0.16%	103,264	22.77%	453,512
5	2011	168,576	35.32%	198,266	41.54%	768	0.16%	109,705	22.98%	477,315
5	2016	181,001	38.29%	186,420	39.44%	751	0.16%	104,525	22.11%	472,697
6	2001	153,299	32.37%	208,288	43.98%	734	0.15%	111,278	23.50%	473,599
6	2011	208,192	41.68%	179,335	35.90%	759	0.15%	111,248	22.27%	499,534
6	2016	202,514	43.94%	155,514	33.74%	620	0.13%	102,217	22.18%	460,865
7	2001	232,111	48.88%	133,770	28.17%	758	0.16%	108,264	22.80%	474,903
7	2011	202,102	43.29%	155,501	33.31%	677	0.15%	108,602	23.26%	466,882
7	2016	205,607	44.21%	151,147	32.50%	793	0.17%	107,564	23.13%	465,111
8	2001	209,293	49.35%	116,742	27.53%	604	0.14%	97,479	22.98%	424,118

**2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of Voter Registration by Party by District**

District	Plan Year	Democrats	Percent Democratic	Republicans	Percent Republican	Libertarians	Percent Libertarian	Unaffiliated	Percent Unaffiliated	Total Registration
8	2011	200,731	45.87%	144,803	33.09%	525	0.12%	91,545	20.92%	437,604
8	2016	181,738	40.89%	154,589	34.78%	670	0.15%	107,479	24.18%	444,476
9	2001	190,376	33.58%	223,217	39.37%	950	0.17%	152,389	26.88%	566,932
9	2011	159,910	31.74%	201,436	39.99%	868	0.17%	141,553	28.10%	503,767
9	2016	209,962	45.01%	150,080	32.17%	583	0.12%	105,830	22.69%	466,455
10	2001	150,319	34.62%	179,383	41.32%	575	0.13%	103,872	23.93%	434,149
10	2011	185,817	39.28%	168,405	35.60%	679	0.14%	118,126	24.97%	473,027
10	2016	184,479	39.17%	168,922	35.86%	672	0.14%	116,942	24.83%	471,015
11	2001	195,462	39.25%	162,126	32.56%	758	0.15%	139,591	28.03%	497,937
11	2011	179,930	35.97%	187,210	37.43%	702	0.14%	132,374	26.46%	500,216
11	2016	184,937	36.90%	182,057	36.33%	713	0.14%	133,454	26.63%	501,161
12	2001	267,604	58.42%	97,766	21.34%	607	0.13%	92,127	20.11%	458,104
12	2011	284,906	63.93%	71,668	16.08%	568	0.13%	88,543	19.87%	445,685
12	2016	236,346	51.25%	108,268	23.48%	747	0.16%	115,768	25.11%	461,129
13	2001	240,261	51.03%	120,294	25.55%	792	0.17%	109,479	23.25%	470,826
13	2011	191,931	40.20%	170,953	35.80%	775	0.16%	113,811	23.84%	477,470
13	2016	203,813	41.35%	178,160	36.15%	730	0.15%	110,137	22.35%	492,840

Voter registration information is as contained in the NC General Assembly's 2011 redistricting database.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3', 2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'.

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of Voter Registration by Race by District

District	Plan Year	White (State Total = 4,461,951)	Percent White (Statewide = 73.12%)	Black (State Total = 1,319,682)	Percent Black (Statewide = 21.63%)	Native American (State Total = 47,785)	Percent Native American (Statewide = 0.78%)	Other (State Total = 273,049)	Percent Other (Statewide = 4.47%)	Total Registration (State Total = 6,102,467)
1	2001	185,815	46.03%	204,518	50.66%	2,168	0.54%	11,219	2.78%	403,720
1	2011	190,011	40.85%	253,661	54.53%	2,471	0.53%	19,011	4.09%	465,154
1	2016	230,244	48.88%	217,333	46.14%	2,422	0.51%	21,043	4.47%	471,042
2	2001	271,800	63.16%	135,555	31.50%	1,689	0.39%	21,323	4.95%	430,367
2	2011	332,657	76.48%	73,879	16.99%	3,029	0.70%	25,376	5.83%	434,941
2	2016	351,630	75.90%	89,563	19.33%	1,400	0.30%	20,662	4.46%	463,255
3	2001	358,620	79.69%	73,734	16.38%	950	0.21%	16,717	3.71%	450,021
3	2011	348,256	76.66%	88,069	19.39%	953	0.21%	17,009	3.74%	454,287
3	2016	339,264	74.54%	99,135	21.78%	876	0.19%	15,857	3.48%	455,132
4	2001	409,869	72.64%	107,975	19.14%	1,230	0.22%	45,205	8.01%	564,279
4	2011	268,976	57.65%	154,590	33.13%	1,439	0.31%	41,580	8.91%	466,585
4	2016	329,190	68.97%	105,605	22.13%	1,103	0.23%	41,391	8.67%	477,289
5	2001	406,431	89.62%	34,465	7.60%	600	0.13%	12,016	2.65%	453,512
5	2011	404,578	84.76%	57,131	11.97%	707	0.15%	14,899	3.12%	477,315
5	2016	388,716	82.23%	68,890	14.57%	665	0.14%	14,426	3.05%	472,697
6	2001	411,654	86.92%	46,914	9.91%	1,161	0.25%	13,870	2.93%	473,599
6	2011	410,373	82.15%	71,778	14.37%	982	0.20%	16,401	3.28%	499,534
6	2016	348,548	75.63%	96,181	20.87%	1,102	0.24%	15,034	3.26%	460,865
7	2001	331,628	69.83%	100,431	21.15%	26,484	5.58%	16,360	3.44%	474,903
7	2011	365,275	78.24%	81,785	17.52%	5,992	1.28%	13,830	2.96%	466,882

**2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of Voter Registration by Race by District**

District	Plan Year	White (State Total = 4,461,951)	Percent White (Statewide = 73.12%)	Black (State Total = 1,319,682)	Percent Black (Statewide = 21.63%)	Native American (State Total = 47,785)	Percent Native American (Statewide = 0.78%)	Other (State Total = 273,049)	Percent Other (Statewide = 4.47%)	Total Registration (State Total = 6,102,467)
7	2016	351,359	75.54%	95,821	20.60%	2,490	0.54%	15,441	3.32%	465,111
8	2001	267,921	63.17%	125,466	29.58%	5,043	1.19%	25,688	6.06%	424,118
8	2011	316,086	72.23%	83,788	19.15%	23,929	5.47%	13,801	3.15%	437,604
8	2016	310,977	69.96%	105,035	23.63%	3,794	0.85%	24,670	5.55%	444,476
9	2001	456,324	80.49%	77,590	13.69%	1,311	0.23%	31,707	5.59%	566,932
9	2011	415,771	82.53%	57,354	11.39%	1,089	0.22%	29,553	5.87%	503,767
9	2016	328,554	70.44%	90,768	19.46%	26,594	5.70%	20,539	4.40%	466,455
10	2001	384,259	88.51%	37,258	8.58%	523	0.12%	12,109	2.79%	434,149
10	2011	404,683	85.55%	52,407	11.08%	740	0.16%	15,197	3.21%	473,027
10	2016	400,437	85.02%	54,877	11.65%	740	0.16%	14,961	3.18%	471,015
11	2001	461,054	92.59%	18,494	3.71%	4,239	0.85%	14,150	2.84%	497,937
11	2011	471,183	94.20%	13,044	2.61%	4,163	0.83%	11,826	2.36%	500,216
11	2016	470,799	93.94%	13,777	2.75%	4,168	0.83%	12,417	2.48%	501,161
12	2001	207,336	45.26%	222,468	48.56%	1,254	0.27%	27,046	5.90%	458,104
12	2011	158,959	35.67%	254,119	57.02%	1,378	0.31%	31,229	7.01%	445,685
12	2016	246,756	53.51%	175,184	37.99%	1,284	0.28%	37,905	8.22%	461,129
13	2001	309,240	65.68%	134,814	28.63%	1,133	0.24%	25,639	5.45%	470,826
13	2011	375,143	78.57%	78,077	16.35%	913	0.19%	23,337	4.89%	477,470
13	2016	365,477	74.16%	107,513	21.81%	1,147	0.23%	18,703	3.79%	492,840

Voter registration information is as contained in the NC General Assembly's 2011 redistricting database.

Voter registration source data does not break out multi-race components. White, Black, and Native American columns reflect single race only.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3', 2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'.

Registration by Party																		Registration by Race Without Regard to Party			
		Racial %s among D's					Racial %s among R's					Racial %s among U's									
District	VR Total	% D	White % of D	Black % of D	NA % of D	Other % of D	% R	White % of R	Black % of R	NA % of R	Other % of R	% U	White % of U	Black % of U	NA % of U	Other % of U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	403,720	67.78%	30.72%	66.55%	0.63%	2.10%	16.59%	88.87%	8.47%	0.24%	2.42%	15.54%	66.89%	26.56%	0.46%	6.10%	0.09%	46.03%	50.66%	0.54%	2.78%
2	430,367	50.59%	42.08%	53.34%	0.43%	4.15%	28.20%	93.30%	3.21%	0.27%	3.22%	21.05%	73.27%	17.08%	0.46%	9.18%	0.16%	63.16%	31.50%	0.39%	4.95%
3	450,021	40.90%	62.98%	33.58%	0.21%	3.23%	34.54%	95.39%	1.70%	0.16%	2.74%	24.40%	85.43%	8.43%	0.27%	5.87%	0.16%	79.69%	16.38%	0.21%	3.71%
4	564,279	45.70%	57.20%	35.54%	0.26%	7.00%	25.87%	94.27%	1.59%	0.13%	4.01%	28.22%	77.73%	8.76%	0.23%	13.29%	0.21%	72.64%	19.14%	0.22%	8.01%
5	453,512	33.63%	78.03%	18.96%	0.13%	2.87%	43.44%	97.97%	0.57%	0.09%	1.37%	22.77%	90.81%	4.26%	0.20%	4.72%	0.16%	89.62%	7.60%	0.13%	2.65%
6	473,599	32.37%	70.74%	25.61%	0.29%	3.37%	43.98%	97.67%	0.68%	0.17%	1.47%	23.50%	89.07%	5.58%	0.32%	5.03%	0.15%	86.92%	9.91%	0.25%	2.93%
7	474,903	48.88%	51.07%	37.18%	8.89%	2.86%	28.17%	93.70%	2.28%	1.69%	2.33%	22.80%	80.46%	10.19%	3.30%	6.05%	0.16%	69.83%	21.15%	5.58%	3.44%
8	424,118	49.35%	43.41%	50.21%	1.35%	5.03%	27.53%	91.90%	3.25%	0.75%	4.10%	22.98%	71.12%	16.94%	1.37%	10.56%	0.14%	63.17%	29.58%	1.19%	6.06%
9	566,932	33.58%	60.24%	33.04%	0.27%	6.45%	39.37%	95.97%	1.10%	0.15%	2.78%	26.88%	83.09%	7.99%	0.31%	8.61%	0.17%	80.49%	13.69%	0.23%	5.59%
10	434,149	34.62%	75.70%	21.30%	0.12%	2.87%	41.32%	97.61%	0.67%	0.09%	1.63%	23.93%	91.31%	3.86%	0.17%	4.65%	0.13%	88.51%	8.58%	0.12%	2.79%
11	497,937	39.25%	88.78%	7.65%	0.89%	2.68%	32.56%	97.34%	0.46%	0.54%	1.66%	28.03%	92.43%	1.99%	1.15%	4.43%	0.15%	92.59%	3.71%	0.85%	2.84%
12	458,104	58.42%	23.38%	71.44%	0.26%	4.92%	21.34%	90.83%	5.56%	0.22%	3.39%	20.11%	60.28%	27.93%	0.38%	11.41%	0.13%	45.26%	48.56%	0.27%	5.90%
13	470,826	51.03%	47.28%	47.87%	0.25%	4.61%	25.55%	93.91%	2.69%	0.18%	3.22%	23.25%	74.92%	15.09%	0.29%	9.70%	0.17%	65.68%	28.63%	0.24%	5.45%
Totals:	6,102,467	44.65%	53.37%	41.38%	1.16%	4.09%	31.60%	95.24%	1.92%	0.33%	2.51%	23.60%	80.78%	10.74%	0.68%	7.80%	0.15%	73.12%	21.63%	0.78%	4.47%

D=Democratic, R=Republican, U=Unaffiliated, L=Libertarian, NA= American Indian.
Voters who do not designate a race are omitted from this chart, so the percentages may not add up to 100%

Registration by Party																		Registration by Race Without Regard to Party			
		Racial %s among D's					Racial %s among R's					Racial %s among U's					Party				
District	VR Total	% D	White % of D	Black % of D	NA % of D	Other % of D	% R	White % of R	Black % of R	NA % of R	Other % of R	% U	White % of U	Black % of U	NA % of U	Other % of U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	465,154	69.79%	27.16%	69.29%	0.60%	2.95%	14.22%	87.00%	9.80%	0.27%	2.92%	15.88%	59.50%	29.96%	0.47%	10.07%	0.10%	40.85%	54.53%	0.53%	4.09%
2	434,941	37.85%	56.70%	36.86%	0.89%	5.54%	36.11%	94.58%	1.57%	0.45%	3.40%	25.85%	80.13%	9.50%	0.75%	9.62%	0.19%	76.48%	16.99%	0.70%	5.83%
3	454,287	43.14%	58.89%	37.89%	0.20%	3.03%	31.04%	94.87%	2.09%	0.17%	2.87%	25.65%	84.45%	9.29%	0.28%	5.98%	0.18%	76.66%	19.39%	0.21%	3.74%
4	466,585	55.12%	42.39%	50.36%	0.31%	6.94%	18.57%	88.80%	4.54%	0.27%	6.40%	26.10%	67.54%	17.30%	0.32%	14.84%	0.21%	57.65%	33.13%	0.31%	8.91%
5	477,315	35.32%	67.87%	28.55%	0.15%	3.44%	41.54%	97.54%	0.84%	0.10%	1.53%	22.98%	87.61%	6.67%	0.22%	5.49%	0.16%	84.76%	11.97%	0.15%	3.12%
6	499,534	41.68%	66.99%	29.59%	0.21%	3.21%	35.90%	97.17%	1.01%	0.13%	1.69%	22.27%	86.27%	7.50%	0.27%	5.96%	0.15%	82.15%	14.37%	0.20%	3.28%
7	466,882	43.29%	60.48%	34.87%	2.02%	2.63%	33.31%	95.99%	1.66%	0.46%	1.88%	23.26%	85.81%	7.99%	1.08%	5.11%	0.15%	78.24%	17.52%	1.28%	2.96%
8	437,604	45.87%	51.52%	36.26%	9.22%	3.00%	33.09%	95.09%	1.63%	1.46%	1.82%	20.92%	81.45%	9.40%	3.59%	5.57%	0.12%	72.23%	19.15%	5.47%	3.15%
9	503,767	31.74%	64.08%	28.81%	0.26%	6.85%	39.99%	95.89%	0.98%	0.13%	3.00%	28.10%	84.36%	6.55%	0.29%	8.80%	0.17%	82.53%	11.39%	0.22%	5.87%
10	473,027	39.28%	72.70%	23.93%	0.15%	3.22%	35.60%	97.28%	0.95%	0.11%	1.67%	24.97%	89.04%	5.35%	0.23%	5.37%	0.14%	85.55%	11.08%	0.16%	3.21%
11	500,216	35.97%	90.88%	5.91%	0.94%	2.28%	37.43%	97.74%	0.30%	0.48%	1.48%	26.46%	93.70%	1.40%	1.18%	3.72%	0.14%	94.20%	2.61%	0.83%	2.36%
12	445,685	63.93%	18.03%	76.26%	0.29%	5.42%	16.08%	86.15%	8.65%	0.29%	4.91%	19.87%	51.38%	34.45%	0.39%	13.78%	0.13%	35.67%	57.02%	0.31%	7.01%
13	477,470	40.20%	60.56%	34.66%	0.23%	4.56%	35.80%	95.71%	1.42%	0.13%	2.74%	23.84%	83.15%	8.01%	0.22%	8.63%	0.16%	78.57%	16.35%	0.19%	4.89%
Totals:	6,102,467	44.65%	53.37%	41.38%	1.16%	4.09%	31.60%	95.24%	1.92%	0.33%	2.51%	23.60%	80.78%	10.74%	0.68%	7.80%	0.15%	73.12%	21.63%	0.78%	4.47%

D=Democratic, R=Republican, U=Unaffiliated, L=Libertarian, NA= American Indian.
Voters who do not designate a race are omitted from this chart, so the percentages may not add up to 100%

Registration by Party																		Registration by Race Without Regard to Party			
		Racial %s among D's					Racial %s among R's				Racial %s among U's										
District	VR Total	% D	White % of D	Black % of D	NA % of D	Other % of D	% R	White % of R	Black % of R	NA % of R	Other % of R	% U	White % of U	Black % of U	NA % of U	Other % of U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	471,042	66.34%	34.25%	61.85%	0.61%	3.30%	15.99%	89.94%	6.99%	0.23%	2.84%	17.55%	66.61%	22.63%	0.43%	10.33%	0.12%	48.88%	46.14%	0.51%	4.47%
2	463,255	40.81%	54.94%	40.56%	0.39%	4.11%	35.66%	95.34%	1.77%	0.19%	2.70%	23.35%	82.79%	9.16%	0.33%	7.73%	0.17%	75.90%	19.33%	0.30%	4.46%
3	455,132	44.72%	55.63%	41.45%	0.17%	2.75%	30.80%	94.65%	2.36%	0.17%	2.83%	24.34%	83.79%	10.31%	0.26%	5.64%	0.15%	74.54%	21.78%	0.19%	3.48%
4	477,289	47.98%	53.87%	38.51%	0.26%	7.36%	23.58%	93.05%	2.17%	0.16%	4.62%	28.20%	74.42%	11.08%	0.25%	14.26%	0.24%	68.97%	22.13%	0.23%	8.67%
5	472,697	38.29%	64.09%	32.66%	0.14%	3.12%	39.44%	97.47%	0.92%	0.10%	1.51%	22.11%	86.45%	7.67%	0.22%	5.66%	0.16%	82.23%	14.57%	0.14%	3.05%
6	460,865	43.94%	55.63%	41.04%	0.24%	3.09%	33.74%	96.52%	1.49%	0.17%	1.82%	22.18%	83.42%	10.47%	0.33%	5.78%	0.13%	75.63%	20.87%	0.24%	3.26%
7	465,111	44.21%	56.22%	40.04%	0.80%	2.94%	32.50%	95.78%	1.97%	0.23%	2.03%	23.13%	83.98%	9.73%	0.46%	5.83%	0.17%	75.54%	20.60%	0.54%	3.32%
8	444,476	40.89%	46.45%	47.35%	1.16%	5.04%	34.78%	93.60%	2.26%	0.47%	3.67%	24.18%	75.69%	14.34%	0.88%	9.09%	0.15%	69.96%	23.63%	0.85%	5.55%
9	466,455	45.01%	49.29%	37.16%	9.66%	3.89%	32.17%	93.71%	1.82%	1.62%	2.85%	22.69%	79.34%	9.43%	3.65%	7.58%	0.12%	70.44%	19.46%	5.70%	4.40%
10	471,015	39.17%	71.42%	25.25%	0.15%	3.18%	35.86%	97.22%	0.99%	0.11%	1.67%	24.83%	88.82%	5.65%	0.22%	5.31%	0.14%	85.02%	11.65%	0.16%	3.18%
11	501,161	36.90%	90.64%	6.07%	0.92%	2.37%	36.33%	97.67%	0.32%	0.48%	1.52%	26.63%	93.44%	1.47%	1.18%	3.91%	0.14%	93.94%	2.75%	0.83%	2.48%
12	461,129	51.25%	30.08%	62.29%	0.29%	7.35%	23.48%	91.04%	4.25%	0.19%	4.53%	25.11%	66.14%	20.09%	0.35%	13.42%	0.16%	53.51%	37.99%	0.28%	8.22%
13	492,840	41.35%	51.04%	44.72%	0.26%	3.98%	36.15%	96.28%	1.66%	0.17%	1.89%	22.35%	81.08%	12.12%	0.28%	6.51%	0.15%	74.16%	21.81%	0.23%	3.79%
Totals:	6,102,467	44.65%	53.37%	41.38%	1.16%	4.09%	31.60%	95.24%	1.92%	0.33%	2.51%	23.60%	80.78%	10.74%	0.68%	7.80%	0.15%	73.12%	21.63%	0.78%	4.47%

D=Democratic, R=Republican, U=Unaffiliated, L=Libertarian, NA=American Indian.
In this chart, the "Other" race categories also include multi-race voters and those voters for whom a race indicator was not present in the source data.

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans
Comparison of Voting Age Population by District

District	Plan Year	TBVAP (State Total = 1,536,233)	% TBVAP (Statewide = 21.18%)	Non-Hispanic White (State Total = 4,964,325)	% Non-Hispanic White (Statewide = 68.44%)	Total VAP (State Total = 7,253,848)
1	2001	236,757	48.63%	221,967	45.59%	486,842
1	2011	295,606	52.65%	216,272	38.52%	561,408
1	2016	252,137	44.46%	262,036	46.20%	567,153
2	2001	158,368	28.76%	326,237	59.24%	550,661
2	2011	89,021	16.55%	378,349	70.33%	537,940
2	2016	104,189	19.69%	373,806	70.63%	529,248
3	2001	93,321	16.47%	426,113	75.20%	566,653
3	2011	105,182	18.41%	422,725	74.00%	571,220
3	2016	119,594	21.19%	402,120	71.25%	564,346
4	2001	122,148	19.61%	407,845	65.49%	622,731
4	2011	179,106	31.71%	298,294	52.80%	564,911
4	2016	125,946	22.40%	346,418	61.62%	562,184
5	2001	41,835	7.78%	460,108	85.52%	537,982
5	2011	69,013	12.16%	451,660	79.57%	567,591
5	2016	79,564	14.02%	440,679	77.67%	567,385
6	2001	56,024	10.21%	450,205	82.05%	548,666
6	2011	84,302	14.80%	446,177	78.33%	569,577
6	2016	110,853	19.86%	396,980	71.12%	558,216
7	2001	117,044	20.37%	376,619	65.55%	574,535
7	2011	97,290	17.38%	405,992	72.52%	559,822

2001, 2011 and 2016 Congressional Plans Comparison of Voting Age Population by District

District	Plan Year	TBVAP (State Total = 1,536,233)	% TBVAP (Statewide = 21.18%)	Non-Hispanic White (State Total = 4,964,325)	% Non-Hispanic White (Statewide = 68.44%)	Total VAP (State Total = 7,253,848)
7	2016	115,015	20.24%	402,708	70.86%	568,306
8	2001	146,797	27.95%	306,651	58.38%	525,233
8	2011	100,017	18.28%	365,369	66.78%	547,085
8	2016	122,038	22.41%	364,679	66.98%	544,474
9	2001	92,353	14.70%	467,405	74.39%	628,347
9	2011	66,878	12.36%	414,162	76.57%	540,876
9	2016	105,434	19.63%	344,074	64.06%	537,083
10	2001	45,950	8.70%	447,773	84.73%	528,440
10	2011	63,207	11.16%	466,711	82.39%	566,474
10	2016	65,712	11.59%	464,602	81.93%	567,088
11	2001	23,378	4.16%	498,870	88.78%	561,917
11	2011	18,791	3.23%	522,312	89.77%	581,827
11	2016	18,997	3.27%	519,970	89.56%	580,569
12	2001	241,158	43.77%	233,590	42.40%	550,970
12	2011	275,812	50.66%	179,228	32.92%	544,436
12	2016	197,908	36.20%	254,600	46.57%	546,656
13	2001	161,100	28.22%	340,942	59.72%	570,871
13	2011	92,008	17.02%	397,074	73.44%	540,681
13	2016	118,846	21.18%	391,653	69.80%	561,140

Population information is based on the 2010 census.

VAP = Voting Age Population




Total Black VAP, or TBVAP, includes 'single race black' and 'multi-race' where one of the component races is black.

Non-Hispanic White VAP includes single race white only.

Plan years correspond with district plans as follows: 2001 = 'Congress ZeroDeviation', 2011 = 'Rucho-Lewis Congress 3'


2016 = '2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected'

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan - Corrected



2015 Regular Session

Printed by the NC General Assembly, February 18, 2016.



2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by County and District						
County	District	County Pop	District Pop	County District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
Alamance	6	151,131	733,498	151,131	100.0%	20.604%
Alexander	5	37,198	733,499	37,198	100.0%	5.071%
Alleghany	5	11,155	733,499	11,155	100.0%	1.521%
Anson	9	26,948	733,498	26,948	100.0%	3.674%
Ashe	5	27,281	733,499	27,281	100.0%	3.719%
Avery	5	17,797	733,499	17,797	100.0%	2.426%
Beaufort	3	47,759	733,498	47,759	100.0%	6.511%
Bertie	1	21,282	733,499	21,282	100.0%	2.901%
Bladen	7	35,190	733,499	8,981	25.521%	1.224%
Bladen	9	35,190	733,498	26,209	74.479%	3.573%
Brunswick	7	107,431	733,499	107,431	100.0%	14.646%
Buncombe	10	238,318	733,499	111,849	46.933%	15.249%
Buncombe	11	238,318	733,499	126,469	53.067%	17.242%
Burke	11	90,912	733,499	90,912	100.0%	12.394%
Cabarrus	8	178,011	733,499	178,011	100.0%	24.269%
Caldwell	11	83,029	733,499	83,029	100.0%	11.32%
Camden	3	9,980	733,498	9,980	100.0%	1.361%
Carteret	3	66,469	733,498	66,469	100.0%	9.062%
Caswell	6	23,719	733,498	23,719	100.0%	3.234%
Catawba	5	154,358	733,499	9,499	6.154%	1.295%
Catawba	10	154,358	733,499	144,859	93.846%	19.749%
Chatham	6	63,505	733,498	63,505	100.0%	8.658%
Cherokee	11	27,444	733,499	27,444	100.0%	3.742%
Chowan	3	14,793	733,498	14,793	100.0%	2.017%
Clay	11	10,587	733,499	10,587	100.0%	1.443%
Cleveland	10	98,078	733,499	98,078	100.0%	13.371%
Columbus	7	58,098	733,499	58,098	100.0%	7.921%
Craven	3	103,505	733,498	103,505	100.0%	14.111%
Cumberland	8	319,431	733,499	243,476	76.222%	33.194%
Cumberland	9	319,431	733,498	75,955	23.778%	10.355%
Currituck	3	23,547	733,498	23,547	100.0%	3.21%
Dare	3	33,920	733,498	33,920	100.0%	4.624%
Davidson	13	162,878	733,499	162,878	100.0%	22.206%
Davie	13	41,240	733,499	41,240	100.0%	5.622%
Duplin	7	58,505	733,499	58,505	100.0%	7.976%
Durham	1	267,587	733,499	240,299	89.802%	32.761%
Durham	4	267,587	733,499	27,288	10.198%	3.72%
Edgecombe	1	56,552	733,499	56,552	100.0%	7.71%
Forsyth	5	350,670	733,499	350,670	100.0%	47.808%
Franklin	2	60,619	733,499	60,619	100.0%	8.264%
Gaston	10	206,086	733,499	206,086	100.0%	28.096%
Gates	1	12,197	733,499	12,197	100.0%	1.663%

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by County and District						
County	District	County Pop	District Pop	County District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
Graham	11	8,861	733,499	8,861	100.0%	1.208%
Granville	1	59,916	733,499	59,916	100.0%	8.169%
Greene	3	21,362	733,498	21,362	100.0%	2.912%
Guilford	6	488,406	733,498	162,418	33.255%	22.143%
Guilford	13	488,406	733,499	325,988	66.745%	44.443%
Halifax	1	54,691	733,499	54,691	100.0%	7.456%
Harnett	2	114,678	733,499	114,678	100.0%	15.634%
Haywood	11	59,036	733,499	59,036	100.0%	8.049%
Henderson	11	106,740	733,499	106,740	100.0%	14.552%
Hertford	1	24,669	733,499	24,669	100.0%	3.363%
Hoke	8	46,952	733,499	46,952	100.0%	6.401%
Hyde	3	5,810	733,498	5,810	100.0%	0.792%
Iredell	10	159,437	733,499	6,042	3.79%	0.824%
Iredell	13	159,437	733,499	153,395	96.21%	20.913%
Jackson	11	40,271	733,499	40,271	100.0%	5.49%
Johnston	2	168,878	733,499	109,332	64.74%	14.906%
Johnston	7	168,878	733,499	59,546	35.26%	8.118%
Jones	3	10,153	733,498	10,153	100.0%	1.384%
Lee	6	57,866	733,498	57,866	100.0%	7.889%
Lenoir	3	59,495	733,498	59,495	100.0%	8.111%
Lincoln	10	78,265	733,499	78,265	100.0%	10.67%
Macon	11	33,922	733,499	33,922	100.0%	4.625%
Madison	11	20,764	733,499	20,764	100.0%	2.831%
Martin	1	24,505	733,499	24,505	100.0%	3.341%
McDowell	11	44,996	733,499	44,996	100.0%	6.134%
Mecklenburg	9	919,628	733,498	186,130	20.24%	25.376%
Mecklenburg	12	919,628	733,498	733,498	79.76%	100.0%
Mitchell	11	15,579	733,499	15,579	100.0%	2.124%
Montgomery	8	27,798	733,499	27,798	100.0%	3.79%
Moore	8	88,247	733,499	88,247	100.0%	12.031%
Nash	2	95,840	733,499	95,840	100.0%	13.066%
New Hanover	7	202,667	733,499	202,667	100.0%	27.63%
Northampton	1	22,099	733,499	22,099	100.0%	3.013%
Onslow	3	177,772	733,498	177,772	100.0%	24.236%
Orange	4	133,801	733,499	133,801	100.0%	18.241%
Pamlico	3	13,144	733,498	13,144	100.0%	1.792%
Pasquotank	3	40,661	733,498	40,661	100.0%	5.543%
Pender	7	52,217	733,499	52,217	100.0%	7.119%
Perquimans	3	13,453	733,498	13,453	100.0%	1.834%
Person	6	39,464	733,498	39,464	100.0%	5.38%
Pitt	1	168,148	733,499	80,880	48.1%	11.027%
Pitt	3	168,148	733,498	87,268	51.9%	11.898%

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by County and District						
County	District	County Pop	District Pop	County District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
Polk	10	20,510	733,499	20,510	100.0%	2.796%
Randolph	6	141,752	733,498	141,752	100.0%	19.325%
Richmond	9	46,639	733,498	46,639	100.0%	6.358%
Robeson	9	134,168	733,498	134,168	100.0%	18.292%
Rockingham	6	93,643	733,498	93,643	100.0%	12.767%
Rowan	8	138,428	733,499	88,430	63.882%	12.056%
Rowan	13	138,428	733,499	49,998	36.118%	6.816%
Rutherford	10	67,810	733,499	67,810	100.0%	9.245%
Sampson	7	63,431	733,499	63,431	100.0%	8.648%
Scotland	9	36,157	733,498	36,157	100.0%	4.929%
Stanly	8	60,585	733,499	60,585	100.0%	8.26%
Stokes	5	47,401	733,499	47,401	100.0%	6.462%
Surry	5	73,673	733,499	73,673	100.0%	10.044%
Swain	11	13,981	733,499	13,981	100.0%	1.906%
Transylvania	11	33,090	733,499	33,090	100.0%	4.511%
Tyrrell	3	4,407	733,498	4,407	100.0%	0.601%
Union	9	201,292	733,498	201,292	100.0%	27.443%
Vance	1	45,422	733,499	45,422	100.0%	6.193%
Wake	2	900,993	733,499	328,583	36.469%	44.797%
Wake	4	900,993	733,499	572,410	63.531%	78.038%
Warren	1	20,972	733,499	20,972	100.0%	2.859%
Washington	1	13,228	733,499	13,228	100.0%	1.803%
Watauga	5	51,079	733,499	51,079	100.0%	6.964%
Wayne	7	122,623	733,499	122,623	100.0%	16.718%
Wilkes	5	69,340	733,499	69,340	100.0%	9.453%
Wilson	1	81,234	733,499	56,787	69.905%	7.742%
Wilson	2	81,234	733,499	24,447	30.095%	3.333%
Yadkin	5	38,406	733,499	38,406	100.0%	5.236%
Yancey	11	17,818	733,499	17,818	100.0%	2.429%

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by District and County						
District	County	County Pop	District Pop	Cnty District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
1	Bertie	21,282	733,499	21,282	100.0%	2.901%
1	Durham	267,587	733,499	240,299	89.802%	32.761%
1	Edgecombe	56,552	733,499	56,552	100.0%	7.71%
1	Gates	12,197	733,499	12,197	100.0%	1.663%
1	Granville	59,916	733,499	59,916	100.0%	8.169%
1	Halifax	54,691	733,499	54,691	100.0%	7.456%
1	Hertford	24,669	733,499	24,669	100.0%	3.363%
1	Martin	24,505	733,499	24,505	100.0%	3.341%
1	Northampton	22,099	733,499	22,099	100.0%	3.013%
1	Pitt	168,148	733,499	80,880	48.1%	11.027%
1	Vance	45,422	733,499	45,422	100.0%	6.193%
1	Warren	20,972	733,499	20,972	100.0%	2.859%
1	Washington	13,228	733,499	13,228	100.0%	1.803%
1	Wilson	81,234	733,499	56,787	69.905%	7.742%
2	Franklin	60,619	733,499	60,619	100.0%	8.264%
2	Harnett	114,678	733,499	114,678	100.0%	15.634%
2	Johnston	168,878	733,499	109,332	64.74%	14.906%
2	Nash	95,840	733,499	95,840	100.0%	13.066%
2	Wake	900,993	733,499	328,583	36.469%	44.797%
2	Wilson	81,234	733,499	24,447	30.095%	3.333%
3	Beaufort	47,759	733,498	47,759	100.0%	6.511%
3	Camden	9,980	733,498	9,980	100.0%	1.361%
3	Carteret	66,469	733,498	66,469	100.0%	9.062%
3	Chowan	14,793	733,498	14,793	100.0%	2.017%
3	Craven	103,505	733,498	103,505	100.0%	14.111%
3	Currituck	23,547	733,498	23,547	100.0%	3.21%
3	Dare	33,920	733,498	33,920	100.0%	4.624%
3	Greene	21,362	733,498	21,362	100.0%	2.912%
3	Hyde	5,810	733,498	5,810	100.0%	0.792%
3	Jones	10,153	733,498	10,153	100.0%	1.384%
3	Lenoir	59,495	733,498	59,495	100.0%	8.111%
3	Onslow	177,772	733,498	177,772	100.0%	24.236%
3	Pamlico	13,144	733,498	13,144	100.0%	1.792%
3	Pasquotank	40,661	733,498	40,661	100.0%	5.543%
3	Perquimans	13,453	733,498	13,453	100.0%	1.834%
3	Pitt	168,148	733,498	87,268	51.9%	11.898%
3	Tyrrell	4,407	733,498	4,407	100.0%	0.601%
4	Durham	267,587	733,499	27,288	10.198%	3.72%
4	Orange	133,801	733,499	133,801	100.0%	18.241%
4	Wake	900,993	733,499	572,410	63.531%	78.038%
5	Alexander	37,198	733,499	37,198	100.0%	5.071%
5	Alleghany	11,155	733,499	11,155	100.0%	1.521%
5	Ashe	27,281	733,499	27,281	100.0%	3.719%

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by District and County						
District	County	County Pop	District Pop	Cnty District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
5	Avery	17,797	733,499	17,797	100.0%	2.426%
5	Catawba	154,358	733,499	9,499	6.154%	1.295%
5	Forsyth	350,670	733,499	350,670	100.0%	47.808%
5	Stokes	47,401	733,499	47,401	100.0%	6.462%
5	Surry	73,673	733,499	73,673	100.0%	10.044%
5	Watauga	51,079	733,499	51,079	100.0%	6.964%
5	Wilkes	69,340	733,499	69,340	100.0%	9.453%
5	Yadkin	38,406	733,499	38,406	100.0%	5.236%
6	Alamance	151,131	733,498	151,131	100.0%	20.604%
6	Caswell	23,719	733,498	23,719	100.0%	3.234%
6	Chatham	63,505	733,498	63,505	100.0%	8.658%
6	Guilford	488,406	733,498	162,418	33.255%	22.143%
6	Lee	57,866	733,498	57,866	100.0%	7.889%
6	Person	39,464	733,498	39,464	100.0%	5.38%
6	Randolph	141,752	733,498	141,752	100.0%	19.325%
6	Rockingham	93,643	733,498	93,643	100.0%	12.767%
7	Bladen	35,190	733,499	8,981	25.521%	1.224%
7	Brunswick	107,431	733,499	107,431	100.0%	14.646%
7	Columbus	58,098	733,499	58,098	100.0%	7.921%
7	Duplin	58,505	733,499	58,505	100.0%	7.976%
7	Johnston	168,878	733,499	59,546	35.26%	8.118%
7	New Hanover	202,667	733,499	202,667	100.0%	27.63%
7	Pender	52,217	733,499	52,217	100.0%	7.119%
7	Sampson	63,431	733,499	63,431	100.0%	8.648%
7	Wayne	122,623	733,499	122,623	100.0%	16.718%
8	Cabarrus	178,011	733,499	178,011	100.0%	24.269%
8	Cumberland	319,431	733,499	243,476	76.222%	33.194%
8	Hoke	46,952	733,499	46,952	100.0%	6.401%
8	Montgomery	27,798	733,499	27,798	100.0%	3.79%
8	Moore	88,247	733,499	88,247	100.0%	12.031%
8	Rowan	138,428	733,499	88,430	63.882%	12.056%
8	Stanly	60,585	733,499	60,585	100.0%	8.26%
9	Anson	26,948	733,498	26,948	100.0%	3.674%
9	Bladen	35,190	733,498	26,209	74.479%	3.573%
9	Cumberland	319,431	733,498	75,955	23.778%	10.355%
9	Mecklenburg	919,628	733,498	186,130	20.24%	25.376%
9	Richmond	46,639	733,498	46,639	100.0%	6.358%
9	Robeson	134,168	733,498	134,168	100.0%	18.292%
9	Scotland	36,157	733,498	36,157	100.0%	4.929%
9	Union	201,292	733,498	201,292	100.0%	27.443%
10	Buncombe	238,318	733,499	111,849	46.933%	15.249%
10	Catawba	154,358	733,499	144,859	93.846%	19.749%
10	Cleveland	98,078	733,499	98,078	100.0%	13.371%

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Total Population by District and County						
District	County	County Pop	District Pop	Cnty District Pop	% County in District	% of District in County
10	Gaston	206,086	733,499	206,086	100.0%	28.096%
10	Iredell	159,437	733,499	6,042	3.79%	0.824%
10	Lincoln	78,265	733,499	78,265	100.0%	10.67%
10	Polk	20,510	733,499	20,510	100.0%	2.796%
10	Rutherford	67,810	733,499	67,810	100.0%	9.245%
11	Buncombe	238,318	733,499	126,469	53.067%	17.242%
11	Burke	90,912	733,499	90,912	100.0%	12.394%
11	Caldwell	83,029	733,499	83,029	100.0%	11.32%
11	Cherokee	27,444	733,499	27,444	100.0%	3.742%
11	Clay	10,587	733,499	10,587	100.0%	1.443%
11	Graham	8,861	733,499	8,861	100.0%	1.208%
11	Haywood	59,036	733,499	59,036	100.0%	8.049%
11	Henderson	106,740	733,499	106,740	100.0%	14.552%
11	Jackson	40,271	733,499	40,271	100.0%	5.49%
11	Macon	33,922	733,499	33,922	100.0%	4.625%
11	Madison	20,764	733,499	20,764	100.0%	2.831%
11	McDowell	44,996	733,499	44,996	100.0%	6.134%
11	Mitchell	15,579	733,499	15,579	100.0%	2.124%
11	Swain	13,981	733,499	13,981	100.0%	1.906%
11	Transylvania	33,090	733,499	33,090	100.0%	4.511%
11	Yancey	17,818	733,499	17,818	100.0%	2.429%
12	Mecklenburg	919,628	733,498	733,498	79.76%	100.0%
13	Davidson	162,878	733,499	162,878	100.0%	22.206%
13	Davie	41,240	733,499	41,240	100.0%	5.622%
13	Guilford	488,406	733,499	325,988	66.745%	44.443%
13	Iredell	159,437	733,499	153,395	96.21%	20.913%
13	Rowan	138,428	733,499	49,998	36.118%	6.816%

Split VTDs

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Report by County, VTD, District

Total Pop.

Total Split VTDs

12

County Bladen

VTD P25

District 7 665

District 9 1,164

VTD Total 1,829

County Total 1,829

County Buncombe

VTD 14.2

District 10 2,992

District 11 52

VTD Total 3,044

County Total 3,044

County Catawba

VTD 29

District 5 3,448

District 10 380

VTD Total 3,828

County Total 3,828

County Cumberland

VTD CC08

District 8 1,186

District 9 431

VTD Total 1,617

County Total 1,617

County Guilford

VTD G71

District 6 3,918

District 13 56

VTD Total 3,974

County Total 3,974

County Iredell

VTD FT

District 10 6,042

District 13 2,886

VTD Total 8,928

County Total 8,928

County Johnston

VTD PR26

District 2 87

District 7 4,358




Split VTDs

2016 Contingent Congressional Plan Corrected

Report by County, VTD, District

		Total Pop.	Total Split VTDs	12
VTD Total		4,445		
County Total		4,445		
County	Mecklenburg			
VTD	002			
District		9	396	
District		12	2,653	
VTD Total			3,049	
County Total			3,049	
County	Pitt			
VTD	1509			
District		1	6,645	
District		3	871	
VTD Total			7,516	
County Total			7,516	
County	Rowan			
VTD	28			
District		8	2,592	
District		13	847	
VTD Total			3,439	
County Total			3,439	
County	Wake			
VTD	16-05			
District		2	3,704	
District		4	281	
VTD Total			3,985	
County Total			3,985	
County	Wilson			
VTD	PRTA			
District		1	1,047	
District		2	7,954	
VTD Total			9,001	
County Total			9,001	
Report Totals			54,655	

1992 Congressional Base Plan #10



Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

District Name	Number Members	Total Population	Ideal Population	District Variance	% District Variance
District 1	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 2	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 3	1	552,387	552,386	1	0.00%
District 4	1	552,387	552,386	1	0.00%
District 5	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 6	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 7	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 8	1	552,387	552,386	1	0.00%
District 9	1	552,387	552,386	1	0.00%
District 10	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
District 11	1	552,387	552,386	1	0.00%
District 12	1	552,386	552,386	0	0.00%
Total	12	6,628,637	6,628,632	0	0.00%

PLANWIDE STATISTICS:

Range of populations: 552,386 to 552,387
Ratio range: 1.0000

Absolute range: 0 to 1
Absolute overall range: 1

Relative range: 0.00 to 0.00%
Relative overall range: 0.00%

Absolute mean deviation: 0.42
Relative mean deviation: 0.00%

Standard deviation: 0.6455

DB: NORTH CAROLINA

District Summary
Total Populations, All Ages
Plan: 1992 Cong plan #10 - copy 1

Date: 3/14/97
Time: 4:58 p.m.
Page: 1

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

District Name	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 1	552,386 100.00%	229,829 41.61%	316,290 57.26%	3,424 0.62%	1,146 0.21%	1,698 0.31%
District 2	552,386 100.00%	421,083 76.23%	121,212 21.94%	3,154 0.57%	4,077 0.74%	2,860 0.52%
District 3	552,387 100.00%	423,398 76.65%	118,640 21.48%	2,436 0.44%	4,044 0.73%	3,869 0.70%
District 4	552,387 100.00%	426,361 77.19%	111,168 20.13%	1,548 0.28%	10,602 1.92%	2,714 0.49%
District 5	552,386 100.00%	463,183 83.85%	83,824 15.17%	1,083 0.20%	2,448 0.44%	1,848 0.33%
District 6	552,386 100.00%	504,465 91.32%	41,329 7.48%	1,973 0.36%	3,489 0.63%	1,129 0.20%
District 7	552,386 100.00%	394,855 71.48%	103,428 18.72%	40,166 7.27%	5,835 1.06%	8,102 1.47%
District 8	552,387 100.00%	402,406 72.85%	128,417 23.25%	13,789 2.50%	4,232 0.77%	3,543 0.64%
District 9	552,387 100.00%	492,424 89.14%	49,308 8.93%	1,729 0.31%	7,373 1.33%	1,553 0.28%
District 10	552,386 100.00%	517,542 93.69%	30,155 5.46%	942 0.17%	2,238 0.41%	1,510 0.27%
District 11	552,387 100.00%	502,058 90.89%	39,767 7.20%	7,835 1.42%	1,791 0.32%	936 0.17%
District 12	552,386 100.00%	230,888 41.80%	312,791 56.63%	2,077 0.38%	4,891 0.89%	1,739 0.31%
Total	6,628,637 100.00%	5,008,492 75.56%	1,456,329 21.97%	80,156 1.21%	52,166 0.79%	31,501 0.48%

DB: NORTH CAROLINA

District Summary
Voting Age Populations

Date: 3/14/97

Time: 5:00 p.m.

Plan: 1992 Cong plan #10 - copy 1

Page: 1

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

District Name	Total Vot. Age	Vot. Age White	Vot. Age Black	Vot. Age Am. Ind.	Vot. Age Asian/PI	Vot. Age Other
District 1	399,969 100.00%	181,933 45.49%	213,602 53.40%	2,428 0.61%	844 0.21%	1,110 0.28%
District 2	420,087 100.00%	328,676 78.24%	84,311 20.07%	2,173 0.52%	3,074 0.73%	1,963 0.47%
District 3	413,263 100.00%	324,808 78.60%	81,170 19.64%	1,755 0.42%	2,922 0.71%	2,608 0.63%
District 4	428,984 100.00%	336,850 78.52%	81,210 18.93%	1,239 0.29%	7,782 1.81%	1,903 0.44%
District 5	428,782 100.00%	364,886 85.10%	60,204 14.04%	822 0.19%	1,650 0.38%	1,221 0.28%
District 6	428,096 100.00%	393,271 91.87%	30,188 7.05%	1,433 0.33%	2,407 0.56%	798 0.19%
District 7	414,413 100.00%	306,754 74.02%	71,071 17.15%	26,489 6.39%	4,201 1.01%	5,898 1.42%
District 8	403,678 100.00%	305,366 75.65%	84,386 20.90%	8,699 2.15%	2,956 0.73%	2,271 0.56%
District 9	421,615 100.00%	380,364 90.22%	33,849 8.03%	1,275 0.30%	5,059 1.20%	1,069 0.25%
District 10	421,456 100.00%	397,476 94.31%	20,837 4.94%	700 0.17%	1,409 0.33%	1,036 0.25%
District 11	430,457 100.00%	396,064 92.01%	27,438 6.37%	5,126 1.19%	1,237 0.29%	592 0.14%
District 12	411,687 100.00%	186,115 45.21%	219,610 53.34%	1,529 0.37%	3,283 0.80%	1,150 0.28%
Total	5,022,487 100.00%	3,902,563 77.70%	1,007,876 20.07%	53,668 1.07%	36,824 0.73%	21,619 0.43%

DB: NORTH CAROLINA

District Summary
Registration

Date: 9/12/97

Time: 2:35 p.m.

Plan: 1992 Cong plan #10 - copy 1

Page: 1

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

District Name	Total Reg.	White Reg.	Black Reg.	Other Reg.	Dem. Reg.	Repub. Reg.
District 1	270,229 100.00%	132,323 48.97%	136,536 50.53%	1,296 0.48%	235,445 87.13%	29,509 10.92%
District 2	270,061 100.00%	219,727 81.36%	48,153 17.83%	2,196 0.81%	190,564 70.56%	66,366 24.57%
District 3	248,318 100.00%	201,699 81.23%	45,684 18.40%	955 0.38%	173,132 69.72%	64,771 26.08%
District 4	306,226 100.00%	250,780 81.89%	53,212 17.38%	2,238 0.73%	191,876 62.66%	88,762 28.99%
District 5	293,437 100.00%	255,458 87.06%	37,427 12.75%	550 0.19%	178,786 60.93%	97,316 33.16%
District 6	292,842 100.00%	273,216 93.30%	18,907 6.46%	726 0.25%	145,337 49.63%	128,153 43.76%
District 7	218,613 100.00%	162,148 74.17%	38,413 17.57%	18,104 8.28%	154,517 70.68%	55,296 25.29%
District 8	254,082 100.00%	197,961 77.91%	52,140 20.52%	3,973 1.56%	166,645 65.59%	74,262 29.23%
District 9	296,124 100.00%	270,843 91.46%	24,125 8.15%	1,154 0.39%	148,223 50.05%	124,786 42.14%
District 10	297,917 100.00%	283,928 95.30%	13,611 4.57%	398 0.13%	135,660 45.54%	142,775 47.92%
District 11	318,958 100.00%	299,765 93.98%	16,847 5.28%	2,338 0.73%	192,259 60.28%	107,923 33.84%
District 12	283,076 100.00%	129,930 45.90%	151,555 53.54%	1,568 0.55%	216,967 76.65%	51,900 18.33%
Total	3,349,883 100.00%	2,677,778 79.94%	636,610 19.00%	35,496 1.06%	2,129,411 63.57%	1,031,819 30.80%

DB: NORTH CAROLINA

District Summary
Elections

Date: 3/14/97

Time: 4:59 p.m.

Plan: 1992 Cong plan #10 - copy 1

Page: 1

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

District	District Name	Senate Gantt	Senate Helms	Lt. Gov Rand	Lt. Gov Gardner	Court Lewis	Court Smith
District 1		92,395 59.90%	61,852 40.10%	102,669 66.66%	51,356 33.34%	106,349 73.34%	38,667 26.66%
District 2		67,911 40.47%	99,914 59.53%	78,686 46.82%	89,370 53.18%	80,513 52.20%	73,717 47.80%
District 3		62,122 41.05%	89,207 58.95%	74,899 47.52%	82,722 52.48%	77,490 53.18%	68,209 46.82%
District 4		115,192 58.69%	81,089 41.31%	100,799 53.00%	89,383 47.00%	87,046 50.72%	84,566 49.28%
District 5		77,282 43.63%	99,863 56.37%	93,553 49.76%	94,460 50.24%	86,868 50.41%	85,457 49.59%
District 6		62,881 35.75%	112,994 64.25%	72,039 40.11%	107,579 59.89%	62,530 37.51%	104,170 62.49%
District 7		57,098 46.69%	65,188 53.31%	70,412 55.46%	56,539 44.54%	65,173 55.87%	51,469 44.13%
District 8		67,018 44.52%	83,500 55.48%	79,861 52.16%	73,239 47.84%	73,151 51.79%	68,099 48.21%
District 9		80,275 44.99%	98,139 55.01%	71,404 40.13%	106,527 59.87%	57,711 36.70%	99,533 63.30%
District 10		63,605 34.39%	121,348 65.61%	72,925 37.48%	121,632 62.52%	68,441 36.83%	117,387 63.17%
District 11		87,482 46.59%	100,302 53.41%	96,001 48.01%	103,966 51.99%	94,114 50.11%	93,708 49.89%
District 12		117,680 71.47%	46,967 28.53%	101,907 67.58%	48,884 32.42%	93,695 68.31%	43,469 31.69%
Total		950,941 47.28%	1,060,363 52.72%	1,015,155 49.74%	1,025,657 50.26%	953,081 50.65%	928,451 49.35%

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
Alamance County						
District 6	83,726	72,608	10,284	208	440	186
District 12	24,487	13,765	10,538	95	47	42
Total Alamance County	108,213	86,373	20,822	303	487	228
Alexander County						
District 10	27,544	25,667	1,673	52	49	103
Total Alexander County	27,544	25,667	1,673	52	49	103
Alleghany County						
District 5	9,590	9,338	177	8	5	62
Total Alleghany County	9,590	9,338	177	8	5	62
Anson County						
District 8	23,474	12,264	11,106	69	27	8
Total Anson County	23,474	12,264	11,106	69	27	8
Ashe County						
District 5	22,209	21,960	144	21	31	53
Total Ashe County	22,209	21,960	144	21	31	53
Avery County						
District 10	14,867	14,596	158	23	23	67
Total Avery County	14,867	14,596	158	23	23	67
Beaufort County						
District 1	19,674	11,769	7,828	10	27	40
District 3	22,609	17,180	5,366	18	21	24
Total Beaufort County	42,283	28,949	13,194	28	48	64
Bertie County						
District 1	20,388	7,790	12,531	46	14	7

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 2

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
Total Bertie County	20,388	7,790	12,531	46	14	7
Bladen County						
District 1	16,150	7,672	8,005	409	25	39
District 7	12,513	9,254	3,194	55	5	5
Total Bladen County	28,663	16,926	11,199	464	30	44
Brunswick County						
District 7	50,985	41,336	9,211	242	81	115
Total Brunswick County	50,985	41,336	9,211	242	81	115
Buncombe County						
District 10	15,597	15,177	302	49	46	23
District 11	159,224	143,802	14,034	437	719	232
Total Buncombe County	174,821	158,979	14,336	486	765	255
Burke County						
District 5	44,584	39,193	4,657	91	571	72
District 10	31,160	30,328	521	42	223	46
Total Burke County	75,744	69,521	5,178	133	794	118
Cabarrus County						
District 8	98,935	85,286	12,853	313	375	108
Total Cabarrus County	98,935	85,286	12,853	313	375	108
Caldwell County						
District 5	21,184	17,748	3,336	34	35	31
District 10	49,525	48,758	545	71	76	75
Total Caldwell County	70,709	66,506	3,881	105	111	106
Camden County						
District 3	5,904	4,388	1,481	21	9	5
Total Camden County	5,904	4,388	1,481	21	9	5
Carteret County						

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 3	52,556	47,445	4,385	269	293	164
Total Carteret County	52,556	47,445	4,385	269	293	164
Caswell County						
District 5	20,693	12,155	8,436	26	20	56
Total Caswell County	20,693	12,155	8,436	26	20	56
Catawba County						
District 10	118,412	106,370	10,689	232	830	291
Total Catawba County	118,412	106,370	10,689	232	830	291
Chatham County						
District 4	38,759	29,423	8,845	125	69	297
Total Chatham County	38,759	29,423	8,845	125	69	297
Cherokee County						
District 11	20,170	19,313	361	405	42	49
Total Cherokee County	20,170	19,313	361	405	42	49
Chowan County						
District 1	13,506	8,349	5,087	24	29	17
Total Chowan County	13,506	8,349	5,087	24	29	17
Clay County						
District 11	7,155	7,061	41	39	7	7
Total Clay County	7,155	7,061	41	39	7	7
Cleveland County						
District 9	42,604	36,025	6,121	74	308	76
District 11	42,110	30,337	11,620	40	86	27
Total Cleveland County	84,714	66,362	17,741	114	394	103
Columbus County						

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 1	15,010	6,419	7,972	576	13	30
District 7	34,577	26,478	7,209	794	40	56
Total Columbus County	49,587	32,897	15,181	1,370	53	86
Craven County						
District 1	24,771	12,854	11,749	62	53	53
District 3	56,842	45,806	9,367	257	712	700
Total Craven County	81,613	58,660	21,116	319	765	753
Cumberland County						
District 1	33,711	10,155	22,603	375	234	344
District 7	176,368	125,085	40,448	3,418	3,076	4,341
District 8	64,487	34,829	24,445	632	2,459	2,122
Total Cumberland County	274,566	170,069	87,496	4,425	5,769	6,807
Currituck County						
District 3	13,736	12,051	1,545	66	51	23
Total Currituck County	13,736	12,051	1,545	66	51	23
Dare County						
District 3	22,746	21,766	811	37	79	53
Total Dare County	22,746	21,766	811	37	79	53
Davidson County						
District 6	103,193	98,910	3,498	302	353	130
District 12	23,484	14,386	8,816	93	124	65
Total Davidson County	126,677	113,296	12,314	395	477	195
Davie County						
District 6	15,579	13,602	1,854	64	28	31
District 10	12,280	11,592	628	22	25	13
Total Davie County	27,859	25,194	2,482	86	53	44
Duplin County						

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 1	14,135	7,096	6,822	46	16	155
District 3	25,860	18,831	6,437	58	31	503
Total Duplin County	39,995	25,927	13,259	104	47	658
Durham County						
District 2	87,343	70,598	13,609	211	2,598	327
District 12	94,492	39,288	54,045	214	635	310
Total Durham County	181,835	109,886	67,654	425	3,233	637
Edgecombe County						
District 1	31,256	6,382	24,791	47	14	22
District 2	25,302	18,283	6,870	26	54	69
Total Edgecombe County	56,558	24,665	31,661	73	68	91
Forsyth County						
District 5	154,656	123,986	28,669	364	1,199	438
District 10	57,730	54,254	2,948	82	367	79
District 12	53,492	18,678	34,485	105	96	128
Total Forsyth County	265,878	196,918	66,102	551	1,662	645
Franklin County						
District 2	36,414	23,288	12,843	74	63	146
Total Franklin County	36,414	23,288	12,843	74	63	146
Gaston County						
District 9	163,616	146,845	15,314	384	851	222
District 12	11,477	4,023	7,362	13	64	15
Total Gaston County	175,093	150,868	22,676	397	915	237
Gates County						
District 1	9,305	5,101	4,180	8	13	3
Total Gates County	9,305	5,101	4,180	8	13	3
Graham County						

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 6

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 11	7,196	6,731	1	454	6	4
Total Graham County	7,196	6,731	1	454	6	4
Granville County						
District 2	31,127	19,250	11,571	92	88	126
District 5	7,218	3,819	3,338	7	12	42
Total Granville County	38,345	23,069	14,909	99	100	168
Greene County						
District 1	15,384	8,748	6,521	16	6	94
Total Greene County	15,384	8,748	6,521	16	6	94
Guilford County						
District 5	3,939	2,974	902	8	3	52
District 6	207,722	186,940	17,400	814	2,209	358
District 12	135,759	59,670	73,353	815	1,514	407
Total Guilford County	347,420	249,584	91,655	1,637	3,726	817
Halifax County						
District 1	33,497	9,568	23,467	392	35	35
District 2	22,019	16,441	4,119	1,319	110	30
Total Halifax County	55,516	26,009	27,586	1,711	145	65
Harnett County						
District 2	67,822	51,117	15,315	601	299	490
Total Harnett County	67,822	51,117	15,315	601	299	490
Haywood County						
District 11	46,942	46,011	648	180	67	36
Total Haywood County	46,942	46,011	648	180	67	36
Henderson County						
District 10	6,813	6,707	56	12	31	7

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 7

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 11	62,472	59,451	2,305	185	255	276
Total Henderson County	69,285	66,158	2,361	197	286	283
Hertford County						
District 1	22,523	9,214	12,970	228	94	17
Total Hertford County	22,523	9,214	12,970	228	94	17
Hoke County						
District 8	22,856	9,635	9,878	3,176	85	82
Total Hoke County	22,856	9,635	9,878	3,176	85	82
Hyde County						
District 3	5,411	3,596	1,781	4	3	27
Total Hyde County	5,411	3,596	1,781	4	3	27
Iredell County						
District 8	11,172	9,945	1,116	42	35	34
District 10	60,613	54,533	5,508	112	247	213
District 12	21,146	12,729	8,245	39	74	59
Total Iredell County	92,931	77,207	14,869	193	356	306
Jackson County						
District 11	26,846	23,609	425	2,667	109	36
Total Jackson County	26,846	23,609	425	2,667	109	36
Johnston County						
District 2	81,306	65,773	14,389	178	159	807
Total Johnston County	81,306	65,773	14,389	178	159	807
Jones County						
District 1	4,303	2,182	2,103	3	10	5
District 3	5,111	3,505	1,574	5	9	18
Total Jones County	9,414	5,687	3,677	8	19	23
Lee County						

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 8

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 2	41,374	31,216	9,401	169	191	397
Total Lee County	41,374	31,216	9,401	169	191	397
Lenoir County						
District 1	26,624	8,330	18,178	22	31	63
District 3	30,650	25,992	4,361	48	120	129
Total Lenoir County	57,274	34,322	22,539	70	151	192
Lincoln County						
District 10	50,319	45,710	4,108	120	172	210
Total Lincoln County	50,319	45,710	4,108	120	172	210
McDowell County						
District 10	8,755	8,532	161	12	44	6
District 11	26,926	25,369	1,318	60	156	23
Total McDowell County	35,681	33,901	1,479	72	200	29
Macon County						
District 11	23,499	22,919	385	76	60	59
Total Macon County	23,499	22,919	385	76	60	59
Madison County						
District 11	16,953	16,744	136	19	32	22
Total Madison County	16,953	16,744	136	19	32	22
Martin County						
District 1	17,642	8,477	9,073	18	36	38
District 3	7,436	5,311	2,113	2	4	6
Total Martin County	25,078	13,788	11,186	20	40	44
Mecklenburg County						
District 8	3,077	2,925	128	8	15	1
District 9	346,167	309,554	27,873	1,271	6,214	1,255

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 9

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 12	162,189	52,172	106,467	657	2,232	661
Total Mecklenburg County	511,433	364,651	134,468	1,936	8,461	1,917
Mitchell County						
District 10	14,433	14,354	23	19	19	18
Total Mitchell County	14,433	14,354	23	19	19	18
Montgomery County						
District 8	23,346	16,773	6,001	92	150	330
Total Montgomery County	23,346	16,773	6,001	92	150	330
Moore County						
District 2	43,813	35,045	8,330	249	139	50
District 8	15,200	12,419	2,552	60	11	158
Total Moore County	59,013	47,464	10,882	309	150	208
Nash County						
District 1	15,831	6,291	9,442	59	19	20
District 2	60,846	45,583	14,700	159	204	200
Total Nash County	76,677	51,874	24,142	218	223	220
New Hanover County						
District 1	22,509	5,898	16,457	50	48	56
District 7	97,775	88,997	7,640	385	568	185
Total New Hanover County	120,284	94,895	24,097	435	616	241
Northampton County						
District 1	20,798	8,397	12,328	42	11	20
Total Northampton County	20,798	8,397	12,328	42	11	20
Onslow County						
District 3	57,490	43,137	11,986	270	1,137	960
District 7	92,348	68,802	17,822	669	1,857	3,198

DB: NORTH CAROLINA
Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN

County Split Assignments

Date: 8/27/98
Time: 9:55 a.m.
Page: 10

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
Total Onslow County	149,838	111,939	29,808	939	2,994	4,158
Orange County						
District 4	91,015	73,607	14,347	274	2,356	431
District 12	2,836	2,264	546	12	5	9
Total Orange County	93,851	75,871	14,893	286	2,361	440
Pamlico County						
District 3	11,372	8,362	2,951	33	20	6
Total Pamlico County	11,372	8,362	2,951	33	20	6
Pasquotank County						
District 1	16,011	7,287	8,581	25	87	31
District 3	15,287	12,116	3,002	34	97	38
Total Pasquotank County	31,298	19,403	11,583	59	184	69
Pender County						
District 1	6,865	2,889	3,915	5	4	52
District 3	15,718	11,400	4,155	59	23	81
District 7	6,272	5,539	700	12	17	4
Total Pender County	28,855	19,828	8,770	76	44	137
Perquimans County						
District 1	10,447	6,979	3,426	18	20	4
Total Perquimans County	10,447	6,979	3,426	18	20	4
Person County						
District 5	30,180	20,740	9,106	181	15	138
Total Person County	30,180	20,740	9,106	181	15	138
Pitt County						
District 1	59,953	29,763	29,597	104	193	296
District 3	47,971	40,880	6,324	110	516	141

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
Total Pitt County	107,924	70,643	35,921	214	709	437
Polk County						
District 10	1,364	1,337	16	1	7	3
District 11	13,052	11,939	1,037	16	18	42
Total Polk County	14,416	13,276	1,053	17	25	45
Randolph County						
District 6	106,546	99,042	6,367	453	358	326
Total Randolph County	106,546	99,042	6,367	453	358	326
Richmond County						
District 8	44,518	30,816	12,869	502	195	136
Total Richmond County	44,518	30,816	12,869	502	195	136
Robeson County						
District 7	81,548	29,364	17,204	34,591	191	198
District 8	23,631	8,622	8,981	5,920	48	60
Total Robeson County	105,179	37,986	26,185	40,511	239	258
Rockingham County						
District 5	86,064	67,893	17,548	149	190	284
Total Rockingham County	86,064	67,893	17,548	149	190	284
Rowan County						
District 6	35,620	33,363	1,926	132	101	98
District 8	51,961	44,575	6,913	96	243	134
District 12	23,024	13,913	8,934	34	100	43
Total Rowan County	110,605	91,851	17,773	262	444	275
Rutherford County						
District 10	9,283	8,653	594	19	5	12
District 11	47,635	41,480	5,920	76	93	66

DB: NORTH CAROLINA
Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN

County Split Assignments

Date: 8/27/98
Time: 9:55 a.m.
Page: 12

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
Total Rutherford County	56,918	50,133	6,514	95	98	78
Sampson County						
District 3	47,297	30,273	15,686	876	75	387
Total Sampson County	47,297	30,273	15,686	876	75	387
Scotland County						
District 8	33,754	19,025	12,176	2,430	83	40
Total Scotland County	33,754	19,025	12,176	2,430	83	40
Stanly County						
District 8	51,765	45,269	5,972	155	249	120
Total Stanly County	51,765	45,269	5,972	155	249	120
Stokes County						
District 5	37,223	34,917	2,069	52	79	106
Total Stokes County	37,223	34,917	2,069	52	79	106
Surry County						
District 5	61,704	58,383	2,780	66	84	391
Total Surry County	61,704	58,383	2,780	66	84	391
Swain County						
District 11	11,268	7,950	196	3,075	31	16
Total Swain County	11,268	7,950	196	3,075	31	16
Transylvania County						
District 11	25,520	24,121	1,189	79	99	32
Total Transylvania County	25,520	24,121	1,189	79	99	32
Tyrrell County						

DB: NORTH CAROLINA
 Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN

County Split Assignments

Date: 8/27/98
 Time: 9:55 a.m.
 Page: 13

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 3	3,856	2,297	1,543	4	5	7
Total Tyrrell County	3,856	2,297	1,543	4	5	7
Union County						
District 8	84,211	70,023	13,427	294	257	210
Total Union County	84,211	70,023	13,427	294	257	210
Vance County						
District 1	20,277	8,002	12,165	27	20	63
District 2	18,615	13,144	5,347	42	40	42
Total Vance County	38,892	21,146	17,512	69	60	105
Wake County						
District 2	767	680	87	0	0	0
District 4	422,613	323,331	87,976	1,149	8,177	1,986
Total Wake County	423,380	324,011	88,063	1,149	8,177	1,986
Warren County						
District 1	17,265	6,593	9,847	763	14	48
Total Warren County	17,265	6,593	9,847	763	14	48
Washington County						
District 1	13,997	7,556	6,366	13	35	27
Total Washington County	13,997	7,556	6,366	13	35	27
Watauga County						
District 5	36,952	35,930	768	59	152	43
Total Watauga County	36,952	35,930	768	59	152	43
Wayne County						
District 1	131	110	21	0	0	0
District 3	104,535	69,062	33,772	265	839	597
Total Wayne County	104,666	69,172	33,793	265	839	597
Wilkes County						

DB: NORTH CAROLINA County Split Assignments
Plan: 1992 CONGRESSIONAL BASE PLAN Total Populations, All Ages

Date: 8/27/98
Time: 9:55 a.m.
Page: 14

Plan type: Congressional Base Plan

Census Unit	Total Pop.	Total White	Total Black	Total Am. Ind.	Total Asian/PI	Total Other
District 5	16,190	14,147	1,894	17	52	80
District 10	43,203	42,090	930	52	48	83
Total Wilkes County	59,393	56,237	2,824	69	100	163
Wilson County						
District 1	30,423	9,958	20,265	36	45	119
District 2	35,638	30,665	4,631	34	132	176
Total Wilson County	66,061	40,623	24,896	70	177	295
Yadkin County						
District 10	30,488	28,884	1,295	22	26	261
Total Yadkin County	30,488	28,884	1,295	22	26	261
Yancey County						
District 11	15,419	15,221	151	27	11	9
Total Yancey County	15,419	15,221	151	27	11	9

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
EXTRA SESSION 1991

CHAPTER 7
HOUSE BILL 3

AN ACT TO DIVIDE NORTH CAROLINA INTO TWELVE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

Section 1. G.S. 163-201 reads as rewritten:

"§ 163-201. Congressional districts specified.

(a) For the purpose of nominating and electing members of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States in ~~1982-1992~~ and every two years thereafter, the State of North Carolina shall be divided into ~~11~~ 12 districts as follows:

~~FIRST DISTRICT: Beaufort, Bertie, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hyde, Lenoir, Martin, Northhampton, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Pitt, Tyrrell, and Washington Counties.~~

~~SECOND DISTRICT: Caswell, Durham, Edgecombe, Granville, Halifax, Nash, Person, Vance, Warren, and Wilson Counties; and the O'Neals Township in Johnston County.~~

~~THIRD DISTRICT: Bladen, Duplin, Harnett, Jones, Lee, Onslow, Pender, Sampson, and Wayne Counties; the following townships of Johnston County: Banner, Bentonsville, Beulah, Boon Hill, Clayton, Cleveland, Elevation, Ingrams, Meadow, Micro, Pine Level, Pleasant Grove, Selma, Smithfield, Wilders, and Wilson Mills; and the following townships of Moore County: 1 (Carthage), 4 (Ritters), 5 (Deep River), 6 (Greenwood), and 10 (Little River).~~

~~FOURTH DISTRICT: Chatham, Franklin, Orange, Randolph, and Wake Counties.~~

~~FIFTH DISTRICT: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Forsyth, Rockingham, Stokes, Surry, and Wilkes Counties.~~

~~SIXTH DISTRICT: Alamance, Davidson, and Guilford Counties.~~

~~SEVENTH DISTRICT: Brunswick, Columbus, Cumberland, New Hanover, and Robeson Counties.~~

~~EIGHTH DISTRICT: Anson, Cabarrus, Davie, Hoke, Montgomery, Richmond, Rowan, Scotland, Stanly, and Union Counties; and the following townships of Moore County: 2 (Bensalem), 3 (Sheffields), 7 (McNeills), 8 (Sand Hill), and 9 (Mineral Springs); and the following townships of Yadkin County: Boonville, East Bend, Fall Creek, Forbush, Knobs, and Liberty.~~

~~NINTH DISTRICT: Iredell, Lincoln, and Mecklenburg Counties; and the following townships of Yadkin County: Buck Shoal and Deep Creek.~~

~~TENTH DISTRICT: Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Cleveland, Gaston, and Watauga Counties; and the following townships of Avery County: Banner Elk, Beech Mountain, Cranberry, Linville, and Wilsons Creek.~~

~~ELEVENTH DISTRICT: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, McDowell, Macon, Madison, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, and Yancey Counties; and the following townships of Avery County: Altamont, Roaring Creek, and Toe River.~~

District 1: Beaufort County: Chocowinity township, Richland township, Washington township: Tract 9903, Tract 9904; Bertie County, Bladen County: Abbotts township: Tract 9504: Block Group 5: Block 546A; Tract 9506: Block Group 5: Block 508A; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702A, Block 703A, Block 703B, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707, Block 708, Block 709, Block 710, Block 711, Block 712, Block 713, Block 714, Block 715, Block 716, Block 717, Block 718, Block 719A, Block 720A, Block 720B, Block 721, Block 722, Block 723A, Block 724A, Block 725, Block 726, Block 727, Block 728A, Block 729, Block 730, Block 731, Block 732, Block 733, Block 734, Block 735, Block 736, Block 737, Block 738, Block 739, Block 740, Block 741, Block 742, Block 743, Block 744, Block 745, Block 746, Block 747A, Block 748, Block 749, Block 750, Block 751A, Block 752A, Block 753A, Block 754A, Block 759A, Block 760, Block 783, Block 784, Block 785, Block 786A; Brown Marsh township, Carvers Creek township, Central township, Elizabethtown township, Frenchs Creek township, Lake Creek township, Turnbull township, White Oak township, Whites Creek township; Chowan County, Columbus County: Bolton township, Chadbourn township: Tract 9905: Block Group 4: Block 415A, Block 416A, Block 417A, Block 424A, Block 425A, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428A, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432; Tract 9907: Block Group 1: Block 103A, Block 105A, Block 106A, Block 107A, Block 108A, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 110B, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 119, Block 120A, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123; Block Group 2: Block 210A, Block 210B, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 230, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239; Block Group 4: Block 410A, Block 410B, Block 411A, Block 411B, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416A, Block 416B, Block 417; Block Group 5: Block 501A, Block 502A, Block 503A, Block 508B, Block 508C, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516B, Block 517B, Block 520; Tract 9909: Block Group 6: Block 606A, Block 607A, Block 608, Block 612, Block 613, Block 614, Block 615A; Ransom township, Welch Creek township, Western Prong township, Whiteville township: Tract 9907: Block Group 1: Block 120B; Tract 9908: Block Group 1: Block 118, Block 121; Block Group 2: Block 206A, Block 206B, Block 210; Block Group 3: Block 302, Block 303, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311A, Block 311B, Block 312, Block 313A, Block 313B, Block 313C, Block 314, Block 315A, Block 315B, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block

402, Block 403, Block 404A, Block 404B, Block 404C, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409A, Block 409B, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417; Tract 9909: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 102A, Block 102B, Block 102C, Block 102D, Block 103, Block 107, Block 121A, Block 121B, Block 121C, Block 122; Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 340, Block 341, Block 342, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351, Block 352A, Block 352B, Block 353, Block 354, Block 355, Block 356; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408A, Block 408B, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420A, Block 420B, Block 421A, Block 421B, Block 422, Block 423B, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507A, Block 507B, Block 507C, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522, Block 525, Block 526; Block Group 6: Block 601A, Block 601B, Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 606B, Block 607B, Block 615B, Block 616, Block 619, Block 620B; Tract 9910: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 101D, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108A, Block 108B, Block 108C, Block 108D, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 110B, Block 110C, Block 110D, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113A, Block 113B, Block 113C, Block 114, Block 115A, Block 115B, Block 116A, Block 116B, Block 117, Block 118A, Block 118B, Block 118C, Block 119A, Block 119B, Block 120, Block 121A, Block 121B, Block 122A, Block 122B, Block 123A, Block 123B, Block 123C, Block 124, Block 125A, Block 125B, Block 126A, Block 126B, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132A; Craven County: Cove City *, Dover *, Fort Barnwell *, Harlowe *, Croatan *: Tract 9610: Block Group 7: Block 711, Block 712, Block 714, Block 715, Block 716, Block 717, Block 718, Block 719, Block 720, Block 721, Block 722, Block 723, Block 724, Block 725, Block 726, Block 727, Block 728, Block 729, Block 730, Block 731, Block 732, Block 733, Block 734, Block 735, Block 736, Block 737, Block 738, Block 739, Block 740, Block 741, Block 742, Block 743, Block 744, Block 745, Block 746, Block 747, Block 748, Block 749, Block 750, Block 751, Block 752, Block 753, Block 754, Block 755; Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 116; Block Group 2: Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 223, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 248, Block 289, Block 290; Havelock *: Tract 9611: Block Group 2: Block 249, Block 250, Block 283, Block 284, Block 285, Block 286, Block 287, Block 288, Block 291, Block 292, Block 293, Block 294, Block 295, Block 296, Block 297; Tract

9613: Block Group 2: Block 219A, Block 219B, Block 222, Block 223; Block Group 3: Block 323B, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329; Block Group 5: Block 503G, Block 525B; First Ward *, Second Ward *, Third Ward *, Fourth Ward *, Fifth Ward *, Clarks *, Rhems *: Tract 9604: Block Group 5: Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 521D, Block 530B, Block 531C, Block 533, Block 534, Block 535, Block 536, Block 537, Block 538, Block 539, Block 540, Block 541, Block 542, Block 543, Block 544, Block 545, Block 546, Block 547A, Block 547B, Block 548, Block 549, Block 550, Block 556, Block 558, Block 559, Block 560, Block 561, Block 562B, Block 563, Block 564, Block 565, Block 566, Block 567, Block 568, Block 569, Block 570, Block 571, Block 572; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702, Block 711B, Block 712B, Block 738B, Block 739, Block 740, Block 741, Block 742, Block 743; Jasper *: Cumberland County: Beaver Dam *: Tract 0029: Block Group 1: Block 116; Block Group 2: Block 222A, Block 224A, Block 225A, Block 226A, Block 227A, Block 228A, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233A, Block 234A, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238A, Block 239A, Block 250A, Block 251A, Block 252A, Block 253, Block 254, Block 255, Block 256, Block 257, Block 258, Block 259, Block 260, Block 261, Block 262, Block 263, Block 264, Block 265, Block 266; Block Group 3: Block 311, Block 336; Cedar Creek *, Cross Creek #1 *, Cross Creek #3 *, Cross Creek #5 *, Cross Creek #9 *: Tract 0012: Block Group 2: Block 203; Tract 0024: Block Group 9: Block 901A, Block 902, Block 903, Block 904, Block 905, Block 908, Block 909, Block 910, Block 911, Block 912, Block 913, Block 914; Tract 0025.01: Block Group 9: Block 911, Block 931, Block 933; Cross Creek #10 *, Cross Creek #13 *, Cross Creek #15 *: Tract 0004: Block Group 2: Block 231, Block 232; Tract 0006: Block Group 1: Block 133; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 304, Block 307, Block 308; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415; Cross Creek #16 *, Cross Creek #17 *: Tract 0010: Block Group 1, Block Group 3: Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316; Block Group 9; Tract 0011: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 108, Block 109, Block 112, Block 113, Block 115, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 308, Block 309A, Block 309B, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316; Tract 0024: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 101C, Block 104, Block 105A, Block 105B, Block 106B, Block 107A, Block 107B, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 138; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206; Block Group 4: Block 406A; Block Group 5: Cross Creek #19 *, Sherwood *, Pearces Mill #3 *: Duplin County: Glisson *: Tract 9901: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 225, Block 227, Block 234, Block 235, Block 237, Block 257A, Block 257B; Charity *, Kenansville *, Magnolia *, Rockfish *, Warsaw *: Edgecombe County: Precinct 1-1 *, Precinct 1-2 *: Tract 0209: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204A, Block 204B, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207A, Block 207B, Block 208A, Block 208B, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block

215, Block 219, Block 224, Block 228, Block 229; Precinct 3-1 *, Precinct 4-1 *, Precinct 5-1 *, Precinct 6-1 *, Precinct 7-1 *, Precinct 12-1 *, Precinct 12-2 *, Precinct 12-3 *: Tract 0202: Block Group 5: Block 513B; Block Group 6: Block 614, Block 625; Tract 0204: Block Group 7: Block 702, Block 703B, Block 704B, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707, Block 708C, Block 709B, Block 710, Block 712, Block 713, Block 714, Block 721B, Block 722B; Precinct 12-4 *, Precinct 12-5 *; Gates County, Greene County, Halifax County: Hollister *: Tract 9906: Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 302A, Block 303B, Block 325A, Block 325B, Block 326A, Block 326B; Tract 9908: Block Group 1: Block 113A, Block 113B, Block 127, Block 128, Block 134A, Block 134B, Block 135A, Block 138A, Block 138B, Block 139; Block Group 3: Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 417, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446, Block 447, Block 448, Block 449, Block 450, Block 451; Ringwood *, Butterwood *, Tract 9907: Block Group 4: Block 411A, Block 412, Block 446A, Block 448, Block 449; Conoconnara *, Enfield #1 *, Enfield #2 *, Enfield #3 *, Faucett *: Tract 9905: Block Group 7: Block 707A, Block 715, Block 716; Tract 9906: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 236, Block 237, Block 240, Block 241, Block 247, Block 248; Block Group 3: Block 310, Block 314, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325C, Block 326C, Block 326D, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333; Tract 9908: Block Group 2: Block 201C; Tract 9909: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 101C, Block 102C, Block 102D, Block 103B, Block 103C, Block 104A, Block 104B; Tract 9910: Block Group 1: Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114; Halifax *, Littleton #1 *: Tract 9907: Block Group 2: Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 256, Block 257, Block 258, Block 259, Block 260, Block 261, Block 262, Block 263, Block 264, Block 265, Block 266, Block 269, Block 270, Block 271; Block Group 3: Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313A, Block 313B, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316A, Block 316B, Block 318, Block 320, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 344, Block 345, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348A, Block 348B, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 407, Block 411B, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425A, Block 425B, Block 426A, Block 426B, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429A, Block 429B, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446B, Block 447; Tract 9908: Block Group 1: Block 108; Hobgood *, Palmyra *, Roanoke Rapids #7 *, Roanoke Rapids #9 *: Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 509, Block 510,

Block 511A, Block 511B, Block 512A, Block 512B, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517A, Block 519, Block 520A, Block 520B, Block 521A, Block 521B; Block Group 6: Block 601A, Block 601B, Block 602A, Block 602B, Block 602C, Block 603, Block 604A, Block 604B, Block 605, Block 606, Block 607A, Block 607B, Block 608, Block 609, Block 610A, Block 613, Block 614, Block 615, Block 616, Block 617, Block 618, Block 619, Block 620, Block 621, Block 622; Roseneath *, Scotland Neck #1 *, Scotland Neck #2 *, Weldon #1 *, Weldon #2 *, Weldon #3 *; Hertford County, Jones County: Pollocksville *, Trenton *; Lenoir County: Contentnea *, Kinston #1 *, Kinston #2 *, Kinston #6 *, Kinston #7 *, Kinston #8 *, Moseley Hall *, Trent #2 *; Tract 0112: Block Group 4: Block 406, Block 407, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 445, Block 449, Block 450; Vance *; Martin County: Goose Nest, Hassell, Hamilton, Poplar Point, Robersonville # 1, Robersonville # 2, Williamston # 1, Williamston # 2, VTD's not defined; Nash County: Griffins *: Tract 0108: Block Group 1: Block 166A, Block 167; Tract 0109: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153A, Block 153B, Block 156B, Block 156C, Block 157; Block Group 2: Block 206E, Block 206F, Block 207D; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303A, Block 304; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438A, Block 438B, Block 439, Block 440A, Block 441, Block 445, Block 446, Block 447, Block 448, Block 449, Block 450, Block 451, Block 453, Block 454; No. Whitakers #1 *, No. Whitakers #2 *, Rocky Mount #3 *, Rocky Mount #4 *, Battleboro *; New Hanover County: Cape Fear #1 *: Tract 0115: Block Group 1: Block 141, Block 142, Block 143; Block Group 2: Block 209; Block Group 4: Block 401; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522, Block 523, Block 524, Block 525, Block 526, Block 527, Block 528, Block 529, Block 530, Block 531, Block 532, Block 533, Block 534, Block 535, Block 536, Block 537, Block 538, Block 539, Block 540, Block 541, Block 542, Block 543, Block 544, Block 545, Block 546, Block 547, Block 548, Block 549, Block 550, Block 551, Block 552, Block 553, Block 554, Block 555, Block 556, Block 557, Block 558, Block 559; Wilmington #1 *, Wilmington #2 *, Wilmington #3 *, Wilmington #6 *, Wilmington #7 *, Wilmington #8 *: Tract 0110: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 124, Block 129; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 213, Block 223; Block Group 3: Block 312,

Block 313, Block 314, Block 318, Block 319, Block 322, Block 323; Wilmington #9 *: Tract 0103: Block Group 2: Block 208, Block 209, Block 210; Tract 0116.02: Block Group 4: Block 420A; Wilmington #10 *, Wilmington #15 *, Northampton County, Pasquotank County: Newland, Nixonton, Elizabeth City 1-A, Elizabeth City 3-A, Elizabeth City 3-B, Elizabeth City 4-A, Elizabeth City 4-B; Pender County: Canetuck *, Caswell *, Columbia *, Grady *: Tract 9805: Block Group 1: Block 182B, Block 183B, Block 184B, Block 185, Block 186, Block 187, Block 188; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 408, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 419, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 457, Block 468, Block 469, Block 470, Block 472, Block 484, Block 486, Block 487, Block 488, Block 489, Block 490, Block 491, Block 492, Block 495; Upper Holly *, Upper Union *: Perquimans County, Pitt County: Arthur *, Ayden East *, Belvoir *, Bethel *, Carolina *, Falkland *, Farmville West *, Farmville East *, Fountain *, Grifton *, Grimesland *, Pactolus *, Greenville #1 *, Greenville #2, Greenville #3 *, Greenville #4 *, Greenville #5 *, Greenville #6 *, Greenville #13 *, Greenville #2 Noncontiguous; Vance County: Middleburg, Townsville, Williamsboro, East Henderson I, East Henderson II, North Henderson I, North Henderson II, South Henderson I; Warren County, Washington County, Wayne County: White Hall *: Tract 0006: Block Group 6: Block 650, Block 651, Block 659, Block 660, Block 661; Block Group 7: Block 743, Block 744, Block 746, Block 747; Wilson County: Gardners *, Saratoga *, Stantonsburg *: Tract 0010: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 112, Block 116A, Block 117A, Block 117B, Block 117C, Block 118A, Block 118B, Block 119, Block 120A, Block 120B, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139A, Block 139B, Block 140, Block 141A, Block 141B, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144A, Block 144B, Block 145, Block 146A, Block 146B, Block 147A, Block 147B, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 154, Block 155, Block 156, Block 158, Block 159, Block 160, Block 161, Block 162, Block 163, Block 164; Tract 0011: Block Group 2: Block 230B; Toisnot *: Tract 0013: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 124, Block 125, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145, Block 146; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 205, Block 213, Block 214; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302A, Block 302B, Block 302C, Block 303A, Block 303B, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311A, Block 311B, Block 311C, Block 312A, Block 312B, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325A, Block 326, Block 327, Block 329, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407A, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412A; Block Group 5: Block 508, Block 509, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 521, Block 522, Block 523A, Block 523B,

Block 524A, Block 524B, Block 525A, Block 526, Block 527, Block 528, Block 529A, Block 529B, Block 529C, Block 530A, Block 530B, Block 531A, Block 532, Block 533, Block 534, Block 535, Block 536, Block 537, Block 538, Block 539, Block 540, Block 541A, Block 541B, Block 542, Block 543, Block 544, Block 545, Block 546, Block 547, Block 548; Wilson A *, Wilson B *, Wilson C *, Wilson E *: Tract 0001: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 122, Block 123; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219; Tract 0006: Block Group 2: Block 206, Block 210, Block 213, Block 214, Block 216, Block 217; Block Group 5: Block 518B; Tract 0013: Block Group 4: Block 416; Wilson F *, Wilson G *, Wilson H *, Wilson I *: Tract 0003: Block Group 1: Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129; Block Group 2: Block 207, Block 208, Block 213, Block 214, Block 223; Wilson N *, Wilson Q *.

District 2: Durham County: Neal Junior H.S. *, Brogden Junior H.S. *, Club Blvd. School *, Edison Johnson Community Center *, E.K. Powe School *, Fire Station #6 *, Hillandale School *, Holy Infant Catholic Church *, Hope Valley School *, Multi-Purpose Building/Duke *, NC School of Science & Math *, Rogers-Herr Junior H.S. *, St. Stephens/Parish Hall *: Tract 0020.07: Block Group 4: Block 406, Block 410; Tract 0020.08: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 302A, Block 302B, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315; Block Group 4: Cole Mill Church of Christ *, Eno Valley School *, Bethesda Ruritan Club *, Oak Grove School *, Durham Academy Gym *, Five Oaks Seventh Day Adventist Church *, Jordan H.S. *, Nelson Community Center *, Parkwood School *; Edgecombe County: Precinct 1-2 *: Tract 0209: Block Group 2: Block 209, Block 210, Block 216A, Block 216B, Block 217A, Block 217B, Block 217C, Block 217D, Block 218, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227; Tract 0210: Block Group 5: Block 506, Block 507, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 530, Block 531, Block 532; Block Group 9; Tract 0212: Block Group 2, Block Group 5; Tract 0213: Block Group 2: Block 248A, Block 248B, Block 250; Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304A, Block 304B, Block 305, Block 306A, Block 306B, Block 307, Block 308, Block 318A; Precinct 1-3 *, Precinct 1-4 *, Precinct 2-1 *, Tract 0209: Block Group 2: Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223; Precinct 8-1 *, Tract 0213: Block Group 3: Block 301C, Block 306C, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318B, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326; Precinct 9-1 *, Precinct 10-1 *, Precinct 11-1 *, Tract 0213: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124; Precinct 12-3 *: Tract 0202: Block Group 1: Block 151B, Block 151C, Block 153; Block Group 4: Block 411B, Block 416B, Block 417B, Block 424C, Block 424D, Block 425; Block Group 5: Block 506B, Block 513C, Block 516, Block 520C; Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 606, Block 607, Block 608, Block 609, Block 610, Block 611, Block

612, Block 613, Block 615, Block 616, Block 617, Block 618, Block 619, Block 620, Block 621, Block 622, Block 623, Block 624, Block 626, Block 627, Block 628, Block 632, Block 633; Tract 0203: Block Group 5: Block 501B; Block Group 6: Block 618, Block 619; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702, Block 703, Block 704, Block 705, Block 706B, Block 707B, Block 708B, Block 709B, Block 710, Block 711, Block 712, Block 713, Block 714, Block 715C, Block 716B, Block 717B, Block 718B, Block 729B, Block 730B, Block 735B; Tract 0204: Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 711; Tract 0213: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135; Block Group 2: Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217B, Block 218B; Tract 0214: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 104, Block 105; Precinct 13-1 *, Precinct 14-1 *; Franklin County, Granville County: Brassfield *, Butner *, Creedmoor *, Antioch *, Corinth *, Credle *, East Oxford *, South Oxford *, Salem *, Tally Ho *; Halifax County: Hollister *: Tract 9906: Block Group 3: Block 301B, Block 302B, Block 302C, Block 303A, Block 303C; Tract 9908: Block Group 1: Block 117A, Block 117B, Block 124A, Block 124B, Block 124C, Block 125A, Block 126, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131A, Block 131B, Block 131C, Block 132, Block 133, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145, Block 146; Block Group 2: Block 205, Block 206; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 331, Block 332; Block Group 4: Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421; Faucett *: Tract 9906: Block Group 2: Block 220, Block 221C, Block 223B, Block 225B, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231B, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 242, Block 243, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 249, Block 250, Block 251, Block 252, Block 253, Block 254, Block 255, Block 256, Block 257, Block 258, Block 259, Block 260, Block 261, Block 262, Block 263, Block 264; Block Group 3: Block 301C, Block 301D, Block 302D, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 315, Block 316; Tract 9907: Block Group 4: Block 408A; Littleton #1 *: Tract 9907: Block Group 4: Block 405, Block 406, Block 408B, Block 409, Block 410, Block 413; Littleton #2 *, Roanoke Rapids #1 *, Roanoke Rapids #2 *, Roanoke Rapids #3 *, Roanoke Rapids #4 *, Roanoke Rapids #5 *, Roanoke Rapids #6 *, Roanoke Rapids #8 *, Roanoke Rapids #9 *: Tract 9905: Block Group 2: Block 216, Block 218, Block 219; Block Group 5: Block 517B, Block 518; Block Group 6: Block 610B, Block 611, Block 612; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702, Block 703, Block 704, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707B, Block 708, Block 709, Block 710, Block 711, Block 712, Block 713, Block 714, Block 717, Block 718; Roanoke Rapids #10 *, Roanoke Rapids #11 *; Harnett County, Johnston County, Lee County, Moore County: Township 4, Ritters: Tract 9501: Block Group 2: Block 202A,

Block 203A, Block 210, Block 211A, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 231, Block 242; Township 5, Deep River, Tract 9501: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109C, Block 110B, Block 142B, Block 142C, Block 142D, Block 142E, Block 142F, Block 145G, Block 146B, Block 156, Block 157, Block 158, Block 159, Block 160, Block 161, Block 162, Block 163, Block 164, Block 165, Block 166, Block 167, Block 168, Block 169, Block 170, Block 171, Block 172, Block 173, Block 179B, Block 179C; Township 6, Greenwood, Township 7, McNeill, Township 8, Sand Hill, Township 9, Mineral Springs, Township 10, Little River; Nash County: Bailey *, Castalia *, Coopers *, Drywells *, Ferrells *, Griffins *: Tract 0109: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109; Block Group 4: Block 452; Jacksons *, Mannings #1 *, Mannings #2 *, Nashville *, Oak Level, Oak Level Noncontiguous, Red Oak *, Rocky Mount #1 *, Rocky Mount #2 *, Rocky Mount #5 *, Rocky Mount #6 *, Rocky Mount #7 *, Stony Creek #1, Stony Creek Noncontiguous A, Stony Creek Noncontiguous B; Vance County: Dabney, Hilltop, Kittrell, Sandy Creek, Watkins, South Henderson II, West Henderson I, West Henderson IIA, West Henderson IIB; Wake County: New Light #1 *: Wilson County: Black Creek *, Cross Roads *, Old Fields *, Spring Hill *, Stantonsburg *: Tract 0008.02: Block Group 2: Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 248, Block 249, Block 250; Block Group 3: Block 319A, Block 320, Block 321A, Block 322, Block 323; Tract 0009: Block Group 3: Block 301B; Tract 0010: Block Group 1: Block 101C, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116B; Taylors *, Toisnot *: Tract 0012: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 114, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121; Tract 0013: Block Group 1: Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142; Block Group 2: Block 204, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 251; Block Group 3: Block 325B, Block 328; Block Group 4: Block 407B, Block 412B, Block 413, Block 414; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507, Block 510, Block 511, Block 515, Block 516A, Block 516B, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 525B, Block 531B, Block 549; Wilson D *, Wilson E *: Tract 0006: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 205, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 211, Block 212, Block 215; Block Group 3, Block Group 5: Block 517, Block 518A; Tract 0013: Block Group 4: Block 415, Block 417, Block 418; Wilson I *: Tract 0003: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113,

Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 126; Block Group 2: Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212; Tract 0004: Block Group 5: Block 520B, Block 520X, Block 520Y, Block 520Z, Block 521, Block 522, Block 523, Block 524A, Block 524B, Block 525, Block 526, Block 527A, Block 527B, Block 528A, Block 528B, Block 529A, Block 529B, Block 529C, Block 531A, Block 531B, Block 532A, Block 532B, Block 535; Tract 0008.02: Block Group 2: Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211A, Block 211B, Block 211C, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 234A, Block 234B, Block 235, Block 251A, Block 251B, Block 252, Block 253A, Block 253B, Block 254, Block 255, Block 256, Block 257; Tract 0009: Block Group 1: Block 108, Block 109; Wilson J *, Wilson K *, Wilson L *, Wilson M *, Wilson P *.

District 3: Beaufort County: Bath township, Long Acre township, Pantego township, Washington township: Tract 9902: Block Group 1: Block 129B, Block 130B, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 154, Block 155, Block 156, Block 157B, Block 158B, Block 159B, Block 160B, Block 175B, Block 176B, Block 185B, Block 186B, Block 187B, Block 189, Block 190, Block 191, Block 197; Block Group 2; Tract 9905: Block Group 5: Block 522A, Block 528A; Camden County, Carteret County; Craven County: Ernul *, Epworth *, Vanceboro *, Bridgeton *, Truitt *, Croatan *: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 117, Block 118A, Block 118B, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 205, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 224, Block 225; Havelock *: Tract 9611: Block Group 1: Block 128A, Block 128B, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134; Block Group 2: Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 251, Block 252, Block 253, Block 254A, Block 254B, Block 255A, Block 255B, Block 256, Block 257, Block 258, Block 259A, Block 259B, Block 260, Block 261, Block 262A, Block 262B, Block 262C, Block 263, Block 264, Block 265, Block 266, Block 267, Block 268, Block 269, Block 270, Block 271, Block 272, Block 273, Block 274, Block 275, Block 276, Block 277, Block 278, Block 279, Block 280A, Block 280B, Block 280C, Block 281, Block 282; Block Group 3; Tract 9612, Tract 9613: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210A, Block 210B, Block 210C, Block 211A, Block 211B, Block 211C, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217A, Block 217B, Block 217C, Block 218A, Block 218B, Block 218C, Block 220, Block 221, Block 224, Block 225; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306A, Block 306B, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316A, Block 316B, Block 317A, Block 317B, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321,

Block 322, Block 323A, Block 323C; Block Group 4, Block Group 5: Block 503A, Block 503B, Block 503C, Block 503D, Block 503E, Block 505A, Block 505B, Block 506A, Block 506B, Block 507A, Block 507B, Block 508A, Block 508B, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522, Block 523, Block 524, Block 525A, Block 526, Block 527, Block 528, Block 529, Block 530, Block 531, Block 532, Block 533, Block 534, Block 535, Block 536, Block 537, Block 540B; Block Group 6: Block 642, Block 643, Block 644A, Block 644B, Block 645; Grantham *, Sixth Ward *, Country Club *, Rhems *: Tract 9604: Block Group 7: Block 704; River Bend *, Tract 9604: Block Group 5: Block 562A; Block Group 7: Block 703, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707, Block 708, Block 709, Block 710, Block 711A, Block 712A, Block 713, Block 714, Block 715, Block 716, Block 717, Block 718, Block 719, Block 720, Block 721, Block 722, Block 723, Block 724, Block 725, Block 726, Block 727, Block 728, Block 729, Block 730, Block 731, Block 732, Block 733, Block 734, Block 735, Block 736, Block 737, Block 738A; Trent Woods *, Woodrow *, Currituck County; Dare County; Duplin County: Albertson *, Chinquapin *, Cypress Creek *, Calypso *, Faison *, Glisson *: Tract 9901: Block Group 2: Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 226, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 248, Block 249, Block 250, Block 251, Block 252, Block 253, Block 254, Block 255, Block 256; Wallace *, Beulaville *, Cedar Fork *, Rose Hill *, Smith/Cabin *, Wolfscrape *, Hyde County; Jones County: Beaver Creek *, Chinquapin *, Cypress Creek *, Tuckahoe *, White Oak *, Lenoir County: Falling Creek *, Institute *, Kinston #3 *, Kinston #4 *, Kinston #5 *, Kinston #9 *, Neuse *, Pink Hill #1 *, Pink Hill #2 *, Tract 0112: Block Group 3: Block 339A, Block 341; Sandhill *, Southwest *, Trent #1 *, Trent #2 *: Tract 0112: Block Group 3: Block 301; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 408, Block 409, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 446, Block 447, Block 448; Woodlinton *, Martin County: Bear Grass, Cross Roads, Griffins, Jamesville, Williams; Onslow County: East Northwoods *, West Northwoods *, Half Moon *: Tract 0012: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 102B, Block 108B, Block 109B, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 128, Block 129, Block 132, Block 136, Block 137; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212A, Block 212B, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216; Block Group 3: Block 306B, Block 307A; Jacksonville, New River *, Catherine Lake *, Haw Branch *, Gum Branch *, Richlands *, Harris Creek *, Haws Run *, Tract 0004: Block Group 4: Block 408; Holly Ridge *: Tract 0004: Block Group 4: Block 406, Block 407, Block 409, Block 410, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 426; Swansboro *,

Mills *, Tract 0001: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104B, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 114, Block 115, Block 119, Block 120, Block 125B, Block 129B, Block 133, Block 134B, Block 135B, Block 136, Block 137B; Mortons *, Northeast *, VTD ZZZZ: Tract 0001: Block Group 1: Block 104A, Block 125A, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129A, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 134A, Block 135A, Block 137A, Block 138, Block 139A, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144A, Block 144B, Block 144C, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 154, Block 155, Block 156, Block 157, Block 158, Block 159, Block 160, Block 161, Block 162, Block 163, Block 164, Block 165, Block 166, Block 167, Block 168, Block 169A, Block 170, Block 171A, Block 172, Block 173, Block 174, Block 175, Block 176, Block 177A, Block 177B, Block 178, Block 179, Block 185, Block 186, Block 196A, Block 196B, Block 197A, Block 197B; Tract 0002: Block Group 6: Block 627A, Block 628, Block 629A, Block 629B, Block 630A, Block 631, Block 632, Block 633, Block 634A, Block 635A, Block 648A, Block 649A; Tract 0012: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 102A, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107A, Block 108A, Block 109A; Tract 0013: Block Group 1: Block 107; Pamlico County: Pamlico County; Pasquotank County: Mount Hermon, Providence, Salem, Elizabeth City 1-B, Elizabeth City 2-A, Elizabeth City 2-B; Pender County: North Burgaw *, South Burgaw *, Grady *: Tract 9805: Block Group 4: Block 407, Block 409, Block 418, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446, Block 447, Block 448, Block 449, Block 450, Block 451, Block 452, Block 453, Block 454, Block 455, Block 456, Block 458, Block 459, Block 460, Block 461, Block 462, Block 463, Block 464, Block 465, Block 466, Block 467, Block 471, Block 473, Block 474, Block 475, Block 476, Block 477, Block 478, Block 479, Block 480, Block 481, Block 482, Block 483, Block 485, Block 493, Block 494, Block 496; Tract 9806: Block Group 3: Block 339; Middle Holly *, Long Creek *, Penderlea *, Lower Union *, Rocky Point *, Upper Topsail *, Pitt County: Ayden West *, Chicod *, Simpson *, Swift Creek *, Winterville West *, Winterville East *, Greenville #7 *, Greenville #8 *, Greenville #9 *, Greenville #10 *, Greenville #11 *, Greenville #12 *; Sampson County, Tyrrell County, Wayne County: Brogden *, Mt. Olive *, Buck Swamp *, Fork *, Grantham *, Great Swamp *, Goldsboro #1 *, Goldsboro #2 *, Goldsboro #3 *, Goldsboro #4 *, Goldsboro #5 *, Indian Springs *, White Hall *: Tract 0006: Block Group 6: Block 605, Block 624, Block 625, Block 626, Block 627, Block 628, Block 629, Block 630, Block 631, Block 632, Block 633, Block 634, Block 635, Block 636, Block 644, Block 645, Block 646, Block 647, Block 652, Block 653, Block 654, Block 655, Block 656, Block 657, Block 658; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702, Block 703, Block 704A, Block 704B, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707, Block 708, Block 709, Block 710, Block 711, Block 712, Block 713, Block 714A, Block 714B, Block 715, Block 716A, Block 716B, Block 717, Block 718, Block 719, Block 720, Block 721, Block 722, Block 723, Block 724, Block 725, Block 726, Block 727, Block 728A, Block 728B, Block 729A, Block 729B,

Block 730, Block 731, Block 732, Block 733, Block 734, Block 735, Block 736, Block 737, Block 738, Block 739, Block 740, Block 741, Block 742, Block 745, Block 748; Eureka *, Fremont *, New Hope *, Pikeville *, Saulston *, Pinewood *, Stoney Creek *.

District 4: Chatham County, Orange County: Orange Grove *, White Cross *, Carr *: Tract 0108: Block Group 1: Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 154, Block 185, Block 186, Block 187; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446, Block 447, Block 448, Block 449, Block 450, Block 451, Block 452, Block 453, Block 454, Block 472, Block 475, Block 476A, Block 477, Block 478, Block 479A, Block 480, Block 481, Block 482, Block 483A, Block 484A, Block 485A; Tract 0111: Block Group 2: Block 206A, Block 207, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211A, Block 212A, Block 213, Block 214A, Block 215A; Cedar Grove *: Tract 0108: Block Group 1: Block 145, Block 147, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 158, Block 159, Block 160, Block 161, Block 162, Block 163, Block 164, Block 165, Block 166, Block 167, Block 168, Block 169, Block 170, Block 171, Block 172, Block 173, Block 174, Block 175, Block 176, Block 177, Block 178, Block 179, Block 180, Block 181, Block 182, Block 183, Block 184, Block 188, Block 189, Block 190; Block Group 4: Block 455, Block 456, Block 457, Block 458, Block 459, Block 460, Block 461, Block 462, Block 463A, Block 464, Block 465, Block 466A, Block 467A, Block 468, Block 469A, Block 470A, Block 471A, Block 473, Block 474; Tolars *: Tract 0108: Block Group 2: Block 271, Block 272, Block 273, Block 274, Block 278, Block 279, Block 280, Block 281, Block 282, Block 283, Block 284A; Block Group 3: Block 307A, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319A, Block 342A, Block 342B, Block 343, Block 344, Block 345, Block 346A, Block 347, Block 348, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351, Block 354A, Block 355A, Block 356A, Block 357, Block 358A, Block 359, Block 360A, Block 361A, Block 361B, Block 381, Block 382; Tract 0109: Block Group 2: Block 213A; Battle Park *, Coker Hills *, Coles Store *, Colonial Heights *, Country Club *, Dogwood Acres *, East Franklin *, Eastside *, Estes Hills *, Foxcroft *, Glenwood *, Greenwood *, Kings Mill *, Lincoln *, Lions Club *, Mason Farm *, North Carrboro *, Norside *, Owasa *, Patterson *, Ridgfield *, St. John *, Town Hall *, Weaver Dairy *, Westwood *, Cheeks *, Efland *, St. Marys *, Cameron Park *, Grady Brown *, Hillsborough *, West Hillsborough *, Caldwell *: Tract 0108: Block Group 3: Block 338, Block 339, Block 340, Block 346B, Block 352, Block 353, Block 354B, Block 355C, Block 356B, Block 361E, Block 362B, Block 363, Block 364, Block 365, Block 366, Block 367, Block 368, Block 369, Block 376, Block 377, Block 378, Block 379, Block 380B; Wake County: Raleigh 01-01 *, Raleigh 01-02 *, Raleigh 01-03 *, Raleigh 01-04 *, Raleigh 01-05 *, Raleigh 01-06 *, Raleigh 01-07 *, Raleigh 01-09 *, Raleigh

01-10 *, Raleigh 01-11 *, Raleigh 01-12 *, Raleigh 01-13 *, Raleigh 01-14 *, Raleigh 01-15 *, Raleigh 01-16 *, Raleigh 01-17 *, Raleigh 01-18 *, Raleigh 01-19 *, Raleigh 01-20 *, Raleigh 01-21 *, Raleigh 01-22 *, Raleigh 01-23 *, Raleigh 01-26 *, Raleigh 01-27 *, Raleigh 01-28 *, Raleigh 01-29 *, Raleigh 01-30 *, Raleigh 01-31 *, Raleigh 01-32 *, Raleigh 01-33 *, Raleigh 01-34 *, Raleigh 01-35 *, Raleigh 01-36 *, Raleigh 01-37 *, Raleigh 01-38 *, Raleigh 01-39 *, Raleigh 01-40 *, Raleigh 01-41 *, Raleigh 01-42 *, Raleigh 01-43 *, Raleigh 01-44 *, Raleigh 01-45 *, Raleigh 01-46 *, Bartons Creek #1 *, Bartons Creek #2 *, Buckhorn *, Cary #1 *, Cary #2 *, Cary #3 *, Cary #4 *, Cary #5 *, Cary #6 *, Cary #7 *, Cary #8 *, Cary #9 *, Cary #10 *, Cedar Fork *, Holly Springs *, House Creek #1 *, House Creek #2 *, House Creek #3 *, House Creek #4 *, House Creek #5 *, House Creek #6 *, Leesville #1 *, Leesville #2 *, Leesville #3 *, Little River #1 *, Little River #2 *, Marks Creek #1 *, Marks Creek #2 *, Meredith *, Middle Creek #1 *, Middle Creek #2 *, Raleigh 01-27 Part, Neuse #1 *, Neuse #2 *, New Light #2 *, Panther Branch *, St. Marys #1 *, St. Marys #2 *, St. Marys #3 *, St. Marys #4 *, St. Marys #5 *, St. Marys #6 *, St. Marys # 7, St. Matthews #1 *, St. Matthews #2 *, St. Matthews #3 *, St. Matthews #4 *, Swift Creek #1 *, Swift Creek #2 *, Swift Creek #3 *, Swift Creek #4 *, Wake Forest #1 *, Wake Forest #2 *, White Oak #1 *, White Oak #2 *.

District 5: Alleghany County; Ashe County; Burke County: Drexel #1 *, Drexel #2 *, Linville #2 *, Lower Creek *, Morganton #1 *, Morganton #3 *, Morganton #4 *, Morganton #5 *, Morganton #6 *, Morganton #7 *, Morganton #8 *, Morganton #9 *, Morganton #10 *, Quaker Meadow #1 *, Quaker Meadow #2 *, Silver Creek #1 *, Silver Creek #3 *, Silver Creek #4 *, Smoky Creek *; Caldwell County: Kings Creek *, Lenoir #1 *, Lenoir #2 *, Lenoir #3 *, Lenoir #4 *, Lower Creek #1 *, Lower Creek #4 *, Yadkin Valley *; Caswell County, Forsyth County: Abbotts Creek #3 *, Belews Creek *, Bethania #1 *, Bethania #3 *, Kernersville #1 *, Kernersville #2 *, Kernersville #3 *, Kernersville #4 *, Middlefork #2 *, Middlefork #3 *, Old Town #2 *, Salem Chapel #1 *, Salem Chapel #2 *, Ardmore Baptist Church *, Bethabara Moravian Church *, Bible Wesleyan Church *, Bishop McGuinness *, Bolton Swimming Center *, Brown/Douglas Recreation *, Brunson Elementary School *, Christ Moravian Church *, Country Club Fire St. *, Covenant Presbyterian Church *, Easton Elementary School *, First Christian Church *, Forest Hill Fire Station *, Forsyth Tech W. Camp. *, Greek Orthodox Church *, Hanes Community Center *, Hill Middle School *, Latham Elementary School *, Memorial Coliseum *, Miller Park Recreation Center *, Mineral Springs F. St *, Mt. Tabor High School *, New Hope United Methodist Church *, Old Town Presbyterian Church *, Parkland High School *, Parkway United Church *, Philo Middle School *, Polo Park Recreation Center *, Reynolds High School Gym *, South Fork Elem School *, St. Anne's Episcopal Church *, Summit School *, Trinity Moravian Church *, Trinity United Methodist Church *, Whitaker Elementary School *; Granville County: Oak Hill *, West Oxford Elementary *, Sassafras Fork *, Walnut Grove *; Guilford County: North Madison *, Stokesdale *; Tract 0158: Block Group 1: Block 105A, Block 107A, Block 108A; Tract 0159: Block Group 1: Block 105A, Block 114A, Block 115A, Block 116, Block 117A, Block 118A,

Block 118B, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128A, Block 129A; Block Group 2: Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232B, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239A, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243A, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 248, Block 249, Block 250, Block 251A, Block 252, Block 253; Block Group 3: Block 306A, Block 314A, Block 315A, Block 320, Block 321B, Block 322B, Block 323A, Block 323B; Person County, Rockingham County, Stokes County; Surry County, Watauga County; Wilkes County: Beaver Creek *, Boomer *, Elk No. 2 *, Moravian Falls *, No. Wilkesboro-1 *, No. Wilkesboro-3 *, Wilkesboro No. 1 *.

District 6: Alamance County: Albright *, Central Boone *, North Boone *, South Boone *, West Boone *, Boone #5 *, East Burlington *, North Burlington *: Tract 0201.01: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 117, Block 118; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 209, Block 224; Tract 0202: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 112, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123; Tract 0203: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 103, Block 106, Block 111A, Block 111B, Block 112, Block 113A, Block 113B, Block 113C; Block Group 2: Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 209, Block 210; Block Group 3; Tract 0204: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 305, Block 348, Block 349; Tract 0205: Block Group 8: Block 807, Block 823; South Burlington *, West Burlington *, Burlington #5 *, Burlington #6 *, Burlington #8 *, Burlington #9 *, Coble *, East Graham *, North Graham *, South Graham *, West Graham *, Graham #3 *, North Melville *, South Melville *, Melville #3 *, North Newlin *, South Newlin *, Patterson *, North Thompson *, South Thompson *; Davidson County: Abbotts Creek *: Tract 0601: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243, Block 248, Block 250; Block Group 3, Block Group 9: Block 901A, Block 901B, Block 902, Block 903, Block 904, Block 905, Block 906, Block 907, Block 908, Block 909, Block 910, Block 911, Block 912, Block 915, Block 916A, Block 916B, Block 917, Block 918, Block 920, Block 921, Block 922; Alleghany *, Arcadia *, Boone *, Central *, Holly Grove *, Liberty *, Cotton *: Tract 0615: Block Group 4: Block 443; Tract 0618.01: Block Group 2: Block 204B, Block 207A, Block 207B, Block 208A, Block 208B, Block 227,

Block 235C, Block 236, Block 237C, Block 238; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429; Block Group 5: Block 541, Block 542, Block 543, Block 544, Block 545; Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 606, Block 607, Block 622, Block 623, Block 624; Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 702, Block 703, Block 704, Block 705, Block 706, Block 707, Block 708, Block 709, Block 710, Block 711, Block 714, Block 715, Block 716, Block 745; Southmont *, Denton *, Emmons *, Silver Valley *, Hampton *, Healing Springs *, Jackson Hill *, Lexington No. 1 *: Tract 0604: Block Group 2: Block 206, Block 213, Block 226; Tract 0612: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 307, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317B, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 324B, Block 325B, Block 326B, Block 327B, Block 348B, Block 349C, Block 350C, Block 350D, Block 370E, Block 371B, Block 372, Block 373B, Block 374, Block 375, Block 382; Lexington No. 2 *, Lexington No. 3 *, Lexington No. 4 *, Ward No. 2 *: Tract 0613: Block Group 2: Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 237; Block Group 3: Block 340, Block 341, Block 342; Tract 0614: Block Group 2: Block 210, Block 211; Tract 0615: Block Group 1: Block 106, Block 107, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 120, Block 121; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 449, Block 450, Block 451, Block 452, Block 453A; Tract 0616: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 302A, Block 314A, Block 315A, Block 319A, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322; Tract 0618.01: Block Group 2: Block 211; Ward No. 3 *, Ward No. 6 *: Tract 0614: Block Group 3: Block 340; Block Group 4: Block 413B, Block 413C, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416; Tract 0615: Block Group 1: Block 131, Block 132; Block Group 2: Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242; Block Group 3: Block 301C, Block 301D, Block 302B, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313A, Block 313B, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317A, Block 317B, Block 368A, Block 368B, Block 369, Block 370, Block 371; Tract 0618.01: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204A, Block 205, Block 206A, Block 206B, Block 228, Block 229, Block

230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234A, Block 234B, Block 235A, Block 235B, Block 237A, Block 237B; Tract 0618.02: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 135; Welcome *, Midway *, Reeds *, Tyro *, Reedy Creek *, Silver Hill *, Thomasville No. 4 *: Tract 0609: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308A, Block 310, Block 313A, Block 315A, Block 316, Block 317A, Block 317B, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322A, Block 322B, Block 324A, Block 324B, Block 324C, Block 325, Block 326A, Block 327, Block 328A; Block Group 4, Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522; Block Group 9: Block 901A, Block 903A, Block 911A, Block 911B; Tract 0611: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103A; Thomasville No. 5 *, Thomasville No. 7 *: Tract 0605: Block Group 1: Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 119, Block 126, Block 127; Block Group 9: Block 903, Block 904, Block 905, Block 906, Block 907, Block 908, Block 915, Block 917; Thomasville No. 8 *: Tract 0605: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 110, Block 111; Block Group 9: Block 901, Block 902, Block 909, Block 910, Block 911, Block 912; Tract 0606: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112B, Block 113B, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118B, Block 119B, Block 120B, Block 121B, Block 122B, Block 123, Block 124; Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 401B, Block 401C, Block 402A, Block 402B, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405A, Block 405B, Block 405C, Block 405D, Block 405E, Block 406A, Block 406B, Block 407, Block 413, Block 414; Block Group 9: Block 901, Block 902, Block 905, Block 906B, Block 907, Block 908, Block 909, Block 910, Block 930B, Block 934, Block 935B, Block 956, Block 959B; Tract 0607: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 102B; Block Group 3: Block 324B, Block 325, Block 326B; Tract 0608: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102B, Block 104B; Thomasville No. 9 *, Thomasville No. 10 *, Yadkin College *, Davie County: Fulton township, Jerusalem township, Mocksville township, Shady Grove township; Guilford County: GB-10 *, GB-11 *, GB-12 *, GB-13 *, GB-14 *, GB-15 *: Tract 0116.01: Block Group 1: Block 105, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114; Block Group 2: Block 201; Block Group 4: Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 415, Block 417; GB-16 *, GB-17 *, GB-18 *, GB-20 *, GB-21 *, GB-22 *, GB-27A *, GB-28 *, GB-31 *, GB-32 *, GB-34A *, GB-35A *, GB-37A *, GB-38 *, GB-39 *, GB-40A *, GB-41A *, GB-43 *, HP-01 *, HP-02 *, HP-04 *, HP-08 *, HP-09 *, HP-10 *, HP-13 *, HP-14 *, HP-16 *, HP-18 *, HP-19 *, HP-20 *, HP-21 *, HP-23 *, HP-24 *, Bruce *, North Center Grove *, South Center Grove *, Clay *, Deep River *, Fentress-1 *, Fentress-2 *, Friendship-1 *, Friendship-2 *, Whitsett *, Greene *, Jamestown-1 *: Tract 0164.01: Block Group 2: Block 221Y, Block 221Z, Block 223A; Tract 0164.02: Block Group 4: Block 401W, Block 401Z, Block 402, Block 403C, Block 403D, Block 403E, Block 403F, Block 403G, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 412,

Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 434, Block 435, Block 443X, Block 443Y, Block 443Z, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446, Block 447Y, Block 447Z; Block Group 9: Block 901A; Tract 0165.02: Block Group 3: Block 315X, Block 315Y, Block 315Z, Block 317; Jamestown-2 *: Tract 0164.01: Block Group 2: Block 201F, Block 201G, Block 211B, Block 212B, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221C, Block 221D, Block 222, Block 223B; Tract 0164.02: Block Group 4: Block 401C; Tract 0165.01: Block Group 2: Block 201D, Block 201E, Block 202, Block 211B, Block 211C; Tract 0165.02: Block Group 1: Block 103D, Block 103E, Block 104, Block 108B, Block 109C, Block 110B, Block 111B, Block 112, Block 113; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 211, Block 224; Block Group 3: Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 315B, Block 316, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322; Block Group 4: Block 407A, Block 415A, Block 415B, Block 418A; Tract 0166: Block Group 9: Block 904, Block 905, Block 906, Block 907, Block 930A, Block 952, Block 953; Tract 0167: Block Group 1: Block 105A, Block 106A, Block 106B, Block 110A, Block 111A, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118A, Block 119A, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125; Block Group 5: Block 501A, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506A, Block 507A, Block 508A, Block 510A, Block 511; Jamestown-3 *, North Jefferson *: Tract 0127.07: Block Group 2: Block 201C, Block 201D; Tract 0128.03: Block Group 2: Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 215, Block 216, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227B, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234C; Tract 0153: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 102A, Block 103, Block 104A, Block 105A, Block 106A, Block 112A, Block 113, Block 114A, Block 133, Block 134; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 302A, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316; Tract 0154: Block Group 5: Block 511A, Block 520A, Block 521, Block 522A, Block 523, Block 524A, Block 525A, Block 526A, Block 527, Block 528A; Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 602A, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605B, Block 606, Block 607, Block 608, Block 609, Block 610, Block 611; South Jefferson *, South Madison *, North Monroe *, South Monroe *, Oak Ridge *, Stokesdale *: Tract 0159: Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202, Block 232A; Block Group 3: Block 303A, Block 307A, Block 308A, Block 309A, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 316, Block 321A, Block 322A; South Sumner *, North Washington *, South Washington *: Tract 0151: Block Group 1: Block 149; Tract 0152: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415B, Block 416B; Tract 0153: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 102C, Block 104C; Tract 0154: Block Group 4: Block 401C, Block 404B; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 506B; GB-27B *, GB-34B *, GB-35B *, GB-37B *, GB-40B *, GB-41B *, GB-24C *, GB-27C *; Randolph County, Rowan County: Franklin *: Tract 0505: Block Group 2: Block 201B, Block 202B, Block 202C; Block

Group 3: Block 301B; Tract 0513.01: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204B, Block 205C, Block 205D, Block 206B, Block 207; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309C, Block 310, Block 311, Block 313, Block 314; Tract 0513.02: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103B, Block 103C, Block 104B, Block 105B, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108B, Block 114B, Block 119B; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 319, Block 320; Tract 0519: Block Group 2: Block 224A; Block Group 3: Block 301A; Barnhardt Mill *, Rockwell *, Bostian Crossroads *, Faith, Sumner *: Tract 0502: Block Group 4: Block 411A, Block 411D, Block 411E, Block 416B, Block 417; Tract 0511: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102; Block Group 2: Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 206, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214A, Block 214B, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225; Tract 0512.01: Block Group 1: Block 102C, Block 102D, Block 108C, Block 122B, Block 123B, Block 123C; Block Group 2: Block 205, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211; Block Group 3: Block 312A, Block 312B, Block 312C, Block 312D, Block 313, Block 314, Block 318, Block 319; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402A, Block 402B, Block 403, Block 411, Block 412A, Block 412B, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423; Tract 0517: Block Group 1: Block 102B, Block 103B; Morgan I *, Morgan II *, Gold Knob *, Granite Quarry *, Hatters Shop *, West Innes *: Tract 0505: Block Group 2: Block 202A; Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301C, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314; Tract 0513.02: Block Group 1: Block 103A, Block 104A, Block 105A, Block 108A, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114A, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119A, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123; Block Group 3: Block 321B; North Ward II *: Tract 0505: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 102, Block 103, Block 105, Block 106, Block 108, Block 109, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 123, Block 124, Block 126, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210; Tract 0506: Block Group 1: Block 134, Block 135.

District 7: Bladen County: Abbotts township: Tract 9505: Block Group 2: Block 213A, Block 214A, Block 224A, Block 226A, Block 227A, Block 229; Block Group 4: Block 418B, Block 419B, Block 451A; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506A, Block 508A, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522, Block 523, Block 524, Block 525, Block 526A, Block 527A, Block 527B, Block 534A, Block 539, Block 540, Block 541, Block 542, Block 543, Block 544, Block 545, Block 546, Block 547, Block 548, Block 549, Block 550, Block 551, Block 552A, Block 554, Block 555, Block 556, Block 557,

Block 558, Block 559, Block 560, Block 561, Block 562, Block 563, Block 564, Block 565, Block 566; Bethel township, Bladenboro township, Colly township, Cypress Creek township, Hollow township; Brunswick County: Brunswick County; Columbus County: Bogue township, Bug Hill township, Cerro Gordo township, Chadbourn township: Tract 9906: Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 403B, Block 404B; Tract 9907: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 116, Block 117A, Block 118, Block 124A; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202A, Block 202B, Block 203A, Block 203B, Block 204A, Block 204B, Block 205A, Block 205B, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208A, Block 208B, Block 209A, Block 209B, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 231, Block 240; Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406A, Block 406B, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435; Block Group 5: Block 521B, Block 522, Block 523, Block 524, Block 525B, Block 526, Block 527, Block 528, Block 529, Block 530B, Block 531B, Block 532; Tract 9909: Block Group 6: Block 609, Block 610, Block 611, Block 618A, Block 620A, Block 621, Block 622, Block 623, Block 624, Block 625, Block 626A, Block 627A, Block 628, Block 629; Tract 9910: Block Group 2: Block 210A, Block 210B, Block 211A, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220A, Block 228, Block 229; Block Group 3: Block 302A, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339; Tract 9911: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102A; Fair Bluff township, Lees township, South Williams township, Tatums township, Waccamaw township, Whiteville township: Tract 9905: Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404B; Tract 9907: Block Group 1: Block 101C, Block 102, Block 117B, Block 124B, Block 125, Block 126; Tract 9908: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 119, Block 120B, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 207, Block 208A, Block 208B, Block 209, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306; Block Group 4: Block 405, Block 406; Tract 9909: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 307, Block 308, Block 343, Block 344, Block 345; Block Group 4: Block 423A, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 430; Block Group 5: Block 523, Block 524; Block Group 6: Block 617, Block 618B, Block 626B, Block 626C, Block 627B; Tract 9910: Block Group 1: Block 126C, Block 132C; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 201C, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206A, Block 206B, Block

207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210C, Block 211B, Block 220B, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227; Block Group 3: Block 301B, Block 302B, Block 309B; Williams township; Cumberland County: Beaver Dam *: Tract 0029: Block Group 1: Block 108A, Block 109A, Block 110, Block 111A, Block 112A, Block 112B, Block 115A, Block 117A, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335; Black River *, Linden *, Long Hill *, Westarea *, Judson *, Stedman *, Cross Creek #4 *, Cross Creek #6 *, Cross Creek #7 *, Cross Creek #8 *, Cross Creek #9 *: Tract 0012: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 227, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232; Tract 0025.01: Block Group 9: Block 901A, Block 901B, Block 902A, Block 906A, Block 908A, Block 909A, Block 910, Block 912A, Block 913A, Block 914, Block 915, Block 917, Block 919, Block 920, Block 921, Block 922, Block 923, Block 924, Block 925, Block 926, Block 927, Block 928, Block 929, Block 930, Block 932, Block 934C, Block 934D, Block 934H, Block 934J, Block 935, Block 936; Cross Creek #11 *, Cross Creek #12 *, Cross Creek #14 *, Cross Creek #15 *: Tract 0006: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 143; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 303, Block 305, Block 306, Block 309; Block Group 4: Block 410, Block 411, Block 412; Tract 0018: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 107, Block 111, Block 123, Block 124A, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131; Block Group 2: Block 203A, Block 204A, Block 205A; Tract 0019.02: Block Group 1: Block 101A; Tract 0020: Block Group 6: Block 619A; Cross Creek #17 *: Tract 0023: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 215, Block 216B, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 240, Block 251; Block Group 9: Block 901B, Block 903B, Block 906, Block 907B, Block 907C, Block 907D, Block 907E, Block 910; Tract 0024: Block Group 1: Block 103A; Block Group 3: Block 304A, Block 307A, Block 308A; Cross Creek #18 *, Cross Creek #20 *, Cross Creek #21, Cross Creek #22 *, Cross Creek #23 *, Cross Creek #24 *, Cross Creek #2 *, Eastover *, Vander *, Wade *, Alderman *, Spring Lake *: Tract 0035: Block Group 1: Block 110A, Block 119, Block 123; Pearces Mill #2 *, Pearces Mill #4 *, Cumberland #1 *, Cumberland #2 *, Hope Mills #1 *, Hope Mills #2 *, Beaver Lake *, Montclair *, Seventy First #2 *, Seventy First #3 *, New Hanover County: Cape Fear #1 *: Tract 0115: Block Group 1: Block 144, Block 148; Block Group 2: Block 202, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216; Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407; Cape Fear #2 *, Cape Fear #3 *, Federal Point #1 *, Federal Point #2 *, Federal Point #3 *, Wrightsville Beach *, Harnett #2 *, Harnett #3 *, Harnett #4 *, Harnett #5 *, Harnett #6 *, Harnett #7 *, Masonboro #2 *, Masonboro #3 *, Masonboro #4 *, Masonboro #5 *, Wilmington #4 *,

Wilmington #5 *, Wilmington #8 *: Tract 0107: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 115, Block 127, Block 131, Block 133, Block 134; Tract 0110: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 103, Block 107, Block 110, Block 115, Block 116, Block 118, Block 123, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127; Block Group 2: Block 203, Block 205, Block 206, Block 212, Block 214, Block 215, Block 219, Block 220, Block 222, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226; Wilmington #9 *: Tract 0102: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 126; Tract 0103: Block Group 3, Block Group 4, Block Group 5: Block 508, Block 509; Wilmington #11 *, Wilmington #12 *, Wilmington #13 *, Wilmington #14 *, Wilmington #16 *, Wilmington #17 *, Wilmington #18 *; Onslow County: Cross Roads *, Half Moon *: Tract 0012: Block Group 1: Block 130, Block 131; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306A, Block 307B; Block Group 4; Tar Landing *, Brynn Marr *, Folkstone *, Verona *, Bear Creek *, Hubert *, Camp Lejeune Military Base 1, Camp Lejeune Military Base 2, Camp Lejeune Military Base 3, Camp Lejeune Military Base 4, Camp Lejeune Military Base 5, Camp Lejeune Military Base 6, Camp Lejeune Military Base 7, Camp Lejeune Military Base 8, Camp Lejeune Military Base 9, Camp Lejeune Military Base 10, Camp Lejeune Military Base 11, Camp Lejeune Military Base 12, Camp Lejeune Military Base 13, Camp Lejeune Military Base 14; Pender County: Lower Topsail *, Scott's Hill *, Surf City *; Robeson County: Alfordsville *, Back Swamp *, Britts *, Burnt Swamp *, Fairmont #1 *, Fairmont #2 *, Gaddys *, East Howellsville *, West Howellsville *, Lumberton #1 *, Lumberton #2 *, Lumberton #3 *, Lumberton #4 *, Lumberton #5 *, Lumberton #6 *, Lumberton #7 *, Lumberton #8 *, Orrum *, North Pembroke *, South Pembroke *, Philadelphus *, Raft Swamp *, Rowland *, Saddletree *, Smiths *, Smyrna *, Sterlings *, Thompson *, Union *, Whitehouse *, Wishart *.

District 8: Anson County, Cabarrus County, Cumberland County: Manchester *, Spring Lake *: Tract 0034.85: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 103A; Tract 0035: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 202A, Block 203B, Block 204, Block 205A, Block 206A, Block 207, Block 208A, Block 208C, Block 208W, Block 208X, Block 208Y, Block 208Z, Block 209Y, Block 209Z, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219; Block Group 3, Block Group 4, Block Group 5; Tract 0036.85: Block Group 2: Block 203B; Block Group 3: Block 324A, Block 326A; Block Group 4: Block 401C, Block 403A, Block 404A, Block 404B, Block 404C, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407A, Block 407B, Block 407C, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416A, Block 416B, Block 417; Block Group 5, Block Group 6: Block 606A, Block 608A, Block 608B, Block 609A; Brentwood *, Cottonade *, Morganton Road #1 *, Morganton Road #2 *, Seventy First #1 *; Hoke County,

Iredell County: Barringer *: Tract 0613: Block Group 2: Block 216, Block 217A, Block 218, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227; Block Group 4: Block 402A, Block 404A, Block 406A, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504A, Block 505, Block 506D, Block 507, Block 508A, Block 509, Block 510, Block 514A, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519A, Block 524A, Block 525, Block 526A, Block 527A; Chambersburg *: Tract 0607: Block Group 6: Block 610, Block 611, Block 613, Block 614, Block 615, Block 616, Block 617, Block 618, Block 619, Block 620, Block 621, Block 622, Block 623, Block 624; Tract 0613: Block Group 2: Block 201B; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309B, Block 314B, Block 315B, Block 316B, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402B, Block 403, Block 404B, Block 405, Block 406B; Coddle Creek #1 *, Coddle Creek #3 *, Mecklenburg County: COR *: Tract 0064: Block Group 2: Block 217F, Block 217H; Block Group 4: Block 401C; CO2 *: Tract 0056.01: Block Group 3: Block 323; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426; Tract 0056.02: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 110, Block 111, Block 113, Block 114; DAV *: Tract 0064: Block Group 2: Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217G, Block 217K, Block 217M, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 231A, Block 232A, Block 233B; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 304, Block 305A, Block 305B, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 316, Block 317; Block Group 4: Block 401B, Block 401E, Block 401G; Block Group 6: Block 603B; Montgomery County; Moore County: Township 1, Carthage, Township 2, Bensalem, Township 3, Sheffields, Township 4, Ritters: Tract 9501: Block Group 1: Block 109A, Block 109B, Block 110A, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126B, Block 126C, Block 130B, Block 130C, Block 131B, Block 131C, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142A, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145A, Block 145B, Block 145C, Block 145D, Block 145E, Block 145F, Block 146A, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 154, Block 155, Block 174, Block 175, Block 176, Block 177, Block 178, Block 179A; Block Group 2: Block 229, Block 230B, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block 235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239, Block 240, Block 241, Block 243, Block 244, Block 250B; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329B, Block 330, Block 331C, Block 333B, Block 334B,

Block 335B, Block 340C, Block 341C, Block 342B, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348; Tract 9502: Block Group 1: Block 134B, Block 134C, Block 149B, Block 149C; Block Group 4: Block 401B, Block 401C, Block 402B, Block 402C, Block 403B, Block 403C, Block 414E, Block 415C, Block 415F, Block 415G, Block 416, Block 417D, Block 417E, Block 417G, Block 417H, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420C, Block 420D; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502B, Block 502C, Block 502E, Block 502F, Block 533C, Block 533D, Block 534C, Block 534D, Block 535B, Block 536B, Block 537B, Block 541C, Block 541D; Richmond County, Robeson County: Lumber Bridge *, Maxton *, Parkton *, Red Springs #1 *, Red Springs #2 *, Rennert *, Shannon *, North St. Pauls *, South St. Pauls *; Rowan County: Bradshaw *, Enochville *, Blackwelder Park *, Bostian School *, N. China Grove *, S. China Grove *, East Kannapolis *, West Kannapolis *, East Landis *, West Landis *, Cleveland *, Faith Noncontiguous, Locke *, Sumner *: Tract 0502: Block Group 4: Block 402A, Block 402C, Block 403B, Block 409B, Block 410B, Block 410C, Block 411B, Block 411C, Block 411F, Block 412A, Block 412C, Block 413B, Block 413C, Block 415A, Block 415B, Block 416C; Tract 0511: Block Group 2: Block 201C, Block 205; Tract 0512.01: Block Group 2: Block 206; Mt. Ulla *, East Ward I *, West Ward I *, West Ward II *, Steele *, Tract 0519: Block Group 3: Block 334B, Block 335; Scotland County, Stanly County; Union County.

District 9: Cleveland County: Boiling Springs *, Pearl *, East Kings Mountain *, West Kings Mountain *, Grover *, Bethware *, Waco *, Shanghai *, Falston *, Mulls *, Casar *; Gaston County: Cherryville #1 *, Cherryville #2 *, Cherryville #3 *, Landers Chapel *, Tryon *, Bessemer City #1 *, Bessemer City #2 *: Tract 0316: Block Group 2, Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 401B, Block 402, Block 403A, Block 403B, Block 403C, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411A, Block 411B, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414B, Block 417A, Block 417C; Tract 0317.02: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129; Block Group 2: Block 202; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302; Tract 0318: Block Group 1: Block 101C, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108; Tract 0331: Block Group 1: Block 103D, Block 105A; Crowders Mtn. *, Alexis *, Dallas #1 *, Dallas #2 *, High Shoals *, Armstrong *, Ashbrook *, Firestone *: Tract 0329: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115; Block Group 2: Block 207; Block Group 3: Block 306, Block 307, Block 317; Block Group 4: Block 404, Block 405, Block 406; Tract 0330: Block Group 1: Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 131, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 157, Block 158, Block 159, Block 160, Block 161; Flint Groves *: Tract 0313.02: Block Group 2: Block 205A, Block 217A, Block 219; Tract 0314: Block Group 2, Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block

407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 418, Block 419; Block Group 5: Block 501A, Block 501B, Block 502, Block 503A, Block 503B, Block 504, Block 505A, Block 505B, Block 506A, Block 506B, Block 507, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512A, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521A, Block 522A; Block Group 6; Tract 0321: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 320, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327; Forest Heights *, Gardner Park *, Gaston Day *, Grier *, Health Center *: Tract 0308: Block Group 7: Block 701, Block 705A, Block 705B, Block 713, Block 714, Block 715, Block 716, Block 717; Tract 0315: Block Group 1: Block 103A, Block 103B, Block 104, Block 106A, Block 106B, Block 107A, Block 107B, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115A, Block 115B, Block 116A, Block 116B, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119A, Block 119B, Block 120, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125A, Block 125B, Block 126A, Block 126B, Block 127, Block 128A, Block 128B, Block 129A, Block 129B, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136; Block Group 3, Block Group 4, Block Group 5, Block Group 6: Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 610, Block 614; Memorial Hall *, Myrtle *: Tract 0316: Block Group 4: Block 414A, Block 414C, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417B, Block 417D, Block 418, Block 419; Tract 0318: Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513, Block 514, Block 515, Block 516, Block 517, Block 523, Block 524, Block 525; Ranlo *: Tract 0303: Block Group 5: Block 502B, Block 505D; Tract 0310.01: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 102A, Block 103; Tract 0313.01: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204A, Block 204B, Block 205A, Block 205B, Block 205C, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208A, Block 208B, Block 209B, Block 209C, Block 210, Block 211B, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219; Tract 0313.02: Block Group 1: Block 104B, Block 105A, Block 105B, Block 106B, Block 107B, Block 110B; Block Group 2: Block 201B, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205B, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217B, Block 217C, Block 220B; Tract 0314: Block Group 5: Block 512B, Block 521B, Block 522B; Robinson *, Sherwood *, South Gastonia *, Woodhill *: Tract 0314: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119; Tract 0320: Block Group 1: Block 120; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351, Block 352, Block 353, Block 354; Victory *, Lucia *, Mt. Holly #1 *, Mt. Holly #2 *, Stanley #1 *, Stanley #2 *, Belmont #1 *, Belmont #2 *: Tract 0323.01: Block Group 1: Block 102, Block 103, Block 104,

Block 106, Block 107A, Block 113, Block 114A, Block 114B, Block 114C, Block 114D, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120C, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128A, Block 128B, Block 129, Block 130A, Block 130B, Block 130C, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201D, Block 202B, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218; Block Group 3; Tract 0323.02: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 102, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 110B, Block 110C, Block 111A, Block 111B, Block 112A, Block 112B, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116A, Block 116B, Block 116C, Block 116D, Block 117A, Block 117B, Block 118, Block 119A, Block 119B, Block 120A, Block 120B, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 301C, Block 302A, Block 302B, Block 303, Block 304A, Block 304B, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313A, Block 313B, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316A, Block 316B, Block 317A, Block 317B, Block 318A, Block 318B, Block 318C; Belmont #3 *: Tract 0310.01: Block Group 3: Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 312A, Block 312B, Block 312C, Block 312D, Block 313A, Block 313B; Tract 0310.02: Block Group 3: Block 313B, Block 314, Block 315; Block Group 4; Tract 0312: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 101C, Block 101D, Block 102A, Block 102B, Block 102C, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106A, Block 106B, Block 106C, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111A, Block 111B, Block 112A, Block 112B, Block 112C, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116A, Block 116B, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119A, Block 119B, Block 120, Block 121; Block Group 2: Block 202A, Block 202B, Block 202C, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 303; Catawba Heights *, Cramerton *, Lowell *: Tract 0313.01: Block Group 2: Block 209A, Block 211A; Tract 0313.02: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 103, Block 104A, Block 106A, Block 107A, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121A, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125A, Block 128A, Block 129A; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 220A, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224; Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 302A, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330A, Block 331A, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 340, Block 341, Block 342, Block 343, Block 344, Block 345, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351, Block 352; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 412A, Block 415C, Block 416, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426; Tract 0322: Block Group 1: Block 105A, Block 107A, Block 112, Block 115, Block 116A; Block Group 2: Block

209B, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215A, Block 216A, Block 217B, Block 221B, Block 223; McAdenville *: Tract 0310.01: Block Group 1: Block 101C, Block 105B, Block 106B, Block 107; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 311; Tract 0313.02: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 102, Block 121B, Block 125B, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128B, Block 129B, Block 130, Block 131A, Block 131B, Block 132A, Block 132B; Block Group 3: Block 301C, Block 302B, Block 330B; Tract 0322: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102A, Block 102B, Block 103, Block 104B, Block 105B, Block 105C, Block 106, Block 107B, Block 110, Block 111, Block 113, Block 114, Block 116B, Block 116C, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119A, Block 119B, Block 120, Block 121B, Block 122; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 201C, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209C, Block 210, Block 218, Block 225, Block 226; Tract 0323.01: Block Group 1: Block 108A, Block 111, Block 112A, Block 120B, Block 121A; Tract 0325.01: Block Group 5: Block 501; New Hope *, Southpoint *, Union *; Mecklenburg County: Charlotte Pct. 1 *, Charlotte Pct. 4, Charlotte Pct. 5 *, Charlotte Pct. 6 *, Charlotte Pct. 7 *, Charlotte Pct. 8 *, Charlotte Pct. 9 *: Tract 0003: Block Group 1: Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 107, Block 109, Block 110; Tract 0004: Block Group 5: Block 514; Tract 0027: Block Group 3: Block 304; Tract 0035: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 414; Charlotte Pct. 10 *, Charlotte Pct. 15 *: Tract 0010: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 103, Block 105, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 214, Block 218; Block Group 3: Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 317; Tract 0011: Block Group 1: Block 103, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 114, Block 117, Block 120; Block Group 4: Block 408; Tract 0012: Block Group 3: Block 305, Block 306, Block 308Y, Block 308Z; Charlotte Pct. 18 *, Charlotte Pct. 19 *, Charlotte Pct. 20 *, Charlotte Pct. 21 *, Charlotte Pct. 29 *, Charlotte Pct. 32 *, Charlotte Pct. 33 *, Charlotte Pct. 34 *, Charlotte Pct. 35 *, Charlotte Pct. 36 *, Charlotte Pct. 37 *, Charlotte Pct. 38 *, Charlotte Pct. 44 *, Charlotte Pct. 45 *, Charlotte Pct. 47 *, Charlotte Pct. 48 *, Charlotte Pct. 49 *, Charlotte Pct. 50 *, Charlotte Pct. 51 *, Charlotte Pct. 57 *, Charlotte Pct. 58 *, Charlotte Pct. 59 *, Charlotte Pct. 61 *, Charlotte Pct. 62 *, Charlotte Pct. 63 *, Charlotte Pct. 64 *, Charlotte Pct. 65 *, Charlotte Pct. 66 *, Charlotte Pct. 67 *, Charlotte Pct. 68 *, Charlotte Pct. 69 *, Charlotte Pct. 70 *, Charlotte Pct. 71 *, Charlotte Pct. 72 *, Charlotte Pct. 73 *, Charlotte Pct. 74 *, Charlotte Pct. 75 *, Charlotte Pct. 76 *, Charlotte Pct. 77 *: Tract 0058.06: Block Group 1: Block 113; Tract 0059.03: Block

Group 3: Block 340A, Block 340B, Block 341A, Block 341B, Block 342, Block 344A, Block 344B, Block 345, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351, Block 352, Block 353, Block 354, Block 355, Block 356, Block 357, Block 361; Charlotte Pct. 79 *, Charlotte Pct. 80 *, Charlotte Pct. 81 *, Charlotte Pct. 83 *, Charlotte Pct. 84 *, Charlotte Pct. 85 *, Charlotte Pct. 86 *, Charlotte Pct. 87 *, Charlotte Pct. 88 *, Charlotte Pct. 89 *, Charlotte Pct. 90 *, Charlotte Pct. 91, Charlotte Pct. 92 *, Charlotte Pct. 93 *, Charlotte Pct. 94 *, Charlotte Pct. 95 *, Charlotte Pct. 96 *, Charlotte Pct. 97 *: Tract 0031.04: Block Group 2: Block 204, Block 205, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219; BER *: Tract 0059.01: Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 301B, Block 302A, Block 302B, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332A, Block 332B, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336A, Block 336B, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 340, Block 341, Block 342; Block Group 4; CCK *, COR *: Tract 0063: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108B, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 208, Block 209, Block 212; Tract 0064: Block Group 2: Block 217A, Block 217B, Block 217C, Block 217D, Block 217E, Block 217J, Block 217L, Block 228, Block 229A, Block 229B, Block 229C, Block 230, Block 231B, Block 232B, Block 233A, Block 233C, Block 234, Block 235; Block Group 3: Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324; Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 401D, Block 401F, Block 402A, Block 402B, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410; Block Group 5: Block 501D, Block 504A, Block 504B, Block 505, Block 506, Block 507, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511, Block 512, Block 513A, Block 513B, Block 514A, Block 514B, Block 514C, Block 514D, Block 515A, Block 515B, Block 516A, Block 516B, Block 517, Block 518A, Block 518B, Block 519, Block 520A, Block 520B, Block 520C, Block 521B, Block 524A; Block Group 6: Block 603A, Block 606, Block 610, Block 611, Block 612, Block 613, Block 614, Block 615, Block 616, Block 617, Block 618, Block 619, Block 620, Block 621, Block 622; CO1 *, CO2 *: Tract 0015.01: Block Group 1: Block 110B; Block Group 2: Block 201; Tract 0056.01: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333; Block Group 4: Block 405, Block 406, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422B, Block 423; Tract 0056.02: Block Group 1: Block 105, Block 106; HUN *: Tract 0063: Block Group 1: Block 108A, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114A, Block 114B, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119; Block Group 2: Block 206, Block 207, Block 210, Block 211, Block 213,

Block 214A, Block 214B, Block 214C, Block 215A, Block 215B, Block 216, Block 217; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305B, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 315A, Block 315C, Block 316, Block 317, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402A, Block 402B, Block 402C, Block 404A, Block 404B, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414A, Block 414B, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438, Block 439, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446; Tract 0064: Block Group 5: Block 521A; LEM *, LC1 - North, LC2: Tract 0061: Block Group 1: Block 108B; Block Group 5, Block Group 9; LC1 - South: Tract 0061: Block Group 4: Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 432, Block 471; MC1, MC2: Tract 0051.01: Block Group 1: Block 101; Tract 0054.01: Block Group 3: Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 316, Block 318, Block 320, Block 325, Block 326; Tract 0054.02: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 101B, Block 101C, Block 102A, Block 102B, Block 102C, Block 102D, Block 102E, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106B, Block 107A, Block 107B, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114A, Block 114B, Block 114C, Block 114D, Block 114E, Block 114F, Block 114G, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 123, Block 129A, Block 129D, Block 129F, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136; Tract 0055.01: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145A, Block 145B, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153; Block Group 2: Block 213, Block 214, Block 216, Block 217B, Block 218, Block 219; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 312B; Tract 0061: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 130, Block 131B, Block 132B; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 478, Block 479, Block 480, Block 481; MA1 *, MA2 *, MA3 *, MA4 *, Charlotte Pct. 102, MH1 *, MH2 *, MH3 *, OAK, PC1 *, PC2 *, PVL *, PR1, PR2, PR3, Charlotte Pct. 93 Part, SC1, SC2, Charlotte Pct. 100 *, Charlotte Pct. 105.

District 10: Alexander County: Avery County: Buncombe County: Broad River *, Fairview *, Limestone #2 *, Burke County: Drexel #3 *, Icard #1 *, Icard #2 *, Icard #3 *, Icard #4 *, Icard #5 *, Jonas Ridge *, Linville #1 *, Lovelady #1 *, Lovelady #2 *, Lovelady #3 *, Lovelady #4 *, Lower Fork *, Silver Creek #2 *, Upper Creek *, Upper Fork *, Caldwell County: Globe *, Hudson #1 *, Hudson #2 *, Johns River *, Gamewell #1 *, Gamewell #2 *, Little River *, Lovelady-Rhodhiss *, Lovelady #2 *, Sawmills *, Lower Creek #2 *, Lower Creek #3 *, Mulberry *, North Catawba *,

Patterson *, Wilson Creek *; Catawba County, Davie County: Calahaln township, Clarksville township, Farmington township; Forsyth County: Bethania #2 *, Clemmons #1 *, Clemmons #2 *, Clemmons #3 *, Lewisville #1 *, Lewisville #2 *, Lewisville #3 *, Old Richmond *, Old Town #3 *, South Fork #2 *, South Fork #3 *, Vienna #1 *, Vienna #2 *, Vienna #3 *, Calvary Baptist Church *, Jefferson Elementary School *, Messiah Moravian Church *, Sherwood Forest Elementary School *; Henderson County: Fletcher *, Hoopers Creek *, Park Ridge *; Iredell County: Bethany *, Chambersburg *: Tract 0606: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106A, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118A, Block 118B, Block 122, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 301A, Block 302, Block 303A; Tract 0607: Block Group 2: Block 223E, Block 224A, Block 225A, Block 226A; Block Group 3: Block 327A, Block 330A; Block Group 4: Block 422A, Block 423A, Block 425A, Block 426, Block 429A, Block 430A, Block 431A, Block 434A, Block 436, Block 437; Block Group 5: Coddle Creek #4 *: Tract 0614: Block Group 8: Block 823, Block 824, Block 825, Block 826, Block 827A, Block 829, Block 830, Block 831, Block 832, Block 833A, Block 836A, Block 837A, Block 838, Block 840, Block 841, Block 842; Tract 0616: Block Group 3: Block 312, Block 313, Block 314; Block Group 4: Block 402, Block 403A, Block 403B, Block 403C, Block 403D, Block 404A, Block 404B, Block 404C, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426A, Block 426B; Block Group 5: Block 501A, Block 501B, Block 502A, Block 502B, Block 503A, Block 503B, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506A, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511; Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 606, Block 607A, Block 607B, Block 607C, Block 607D, Block 607E, Block 608, Block 609A, Block 609C, Block 609D, Block 610A, Block 611A, Block 611B, Block 612A, Block 612B, Block 613, Block 614, Block 615, Block 616, Block 617, Block 618, Block 619, Block 620, Block 621, Block 622, Block 623, Block 624, Block 625, Block 626, Block 627, Block 628A; Concord *, Davidson *, Eagle Mills *, Fallstown *, New Hope *, Olin *, Sharpesburg *, Shiloh *, Statesville #1 *, Tract 0610: Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 402A, Block 429A, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433A, Block 434; Statesville #2 *, Statesville #4 *, Statesville #5 *: Tract 0603: Block Group 1: Block 105; Tract 0604: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 121, Block 122, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 222, Block 223, Block 225; Block Group 3: Block 304, Block 305, Block 306A, Block 307A, Block 309A, Block 317A; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408A, Block 408B, Block 409A, Block 409B, Block 410A, Block 410B, Block 411, Block

412A, Block 412B, Block 413A, Block 413B, Block 414A, Block 414C, Block 414D, Block 414E, Block 415B, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426B, Block 427B, Block 429B, Block 430B, Block 431A, Block 431B, Block 432, Block 433, Block 434, Block 435, Block 436A, Block 436B; Tract 0605: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202B, Block 203, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221; Block Group 3: Block 325, Block 326; Tract 0610: Block Group 4: Block 409A, Block 435B, Block 437A, Block 443, Block 444, Block 445, Block 446; Tract 0611: Block Group 3: Block 321A, Block 322B, Block 323A, Block 323D, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326A, Block 326C; Turnersburg *, Union Grove *; Lincoln County, McDowell County: Brackett township, Crooked Creek township, Glenwood township, Higgins township, Montford Cove township; Mitchell County, Polk County: Cooper Gap township; Rutherford County: Camp Creek township: Tract 9601: Block Group 1: Block 102A, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 138, Block 139, Block 141, Block 142, Block 144; Tract 9602: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 150A, Block 151, Block 180, Block 186, Block 191; Chimney Rock township, Tract 9602: Block Group 1: Block 107A, Block 108, Block 193, Block 194, Block 195, Block 196, Block 197; Golden Valley township, Green Hill township, Logan Store township, Morgan township, Wilkes County: Antioch *, Brushy Mountain *, Edwards No. 1 *, Edwards No. 2 *, Edwards No. 3 *, Elk No. 1 *, Jobs Cabin *, Lewis Fork *, Lovelace *, Mulberry No. 1 *, Mulberry No. 2 *, New Castle *, No. Wilkesboro-2 *, Reddies River-1 *, Reddies River-2 *, Rock Creek *, Somers *, Stanton *, Traphill No. 1 *, Traphill No. 2 *, Union *, Walnut Grove *, Wilkesboro No. 2 *; Yadkin County.

District 11: Buncombe County: Asheville #1 *, Asheville #2 *, Asheville #3 *, Asheville #4 *, Asheville #5 *, Asheville #6 *, Asheville #7 *, Asheville #8 *, Asheville #9 *, Asheville #10 *, Asheville #11 *, Asheville #12 *, Asheville #13 *, Asheville #14 *, Asheville #15 *, Asheville #16 *, Asheville #17 *, Asheville #18 *, Asheville #19 *, Asheville #20 *, Asheville #21 *, Beaverdam *, Biltmore *, Haw Creek *, Hazel #1 *, Hazel #2 *, Reynolds *, Woodfin *, Averys Creek *, Black Mountain #1 *, Black Mountain #2 *, Black Mountain #3 *, Black Mountain #4 *, Flat Creek *, French Broad *, Lower Hominy #1 *, Lower Hominy #2 *, Lower Hominy #3 *, Upper Hominy #1 *, Upper Hominy #2 *, Ivy #1 *, Ivy #2 *, Leicester *, West Buncombe *, Limestone #1 *, Riceville *, Swannanoa #1 *, Swannanoa #2 *, Reems Creek *, Weaverville #1 *, Weaverville #2 *, Sandy Mush *; Cherokee County; Clay County; Cleveland County: Holly Springs *, Mrb-Yo *, Shelby #1 *, Shelby #2 *, Shelby #3 *, Shelby #4 *, Shelby #5 *, Shelby #6 *, Shelby #7 *, Lattimore *, Polkville

*, Lawndale *; Graham County; Haywood County; Henderson County: North Blue Ridge *, South Blue Ridge *, Clear Creek *, Bowmans Bluff *, Crab Creek *, Bat Cave *, Edneyville *, Green River *, Raven Rock *, Armory *, Flat Rock *, Grimesdale *, Hendersonville #1 *, Hendersonville #2 *, Hendersonville #3 *, Horse Shoe *, Laurel Park *, Long John Mountain *, Moores Grove *, Northeast *, Northwest *, Pisgah View *, Rugby *, Southeast *, Southwest *, Valley Hill *, Northwest Non-contiguous *, Brickton, Brickton Noncontiguous, Etowah *, North Mills River *, South Mills River *; Jackson County; McDowell County: Dysartsville township, Marion township, Nebo township, North Cove township, Old Fort township; Macon County; Madison County; Polk County: Columbus township, Greens Creek township, Saluda township, Tryon township, White Oak township; Rutherford County: Camp Creek township: Tract 9601: Block Group 1: Block 140A, Block 143; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 202, Block 203A, Block 207A; Tract 9602: Block Group 1: Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 177, Block 178, Block 179A, Block 181, Block 182, Block 183A, Block 184A, Block 185, Block 187, Block 188, Block 189, Block 190, Block 192; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302A, Block 305A, Block 306A; Colfax township, Cool Spring township, Duncans Creek township, Gilkey township, High Shoals township, Rutherfordton township, Sulphur Springs township, Union township; Swain County; Transylvania County; Yancey County.

District 12: Alamance County: North Burlington *: Tract 0201.01: Block Group 1: Block 101; Tract 0202: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 113; Tract 0203: Block Group 1: Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 208; Tract 0204: Block Group 3: Block 304A, Block 304B, Block 306A, Block 306B, Block 307A, Block 307B, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316A, Block 316B, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 340; Block Group 4, Block Group 6; Tract 0205: Block Group 8: Block 804, Block 816, Block 817, Block 819; Burlington #7 *, Faucette *, Haw River *, Morton *, Pleasant Grove *; Davidson County: Abbotts Creek *: Tract 0601: Block Group 1: Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119; Block Group 2: Block 210, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 229, Block 237, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 249, Block 251; Block Group 9: Block 913, Block 914; Cotton *: Tract 0615: Block Group 4: Block 435B, Block 439C, Block 441B, Block 442B, Block 444B, Block 445B, Block 446B, Block 447, Block 448, Block 454, Block 455, Block 456; Tract 0618.01: Block Group 2: Block 209A, Block 209B, Block 210, Block 212A, Block 212B, Block 226; Block Group 3: Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330A, Block 330B; Block Group 4: Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412, Block 413; Block Group 5: Block 537, Block 538, Block 539, Block 540, Block 546, Block 556, Block 557, Block 558; Lexington No. 1 *: Tract 0612: Block Group 3: Block 306, Block 308, Block 323, Block 376, Block 377, Block 378, Block 379, Block 380, Block 381C; Tract 0614:

Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 107C; Block Group 2: Block 227B, Block 228B;
Block Group 3: Block 301B, Block 302, Block 305, Block 306B; Block Group 4: Block
401B, Block 401C, Block 401D, Block 402D, Block 402E, Block 403, Block 404,
Block 405B, Block 410B, Block 411D, Block 412B; Ward No. 1 *, Ward No. 2 *: Tract
0615: Block Group 1: Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block
141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 155, Block 156; Block Group 4: Block
405, Block 406, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 421,
Block 422; Tract 0616: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112;
Ward No. 4 *, Ward No. 5 *, Ward No. 6 *: Tract 0614: Block Group 3: Block 311,
Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block
321, Block 322, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 341; Tract 0615: Block Group
1: Block 119, Block 129, Block 130, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136,
Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block
148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152, Block 153, Block 154; Block Group
2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203; Thomasville No. 1 *, Thomasville No. 2 *,
Thomasville No. 3 *, Thomasville No. 4 *: Tract 0608: Block Group 2: Block 202;
Block Group 3: Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309,
Block 310, Block 311A, Block 339A, Block 342A, Block 342B, Block 343, Block
344A, Block 345A; Tract 0609: Block Group 5: Block 516, Block 517; Block Group 9:
Block 906A; Thomasville No. 7 *: Tract 0605: Block Group 1: Block 118, Block 120,
Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 129; Block Group 9: Block 913,
Block 914, Block 916, Block 918, Block 919, Block 920, Block 921, Block 922; Tract
0608: Block Group 3: Block 306B, Block 306C, Block 306D, Block 311B, Block 312,
Block 313, Block 314B, Block 322, Block 323B, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326,
Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block
334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339B, Block 340, Block
341B, Block 344B, Block 345B, Block 346, Block 347, Block 348; Thomasville No. 8
*: Tract 0606: Block Group 4: Block 408, Block 409, Block 410, Block 411, Block 412,
Block 415, Block 416, Block 417; Block Group 9: Block 903, Block 904B, Block 958B;
Durham County: Bragtown School *, Burton School *, C.C. Spaulding School *,
Durham Co. Main Library *, Durham High School *, Forest Hills Club House *,
Holloway Street School *, Holton Junior High School *, Lakewood School *, Moose
Lodge *, Morehead School *, North Durham School Building *, Pearson School *,
Pearsontown School *, R.N. Harris *, Shephard Junior H.S. *, St. Stephens/Parish Hall
*: Tract 0020.07: Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block
405, Block 407, Block 408, Block 409; Watts Street School *, Weaver Street
Community Center *, White Rock Baptist Church *, Y.E. Smith School *, Campus
Precinct *, Homestead Heights Baptist *, Carrington Junior H.S. *, Northern H.S. *,
DNOE VALLEY SCHOOL NONCONTIGUOUS *, Mangum School *, Rougemont
United Methodist *, Gorman Ruritan Club *; Forsyth County: Abbotts Creek #1 *,
Abbotts Creek #2 *, Broadbay #1 *, Broadbay #2 *, Ashley Middle School *, Carver
High School *, East Winston Library *, Forest Pk. Elementary School *, 14th Street
Recreation Center *, Happy Hill Recreation Center *, Kennedy Middle School *,
Lowrance Middle School *, M. L. King Recreation Center *, Mt. Sinai Church *, St.

Andrews United Methodist *, Winston Lake Family YMCA *; Gaston County: Bessemer City #2 *: Tract 0318: Block Group 1: Block 101A; Firestone *: Tract 0329: Block Group 1: Block 106, Block 107, Block 108; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218; Tract 0330: Block Group 1: Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 130, Block 132; Flint Groves *: Tract 0313.02: Block Group 2: Block 218A; Tract 0314: Block Group 1: Block 120; Block Group 4: Block 417; Tract 0321: Block Group 3: Block 305, Block 318, Block 319, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 334; Health Center *: Tract 0315: Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 606, Block 607, Block 608, Block 609, Block 611, Block 612, Block 613; Tract 0319: Block Group 2: Block 214, Block 215, Block 221, Block 222; Block Group 3; Highland *, Myrtle *: Tract 0318: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 101D, Block 101E, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 2, Block Group 3, Block Group 4, Block Group 5: Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521, Block 522, Block 526, Block 527, Block 528, Block 529, Block 530; Tract 0331: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 105B; Ranlo *: Tract 0313.02: Block Group 2: Block 218B, Block 218C; Block Group 4: Block 413D, Block 414B; Tract 0321: Block Group 3: Block 338D; Woodhill *: Tract 0320: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 108, Block 109, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123; Belmont #2 *: Tract 0323.01: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 105, Block 107B, Block 108B, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 110B, Block 121B; Tract 0323.02: Block Group 1: Block 101A, Block 103, Block 104; Block Group 3: Block 319; Belmont #3 *: Tract 0312: Block Group 1: Block 116C; Lowell *: Tract 0313.02: Block Group 3: Block 353A, Block 354, Block 355, Block 356, Block 357; Block Group 4: Block 411, Block 413B, Block 417, Block 418; Tract 0322: Block Group 1: Block 104A, Block 108, Block 109; McAdenville *: Tract 0310.01: Block Group 2: Block 219A, Block 219B, Block 220A, Block 220B; Tract 0313.02: Block Group 3: Block 331B, Block 331C, Block 332, Block 353B; Tract 0323.01: Block Group 1: Block 112B; Guilford County: GB-01 *, GB-02 *, GB-03 *, GB-04 *, GB-05 *, GB-06 *, GB-07 *, GB-08 *, GB-09 *, GB-15 *: Tract 0115: Block Group 1: Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113; Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203Y, Block 203Z, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217, Block 218; Block Group 3, Block Group 4, Block Group 5; Tract 0116.01: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 107; Block Group 2: Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 214, Block 218, Block 221; Tract 0126.04: Block Group 3: Block 303, Block 304; GB-19 *, Tract 0154: Block Group 6: Block 605A; GB-23 *, GB-24A *, GB-25 *, GB-26A *, GB-29 *, GB-30 *, GB-33 *, GB-36 *, GB-42 *, GB-44 *, GB-45 *, Tract 0128.03: Block Group 2: Block 217A, Block 227A, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230Y, Block 230Z, Block 231, Block 234A; HP-03 *, HP-05 *, HP-06 *, HP-07 *, HP-11 *, HP-12 *, HP-15 *, HP-17 *, HP-22 *, Gibsonville *, Jamestown-1 *: Tract 0164.02: Block Group 4: Block 401Y, Block 403B, Block 404, Block 411Y, Block 411Z, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419,

Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 427, Block 428, Block 429, Block 430, Block 431, Block 432, Block 433, Block 436, Block 437, Block 438B, Block 439B, Block 440, Block 441, Block 442; Tract 0165.01: Block Group 2: Block 212C, Block 213A; Jamestown-2 *: Tract 0126.09: Block Group 4: Block 414C; Tract 0165.01: Block Group 2: Block 212D, Block 213B; Tract 0165.02: Block Group 2: Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 216, Block 217A, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314; Block Group 4: Block 407B; North Jefferson *: Tract 0111.02: Block Group 4: Block 422B; Tract 0127.06: Block Group 2: Block 201B; Tract 0128.03: Block Group 1: Block 107B; Block Group 2: Block 201C, Block 202C, Block 205B, Block 206, Block 207, Block 213, Block 214, Block 217B, Block 224, Block 234B, Block 235; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 303, Block 306; Block Group 9: Block 901, Block 902B, Block 904, Block 905B, Block 906; Tract 0153: Block Group 1: Block 115A, Block 117, Block 118A, Block 120A, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132; Block Group 3: Block 303A, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324; North Sumner *, South Washington *: Tract 0152: Block Group 1: Block 110, Block 111, Block 115, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 131, Block 132B, Block 133B, Block 134B, Block 136B; GB-24B *, GB-26B *, GB-35C *; Iredell County: Barringer *: Tract 0612: Block Group 3: Block 317A, Block 317C, Block 321A, Block 322A, Block 323A; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402A, Block 402B, Block 402F, Block 410A, Block 410C, Block 411A, Block 412A, Block 412C, Block 412D, Block 413, Block 414, Block 415, Block 416, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422A, Block 422B, Block 423A, Block 423B, Block 424, Block 425; Block Group 5: Block 501C, Block 507A, Block 508, Block 509, Block 510, Block 511; Block Group 9: Block 901A, Block 902A, Block 904A; Tract 0613: Block Group 1: Block 101A; Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 219, Block 220A, Block 221A, Block 222, Block 223, Block 224A; Block Group 3: Block 309A, Block 310A, Block 312A, Block 313, Block 314A, Block 315A, Block 316A, Block 322, Block 323; Block Group 4: Block 407A, Block 408; Chambersburg *: Tract 0606: Block Group 3: Block 323D, Block 324A, Block 325A, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330A, Block 331, Block 332; Block Group 4: Block 401, Block 402, Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408B, Block 416A, Block 416C, Block 417, Block 418, Block 419, Block 420, Block 421, Block 422, Block 423, Block 424, Block 425, Block 426, Block 427; Block Group 5: Block 501, Block 502, Block 503, Block 504, Block 505, Block 506A, Block 506B, Block 507A, Block 507C, Block 517A, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520; Tract 0607: Block Group 6: Block 601, Block 602, Block 603, Block 604, Block 605, Block 606, Block 607, Block 608, Block 609, Block 612; Tract 0613: Block Group 3: Block 310B, Block 311A, Block 312B, Block 317; Block Group 4: Block 407B; Coddle Creek #2 *, Coddle Creek #4 *: Tract

0614: Block Group 8: Block 820, Block 821, Block 822, Block 843, Block 844, Block 845, Block 846, Block 847, Block 852; Tract 0616: Block Group 2: Block 214; Block Group 3: Block 306, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 315, Block 316; Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 401B, Block 401C, Block 401D, Block 401E; Block Group 5: Block 512, Block 513A, Block 513B, Block 513C, Block 513D, Block 513E, Block 514; Block Group 7: Block 714, Block 718, Block 719; Cool Springs *, Statesville #3 *, Statesville #5 *: Tract 0604: Block Group 1: Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138; Block Group 2: Block 205, Block 206, Block 214, Block 215, Block 221, Block 224; Block Group 3: Block 301; Statesville #6 *; Mecklenburg County: Charlotte Pct. 2 *, Charlotte Pct. 3, Charlotte Pct. 9 *: Tract 0003: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 106, Block 108; Block Group 2; Tract 0004: Block Group 5: Block 508, Block 513, Block 518, Block 519, Block 520, Block 521; Tract 0035: Block Group 3: Block 303, Block 304; Block Group 4: Block 413; Charlotte Pct. 11 *, Charlotte Pct. 12 *, Charlotte Pct. 13 *, Charlotte Pct. 14 *, Charlotte Pct. 15 *: Tract 0010: Block Group 1: Block 104, Block 106; Block Group 2: Block 209, Block 210, Block 211, Block 217, Block 219, Block 220; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 310, Block 318, Block 319; Tract 0011: Block Group 1: Block 111, Block 118, Block 119; Block Group 4: Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 409, Block 411, Block 412; Charlotte Pct. 16 *, Charlotte Pct. 17 *, Charlotte Pct. 22 *, Charlotte Pct. 23 *, Charlotte Pct. 24 *, Charlotte Pct. 25 *, Charlotte Pct. 26 *, Charlotte Pct. 27 *, Charlotte Pct. 28 *, Charlotte Pct. 30 *, Charlotte Pct. 31 *, Charlotte Pct. 39 *, Charlotte Pct. 40 *, Charlotte Pct. 41 *, Charlotte Pct. 42 *, Charlotte Pct. 43 *, Charlotte Pct. 46 *, Charlotte Pct. 52 *, Charlotte Pct. 53 *, Charlotte Pct. 54 *, Charlotte Pct. 55 *, Charlotte Pct. 56 *, Charlotte Pct. 60, Charlotte Pct. 77 *: Tract 0038.04: Block Group 2; Tract 0058.06: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106A, Block 107, Block 108A, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111A, Block 124A, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128A, Block 128B, Block 129, Block 130; Tract 0059.03: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 343, Block 386, Block 387; Charlotte Pct. 78 *, Charlotte Pct. 82 *, Charlotte Pct. 97 *: Tract 0058.07: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202B; Charlotte Pct. 98 *, BER *: Tract 0059.01: Block Group 2: Block 208, Block 209, Block 221B, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229; Block Group 3: Block 312, Block 313, Block 314; COR *: Tract 0062.02: Block Group 2: Block 201A, Block 201B, Block 202A, Block 202B, Block 204A, Block 204B, Block 204C, Block 205A, Block 205B, Block 208, Block 209, Block 217, Block 218, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221; Tract 0064: Block Group 5: Block 501A, Block 501B, Block 501C, Block 501E, Block 501F, Block 502, Block 503, Block 522, Block 523A, Block 523B, Block 524B, Block 525; Block Group 6: Block 601A, Block 601B, Block 601C, Block 601E, Block 601G, Block 604, Block 605, Block 607, Block 608, Block 609; DAV *: Tract 0062.01: Block Group 4: Block 401A, Block 402C; Tract 0064: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203A, Block 203B, Block 203C, Block 204A, Block 204B, Block 205, Block 206,

Block 221; Block Group 3: Block 302, Block 303; Block Group 6: Block 601D, Block 601F, Block 602; HUN *: Tract 0062.02: Block Group 2: Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 248, Block 249, Block 250, Block 251, Block 252, Block 294, Block 295A, Block 295B, Block 295C, Block 295D, Block 296, Block 297; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302A, Block 302B, Block 303A, Block 303B, Block 334, Block 335A, Block 335B, Block 336, Block 337, Block 338, Block 339, Block 340, Block 341, Block 342, Block 348, Block 349, Block 350, Block 351; Tract 0063: Block Group 1: Block 115, Block 116; Block Group 3: Block 305A, Block 305C, Block 306, Block 307, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315B, Block 318A, Block 318B, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322; Block Group 4: Block 403A, Block 403B, Block 403C, Block 403D, Block 447, Block 448; LC2: Tract 0054.01: Block Group 3: Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 313, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 330; Block Group 4: Block 401; Tract 0061: Block Group 1: Block 104A, Block 104B, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108A, Block 109, Block 110A, Block 110B, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127A, Block 127B, Block 127C, Block 128A, Block 128B, Block 128C, Block 129A, Block 129B, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135; LC1 - South: Tract 0061: Block Group 4: Block 403, Block 404, Block 405, Block 406, Block 407, Block 408, Block 412, Block 418, Block 419; Tract 0062.02: Block Group 3: Block 331, Block 332, Block 343, Block 344, Block 347, Block 352; MC2: Tract 0054.01: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 307, Block 314, Block 317, Block 319, Block 328; Tract 0054.02: Block Group 1: Block 106A, Block 129B, Block 129C, Block 129E, Block 130; Tract 0055.01: Block Group 2: Block 217A; Block Group 3: Block 312A, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316; Tract 0061: Block Group 1: Block 131A, Block 132A; Charlotte Pct. 16 Part, MC1 part, XMC2 Noncontiguous: Charlotte Pct. 104; Orange County: Carr *: Tract 0108: Block Group 1: Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 155, Block 156, Block 157, Block 194, Block 195, Block 196, Block 197; Cedar Grove *: Tract 0108: Block Group 1: Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 146, Block 148, Block 191, Block 192, Block 193; Block Group 2: Block 216, Block 217, Block 218; Tolars *: Tract 0108: Block Group 2: Block 211A, Block 212, Block 213, Block 214, Block 215, Block 219, Block 220, Block 221, Block 222, Block 223A, Block 224A, Block 254A, Block 255, Block 256, Block 257, Block 258, Block 259A, Block 260A, Block 261A, Block 262, Block 263, Block 264, Block 265, Block 266, Block 267, Block 268, Block 269, Block 270, Block 275, Block 276, Block 277A, Block 285A; Caldwell *: Tract 0108: Block Group 2: Block 201, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204, Block 205, Block 206, Block 207, Block 208, Block 209, Block 210, Block 211B, Block 223B, Block 224B, Block 225, Block 226, Block 227, Block 228, Block 229, Block 230, Block 231, Block 232, Block 233, Block 234, Block

235, Block 236, Block 237, Block 238, Block 239A, Block 239B, Block 240, Block 241, Block 242, Block 243, Block 244, Block 245, Block 246, Block 247, Block 248, Block 249, Block 250, Block 251, Block 252, Block 253, Block 254B, Block 259B, Block 260B, Block 261B, Block 277B, Block 284B, Block 285B, Block 286, Block 287, Block 288, Block 289, Block 290, Block 291, Block 292, Block 293, Block 294, Block 295, Block 296A, Block 296B, Block 297A, Block 297B; Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 302, Block 303, Block 304, Block 305, Block 306, Block 307B, Block 319B, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335, Block 336, Block 337, Block 341, Block 370, Block 371, Block 372, Block 373, Block 374B; Tract 0109: Block Group 2: Block 201B, Block 201C, Block 201D, Block 201E, Block 202, Block 203, Block 204B; Rowan County: Franklin *: Tract 0505: Block Group 1: Block 101E; Tract 0513.01: Block Group 2: Block 208B; Block Group 3: Block 302; Tract 0513.02: Block Group 3: Block 301, Block 307, Block 308, Block 309, Block 310, Block 311, Block 312, Block 313, Block 314, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 321C, Block 321D, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334; Tract 0519: Block Group 2: Block 225A, Block 248A, Block 249, Block 250; East Spencer *, Milford Hills *, Spencer *, Trading Ford, West Innes *: Tract 0505: Block Group 2: Block 211, Block 212, Block 213; Block Group 3: Block 311, Block 315, Block 316, Block 317, Block 318, Block 319, Block 320, Block 321, Block 322, Block 323, Block 324, Block 325, Block 326, Block 327, Block 328, Block 329, Block 330, Block 331, Block 332, Block 333, Block 334, Block 335; Tract 0513.02: Block Group 3: Block 321A; East Ward II *, North Ward I *, North Ward II *: Tract 0505: Block Group 1: Block 101B, Block 101C, Block 104, Block 107A, Block 110; Tract 0506: Block Group 1: Block 104A, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 132; West Ward III *, Trading Ford Noncontiguous A, Scotch Irish *, Unity *.

~~(b) The name and boundaries of townships specified in this section are as they were legally defined and in effect as of January 1, 1980, and recognized in the 1980 United States Census.~~

(b) The names and boundaries of townships, precincts (voting tabulation districts), tracts, block groups, and blocks, specified in this section are as they were legally defined and recognized in the 1990 U.S. Census, except as provided in subsection (c) of this section. Boundaries are as shown on the IVTD Version of the United States Bureau of the Census 1990 TIGER Files, with such modifications as made by the Legislative Services Office and shown on its computer database as of May 1, 1991, to reflect census blocks divided by prior district boundaries, and precincts added or modified as outlined in subsection (c) of this section.

(c) For Guilford County, precinct boundaries for High Point Precincts 20, 23, and 24 are as modified by the Guilford County Board of Elections and shown on the Legislative Services Office computer database as of May 1, 1991.

For Mecklenburg County, precinct boundaries are as altered by the Mecklenburg County Board of Elections as reported to the Legislative Services Office and shown on the Legislative Services Office computer database as of May 1, 1991.

For Wake County:

- (1) St. Marys Precinct #7 is as created by the Wake County Board of Elections out of St. Marys Precinct #4;
- (2) Raleigh 01-27 Part is an area reported by the Bureau of the Census as part of Raleigh 01-23 but has been put by the Wake County Board of Elections in Raleigh 01-27; and
- (3) VTD ZZZZ has been assigned to the appropriate parts of Wake Forest #1 and Wake Forest #2,

all as shown on the Legislative Services Office computer database as of May 1, 1991.

For Anson, Bertie, Camden, Caswell, Franklin, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hoke, Lee, Lincoln, Martin, Mitchell, Northampton, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Person, Tyrrell, Vance, Warren, and Yadkin Counties, precincts are as shown on maps on file with the Legislative Services Office as of May 1, 1991, except that:

- (1) In Anson County, Lanesboro #1 and Lanesboro #2 are listed together as Lanesboro #1 and #2;
- (2) In Vance County, where West Henderson II is not contiguous, the northerly part is listed as West Henderson IIA and the southerly part as West Henderson IIB;
- (3) In Perquimans County, computer VTD Code 0005 (Tract 9801, Block 550A) is actually part of Belvidere Precinct and is districted with it notwithstanding any description above;
- (4) In Greene County, Snow Hill Town Satellite is Tract 9503, Block 301A which is a part of Snow Hill Town Precinct entirely surrounded by Sugg Precinct and is districted with Sugg Precinct notwithstanding any description above;
- (5) In Greene County, Snow Hill Town Sat B is Tract 9503, Block 224B which is a part of Snow Hill Town Precinct entirely surrounded by Snow Hill Rural Precinct and is districted with Snow Hill Rural Precinct notwithstanding any description above;
- (6) In Mecklenburg County, Precinct XMC2 Noncontiguous is Tract 55.01, Block 303C, and is districted with Precinct MC1 notwithstanding any description above;
- (7) In Martin County, any listing of VTDs not defined consists of Tract 9705, Block 413 (which is in Poplar Point Precinct), Tract 9704, Block 202 (which is in Goose Nest Precinct), and Tract 9706, Block 168A (which is in Robersonville #2 Precinct), and those blocks are districted with those respective precincts regardless of any listing above;
- (8) In New Hanover County, Tract 123.98, Blocks 307B, 308A, 309, 310A, 311A, and 312A, listed by the Census Bureau as part of VTD ZZZZ, are districted by this section as part of Wilmington #2.

If any precinct or township boundaries are changed, such changes shall not change the boundaries of the Congressional Districts, which shall remain the same.

In the case where any individual blocks are listed above, the district allocation of unlisted water blocks shall be as found on maps and statistical reports of the districts on file with the Secretary of State.

(c1) In this section:

- (1) Wake County Tract 0510, Block 301 is shown on the computer database as part of Raleigh 01-23 * when it is in fact correctly shown on the Board of Elections map as part of Raleigh 01-27;
- (2) Vance County Tract 9606 Blocks 248 and 227A are shown on the computer database as part of Hilltop, when they are in fact correctly shown on the Board of Elections map as part of North Henderson II and East Henderson I, respectively.;
- (3) Lincoln County Tract 0706.98 Block 307 is shown on the computer database as part of North Brook I/II when it is in fact correctly shown on the Board of Elections map as part of North Brook III;
- (4) Mecklenburg County Tract 0044 Block 906F is shown on the computer database as part of OAK when it is fact correctly shown on the Board of Elections map as part of Charlotte Pct. 16;
- (5) Granville County Tract 9703, Block 330B is districted with Corinth * Precinct notwithstanding any description above.

(c2) If any precinct or township is listed in a district in this section, with a comma after the name of the precinct or township, followed by a listing of census geography within that precinct, and other parts of that precinct or township are not listed in another district, that precinct or township is in fact whole within the district with which it is listed.

(d) If this section does not specifically assign any area within North Carolina to a district, and the area is:

- (1) Entirely surrounded by a single district, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district;
- (2) Contiguous to two or more districts, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district which contains the least population according to the 1990 United States Census; or
- (3) Contiguous to only one district and to another state or the Atlantic Ocean, the area shall be deemed to have been assigned to that district."

Sec. 2. Chapter 601, Session Laws of 1991, is repealed.

Sec. 3. This act is effective upon ratification.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 24th day of January, 1992.

James C. Gardner
President of the Senate

Daniel Blue, Jr.
Speaker of the House of Representatives